Table SI. Overview of studies using stretching: subjects, interventions, evaluation, results and level of evidence and conduct scores

		Subjec	ets			Method					Resu	ts			Level of
Study	Design type	n (exp)	n (contr	) Age	Туре	Intervention	Duration of intervention (weeks)	Duration of follow-up (weeks)	Freq of intervention (×/week)	Evaluation	Exp	Contr	Int vs control	ICF	evidence (conduct score)
2003, Fragala	a Prospective	7	_	4 years 2	GMFCS V	Phase B: intervention phase with PT and	20	6	1.5	pROM hip	=	$\downarrow$		I	IV (9/14
et al.	Cross-over			months-18	3	passive stretching				(goniometer)					
(11)	Single-subject			years 2		Phase A: non-intervention phase with no				pROM knee	=	$\downarrow$		I	
	ABAB			months		stretching and no PT A (4 weeks) – B (14 weeks) – A (2 weeks				(goniometer)					
						- B (6 weeks) design	)								
						Stretching 3×, 40–60 s, 30 min									
2008,	Within patient-	- 11	11	Mean 13	Diplegia	Exp (1 leg): 30 min ES Qcps (30 Hz;	4	_	5	MAS			$\downarrow$	I	II (5/7)
Khalili &	control	(22		years		pulse width 0.4 ms, 4 s on / 4 s off, ramp				hamstrings					
5	Smaller RCT	legs)		(SD 1)	spasticity	0.5 s)+stretching hamstrings				pROM			1	I	
(12)					Non- ambulant	Contr: contralateral leg from same child: stretching				knee ext (goniometer)					
2008. Lee &	Randomized	29	_	4–13 years		4 sessions, random order: A 5×10	0.5	_	7	Act			↓ (BD v	s I	III (9/14)
Ng (13)	Cross-over			. 15 ) • • • • •		s stretching, B 5×30 s stretching,	0.0		,	hamstrings			AC)		111 (5/11)
	Single-subject				71	C hotpack + 5×10 s stretching, D				stretch (EMG)	)		,		
	Alternating					hotpack $+5 \times 30$ s stretching				Extensibility			↑ (AB v	s I	
	treatment					24 h interval				hamstrings			CD)		
										(distance great					
										trochanter					
										to lateral					
										malleolus)					
2006, Pin et	,	7	-	-		MEDLINE, CINAHL, PsycINFO,	-	-	-	PEDro scale				_	II (7)
al. (14)	review	studie	S			Embase, Cochrane Library, PEDro				AACPDM					
						–2006 Key words: cerebral palsy, muscle				guidelines					
						spasticity, stretching, physical therapy,									
						range of movement									
2008, Wiart	Systematic	7	_	_		CINAHL, Embase, MEDLINE,	-	_	_	Level of				-	II (7)
et al. (15)	review	studie	S			PsycINFO, Scopus				evidence					
						Key words: cerebral palsy, range				AACPDM					
						of motion, stretching, contracture, positioning				guidelines					
						2007									

Exp: experimental group or experimental period; Contr: control group or control period; Freq: frequency; Int: intervention group or intervention period; =: results were not significantly different between the control and experimental group or period; \(\frac{1}{2}\): results were significantly higher in the experimental group or during the experimental period; \(\frac{1}{2}\): results were significantly lower in the experimental group or during the experimental period; \(\frac{1}{2}\): results were significantly lower in the experimental group or during the experimental period; \(\frac{1}{2}\): results were significantly lower in the experimental group or during the experimental period; \(\frac{1}{2}\): results were significantly lower in the experimental group or during the experimental period; \(\frac{1}{2}\): results were significantly lower in the experimental group or during the experimental period; \(\frac{1}{2}\): results were significantly lower in the experimental group or during the experimental period; \(\frac{1}{2}\): results were significantly lower in the experimental group or during the experimental period; \(\frac{1}{2}\): results were significantly lower in the experimental group or during the experimental period; \(\frac{1}{2}\): results were significantly lower in the experimental group or during the experimental period; \(\frac{1}{2}\): results were significantly lower in the experimental group or during the experimental period; \(\frac{1}{2}\): results were significantly lower in the experimental group or during the experimental period; \(\frac{1}{2}\): results were significantly lower in the experimental group or during the experimental period; \(\frac{1}{2}\): results were significantly lower in the experimental group or during the experimental period; \(\frac{1}{2}\): results were significantly lower in the experimental group or during the experimental period; \(\frac{1}{2}\): results were significantly lower in the experimental group or during the experimental period; \(\frac{1}{2}\): r

Table SII. Overview of studies using massage: subjects, interventions, evaluation, results and level of evidence with conduct scores

		Subjects				Method					Resu	lts			
Study	Design type	n (exp)	n (contr)	Age	Туре	Intervention	Duration of intervention (weeks)	Duration of follow-up (weeks)	Freq of intervention (×/week)	Evaluation	Exp	Contr	Exp vs		Level of evidence (conduct)
2005, Hernandez- Reif et al. (16)	RCT Smaller RCT	10	10	Exp: mean 29 months SD 8	Ambulant Non-	Exp: massage, 30 min	12	-	2	Muscle tone flexors and extensors (MAS, ALT)	↓,↓	=,↓		I	II (3/10)
( . )				months Contr: mean 33	ambulant Spastic/	Contr: reading				pROM hip abductors and extensors (goniometer)	1	=		I	
				months SD 10	athetoid					Developmental programming	$\uparrow$	$\uparrow$		A	
2007, Macgregor	Prospective	5	_	months 12–15 years	Ataxia Spastic	Massage therapy	5	_	2	for infants and young children pROM ankle dorsiflexion	=			A/P I	IV (3/10)
et al. (17)	intervention			12 10 years	diplegia	calf muscles, 14			-	(goniometer)				•	11 (3/10)
	Case series					min (Stretching				Gross motor function (GMFM)	=			A	
						the muscles transversely) (+Slow passive stretching pre-and post- massage)				Stretch reflexes (EMG)	=			I	
2007, Barlow et	Prospective	70	_	Not reported	Not		8	17.3	Not specified	Psychological well-being	<b>↑</b>			I	IV (5/10)
al. (18)	intervention			•	reported	and support			•	Satisfaction with Life Scale	1			I	
	Case series	67				programme for				Perceived stress scales	$\downarrow$			Е	
		children				parents (Providing				Parents' and child self-efficacy scale	′ ↑			Е	
						parents the				Parental health status	<b>↑</b>			Е	
						basic skills in massage, 8 sessions)				Child functioning	1			I/A/I	•
2010, Powell et al. (19)	Prospective intervention Case series	43	-	8–15 years	Not reported	Training and support programme for parents (8 weekly sessions, 1 h)	8	17.3	Not specified	Enjoyment (qualitative interviews)	1			P	IV (2/10)

Exp: experimental group or experimental period; Contr: control group or control period; Freq: frequency; Int: intervention group or intervention period; =: results were not significantly different between the control and experimental group or period; †: results were significantly higher in the experimental group or during the experimental period; ICF: International Classification of Functioning, Disability and Health; I: impairment level; A: activity level; P: participation level; E: environmental factors; GMFCS: Gross Motor Function Classification; PT: physical therapy; pROM: passive range of motion; RCT: randomized controlled trial; SD: standard deviation; GMFM: Gross Motor Function Measure; MAS: Modified Ashworth Scale; ALT: Arms, Legs and Trunk Muscle Tone Scale; EMG: electromyography.

Table SIII. Overview of studies using threshold electrical stimulation (TES): subjects, interventions, evaluation, results and level of evidence with conduct scores

		Subje	cts			Method					Resu	ılts			
Study	Design type	n (exp)	n (contr)	) Age	earType	Intervention	Duration of intervention (weeks)	Duration of follow-up (weeks)	Freq of intervention (×/week)	Evaluation	Exp		Exp vs cont	ICF	Level of evidence (conduct)
2001, Sommerfelt	RCT	6	6	4–12 years	Diplegia	Exp: TES year 1 (40 Hz, <10 mA,	52	52	6	Muscle strength (MMT)			=	Ι	II (5/7)
et al. (20)	Cross-over			Mean 8		300 μS, 5 h/night, 6 nights)+PT;				Ankle ROM (goniometer)			=	I	
	Matched			years 8	II–III	usual PT year 2				Deep tendon reflexes			=	I	
	groups			months		Contr: TES year 2 (40 Hz,				Speed of standardized			=	1	
	Smaller RCT					<10 MA, 300 μS, 5 h/night, 6				movements Gross and fine motor			_	A	
						nights)+PT; usual PT year 1				function (PDMS)			=		
										Walking speed (6-min			=	Α	
										walk test, 6-metre walk				11	
										test)					
										Parent subjective feeling			<b>↑</b>	I	
										(questionnaire)					
2002, Dali et al.	RCT	36	21	5–18 years		Exp: TES, 1–5 $\mu$ A, 35 Hz, 0.46 $\mu$ A	52	_	6	Gross motor function (set			=	A	II (5/7)
(21)	Multicentre			Mean 10	. /	mm2, Qcps and Tib Ant, 6 h/night				of motor function tests)					
	Smaller RCT			years 11		Contr: placebo (inactive				ROM legs and arms			=	I	
				months	(32)	stimulators)				(goniometer)				τ.	
					Walking	! All children continued usual PT				Spasticity legs and arms (MAS)			=	1	
						programme				Cross-stional area Qcps			=	T	
										and Tib Ant (CT)				1	
										Parent questionnaire on			=	Α	
										motor skills					
2004, Mäenpää et	Prospective	17	_	3.8-8.9	Hemiplegia	TES of Tib Ant, 1.8×/week; 20–60	4.3	39	1.8	Active dorsiflexion, toe	<b>↑</b>			I	IV (3/7)
al. (22)	Case series			years		min; 10–20 Hz, 4–20 mA, 300 $\mu s,$				flex/ext, in/eversion					
				Mean 6.4	1 -0	on/off 1/1				aROM and pROM	1			Ι	
				years	(6)	During ordinary PT sessions				dorsiflexion					
										Standing on 1 foot and	1			Α	
										hopping hopping				A	

Exp: experimental group or experimental period; Contr: control group or control period; Freq: frequency; Int: intervention group or intervention period; =: results were not significantly different between the control and experimental group or period; | : results were significantly higher in the experimental group or during the experimental period; | : results were significantly lower in the experimental group or during the experimental period; | CF: International Classification of Functioning, Disability and Health; | I: impairment level; | A: activity level; | RCT: randomized controlled trial; | SD: standard deviation; | GMFCS: Gross Motor Function Classification System; | TES: threshold electrical stimulation; | HZ: Hertz; | MMT: manual muscle testing; | ROM: range of motion; | PDMS: Peabody Developmental Motor Scales; | Qcps: m. quadriceps femoris; | Tib Ant: m. tibialis anterior; | MAS: Modified Ashworth Scale; | CT: computerized axial tomography; | flex: flexion; | ext: extension; | aROM: active range of motion; | pROM: passive range of motion; | PT: physical therapy.

Table SIV. Overview of studies using neuromuscular electrical stimulation (NMES): subjects, interventions, evaluation, results and level of evidence with conduct scores

		Subjec	ets			Method					Res	ults		_	
Study	Design type	n (exp)	n (contr)	Age	Туре	Intervention	Duration of intervention (weeks)	Duration of follow-up (weeks)	Freq of intervention (×/week)	Evaluation	Exp	Results Contr		ICF	Level of evidence (conduct)
2001, Park e al. (23)	et RCT Smaller RCT	14	12	8–16 years Exp: mean 16.6 years, (SD 4.4)		Exp: PT (NDT)+NMES abdomen and posterior back muscles; 30 min/day; 25–30 mA, 250 µs pulse width, 35 Hz; 10 s on/12	6	-	6	Cobb (X-ray spine) Kyphotic angle	<b>↓</b>	=	=	I	II (3/7)
				Contr: mean 12.5 years, (SD 3.7)		s off Contr: PT (NDT) only				(X-ray spine) Gross Motor Function (GMFM)	1	1	<b>↑</b>	A	
2002, Detrembleur et al. (24)	RCT Smaller RCT	6	6	4.75–6 years Mean 5 years	Diplegia (3) Hemiplegia (9)	Exp: BTX-A+NMES calf muscles (20 Hz, 0.2 ms, 50–90 mA, 30 min, 6×/day, 3 days followed by PT) Contr: BTX-A+no adjuvant NMES, PT	0.5	26	42	Gait (3DGA+EMG, Physicians Rating Scale)			1	A	II (6/7)
						2–3×/week Post BTX-A PT: strength ankle dorsiflexors stretch calf muscles and hamstrings, gait	,			Spasticity triceps surae (MAS)			=	I	
						rehabilitation				Measurement of muscle stiffness ROM ankle (goniometer)	,		=	I	
2003, van der Linden e al. (25)	groups	11	11	months	Hemiplegia (7) Quadriplegia	Exp: NMES glut max, 1 h/day, 10 Hz, 75 µs (week 1); 2×30 min (week 2); 1 h 30 Hz, 100 µs (week 3–6)		-	6	Passive hip rotation (goniometer)			=	I	II (4/7))
	Smaller RCT			(SD 2 years 9 months)	(1) Independent walkers	Contr: no electrical stimulation, usual PT ! All children continued usual PT programme				Gait (3DGA) Gross motor function (GMFM)			=	A A	
2004,	Intervention	ın 12		4.5.16 years	Hemiplegia	Phase 1: Baseline (no intervention)	4		5	Strength glut max (myometer) aROM and	)	=	=	I	V (7/14)
Maenpaa et al. (26)		e	_	4.5–16 years	Moderate myocontracture triceps	Phase 2: NMES gastroc, 300 $\mu A$ , 30 Hz, 5×	•	_	J	pROM dorsiflexion (goniometer)				1	v (//14)
										ROM popliteal angle (goniometer)	1	=		I	

Table SIV. Contd.

Table SIV. Co	onia.														
2007, Stackhouse et al. (27)	RCT Smaller RCT	6	5	8–12 years Exp: mean 10 years 7 months Contr: mean 10	GMFCS II–III	Contr: 15 MVC	12	-	3	MVC Qcps (dynamometer) MVC Triceps S (dynamometer)	↑ =	↑ =	↑ ↑	I	II (4/7)
				years 5 months		Qcps and triceps s				Walking speed (3DGA)	1	=	=	A	
										Qcps cross- stional area (MRI)	=	=	1	I	
										Triceps S cross- stional area (MRI)	=	=	=	I	
2007, Kang et al. (28)	Smaller	7	11	16 months–10 years	diplegia	10–25 mA, 30 min)	13	-	2	Gait (Physician's	1	=		A	II (5/7)
	RCT			Mean 45 months	GMFCS I–IV	Contr: BTX-A gastroc ! PT for all children, 2×/week				Rating Scale) Spasticity (MAS)	$\downarrow$	$\downarrow$		Ι	
										pROM ankle and knee	1	<b>↑</b>		I	
2008, Khalili & Hajihassanie	RCT Smaller RCT	11 (22 legs)	11	Mean 13 years (SD 1)	Knee flexor spasticity	pulse width 0.4 ms, 4 s on/ 4 s off, ramp 0.5 s)+stretching hamstrings	4	-	5	(goniometer) Spasticity hamstrings (MAS)			$\downarrow$	Ι	II (5/7)
(12)					Non-ambulant	Contr (contralateral leg from same child): 5×/week stretching				pROM knee ext (goniometer)			1	Ι	
2008, Rha et al. (29)	RCT Smaller	11	12	Mean 46 months	Diplegia (18) Quadriplegia	NMES post BTX-A injection Unilat: ES 25 Hz(11 children) or 4 Hz (12	1	4.3	6	CMAP gastroc (EMG)	$\downarrow$	$\downarrow$		I	II (3/7)
	RCT			(SD 18.1)	(5) GMFCS I–IV	children) m. gastrocnemius Unilat: Sham stimulation (7 days, 30 min/day) + 6×week usual PT				Spasticity m. gastrocnemius (MAS)	<b>↓</b>	<b>\</b>		Ι	
2006, Kerr e al. (31)	t RCT Placebo Smaller	38	22	5–16 years Mean 11 years (SD 3 years 6		Exp 1 (n=18): NMES, 1 h/day, 35 Hz, 300 m Exp 2 (n=20): TES, 8 h/day, 5 day/week,	-	-	5	Peak torque Qcps (isokinetic dynamometer)	=	=	=	I	II (7/7)
	RCT			months)	Dystonia (1) Not class (2) Ambulant	35 Hz, 300 ms, <10 mA Contr (n=22): placebo				Gross motor function (GMFM)	=	=	=	A	
										Lifestyle assessment questionnaire	1	=	1	P	

## Table SIV. Contd.

2004, Kerr et Systematic 18 – – al. (30) review studies RCTs (phase I) Other (phase II)	6 level I PEDro, CINAHL, MEDLINE Amed using – 4 level III and "electrical stimulation and CP" IV 8 level V	-	-	AACPDM levels of evidence		-	II (8)
---	--	---	---	---------------------------------	--	---	--------

Exp: experimental group or experimental period; Contr: control group or control period; Freq: frequency; Int: intervention group or intervention period; =: results were not significantly different between the control and experimental group or period; \(\frac{1}{2}\): results were significantly higher in the experimental group or during the experimental period; \(\frac{1}{2}\): results were significantly lower in the experimental group or during the experimental period; ICF: International Classification of Functioning, Disability and Health; I: impairment level; A: activity level; P: participation level; RCT: randomized controlled trial; SD: standard deviation; GMFCS: Gross Motor Function Classification System; PT: physical therapy; NDT: neurodevelopmental treatment; NMES: neuromuscular electrical stimulation; HZ: Hertz; GMFM: Gross Motor Function Measure; BTX-A: botulinum toxin type A; 3DGA: 3-dimensional gait analysis; EMG: electromyography, MAS: Modified Ashworth Scale; ROM: range of motion; Glut Max: m gluteus maximus; aROM: active range of motion; pROM: passive range of motion; MVC: maximum voluntary contraction; triceps surae; gastroc: m. gastrocnemius; MRI: magnetic resonance imaging; Unilat: unilateral; CMAP: compound muscle action potential; AACPDM: American Academy of Cerebral Palsy and Developmental Medicine.

Table SV. Overview of studies using isotonic strength training: subjects, interventions, evaluation, results and level of evidence with conduct scores

		Subje	ects			Method					Results			
Study	Design type	n (exp)	n (contr)	Age	Туре	Intervention	Duration of intervention (weeks)	Duration of follow-up (weeks)	Freq of intervention (×/week)	Evaluation	Exp Contr	Exp vs cont	ICF	Level of evidence (conduct)
1995, Damiano et al. (32)	Pre- postdesign Prospective Case series	14	_	6–14 years Mean 9.1 years (SD 2.5)	Spastic diplegia Ambulant Knee flexion	Training Qcps Ankle load 65% f 1bRM, 4×5 repetitions Isotonic, concentric and	6	_	3	MVC quadriceps (handheld dynamometer) Crouch gait (3DGA) Stride lenght (3DGA)	↑ ↓		I A	IV (5/7)
1995, Damiano et al. (33)	Prospective Non- randomized CT	14	25 (non- CP)	6–14 years Mean 9.1 years (SD 2.5)	contracture Exp: spastic diplegia Contr: non- CP	eccentric Exp: 65% bmax Qcps Contr (NL): 65% max Qcps	6	-	3	Strength m.Qcps (handheld dynamometer)	↑ ↑		A I	IV (5/7)
1998, Damiano & Abel (34)	Pre- postdesign Prospective Case series	11	_	6–12 years Mean 8.81 years (SD 2.32)	Diplegia (6) Hemiplegia (5) Limited	Training the weakest lower limb muscles Velcro-attached free-weights, 65% of max isometric strength	6	_	3	MVC (isometric, handheld dynamometer), 8 muscles Gross motor function (GMFM)	<b>↑</b>		I	IV (5/7)
					community ambulators	value 4×5 repetitions				Gait (3DGA) Energy expenditure (heart telemetry)	↑ ↑		A A	
										terementy)	=		I	
2004, Johnson et al. (35)	Case series AB design	5	_	3 years 10 months–9 years 11 months Mean 6.06	Diplegia (1) Hemiplegia (3) Ataxia (2)	3 components, 2×/day, concentric and eccentric Trampoline jumping, slope walking, toe raises, sit-to-stand, stair-climbing	3	3	14	Gait (video and footprint analysis) ROM ankle during midstance (goniometer and markers) Strength plantar flexors			A I	IV (2/7)
2004, Eagleton et al. (36)	Pre- postdesign Prospective Case series	7	_	years 12–20 years		Training programme (school gym of local fitness centre) Free weights and Thera-Band exercises for trunk, hip and knee and ankle flexors and extensors, hip abductors 40–60 min, 8–10 repetitions at	6	_	3	(sphygomometer) Gait velocity, cadence and step length (10-m walk test) 3 min walk test Energy expenditure index (heart rate pre-and post walking test)	= ↑ ↑		A A I	IV (2/7)
2004, Dodd et al. (37)	RCT Smaller RCT	10	7	8–16 years Mean 12.1 years (SD 2.5)	Spastic diplegia	80% 1 RM Exp: home-based, hip extensor, ankle plantar flexor, knee extensor (heel rises, half squats, step-ups) Contr: normal daily activities	6	12	3	Self-concept Perception of scholastic competence Perception of social acceptance Perception of athletic competence		= ↓ ↓ ↓	I I I	II (5/7)

Table	SV	Contd.
Table	. V .	Comia.

Table 5 v. C	onia.			_										
2005, Morton et al. (38)	Repeated measures Prospective Single case AB	8	-	6 years 10 months-11 years 2 months Mean 8 years 5 months	Hypertonia GMFCS III	Progressive strengthening programme, hamstrings and Qcps Free-weight (65% of max isometric strength)	6	4	3	Muscle strength Qcps and hamstrings (hand-held dynamometer) Resistance to passive stretch (myometer) Motor development (GMFM) 10-m timed walking test and walking speed			I I A	IV (5/7)
2006, Unger et al. (39)	RCT Smaller RCT	21	10	13–18 years Mean exp 15.9 years Mean contr 16.28 years		Exp: circuit training, free weights, 1–3×/week, 40–60 min Individually designed programmes, 8–12 exercises Contr: no additional intervention	8	-	2	Measure of crouch (3DGA) Economy of movement (3DGA) Perception of functional competence (Self Perception Questionnaire) Perception of body image		↑ ↑ =	I/A I	II (5/7)
2008, Eek et al. (40)	Pre- postdesign No control Case series	16	-	Mean 12 years 6 months Range 9 years 4 months—15 years 4 months	Spastic diplegia	Free weights, rubber bands, body weight, 3×10 repetitions (easy, medium and heavy)	8	_	3	Muscle strength hip, knee and ankle (handheld dynamometer) Gross motor function (GMFM) ROM hip, knee, ankle (goniometer) Gait kinematics and kinetics (3DGA) Spasticity hip adductors, hamstrings, plantar fl and	↑ ↑ ↑ +	<b>1</b>	I A I A	IV (4/7)
2008, Lee et al. (41)	RCT Smaller RCT	9	8	Exp: mean 6.3 years, (SD 2.1) Contr: mean 6.3 years, (SD 2.9)	Spastic hemiplegia	Exp: strengthening programme, 60 min (warm up, functional strengthening exercises, isotonic exercises using weight cuffs, 2–10 repetitions) Contr: usual care (NDT, ROM exercises, gait)		6	3	rectus femoris (MAS) Muscle tone hip and knee (MAS) Gross motor function (GMFM) Strength hip, knee, ankle (MMT) Gait (3DGA) Lateral step-up, squat to stand		= ↑ ↑	I A I I/A	II (4/7)
												1	Α	

## Table SV. Contd.

2009, McNee et al. (42)	Pre- postdesign Case series	13	-	6 years 11 months–16 years 11	1 0 0	Plantar flexion strengthening (Thera-Band and heel rises)	10	13	4	Muscle volume of m. gastrocnemius med & lat (3D US)	<b>↑</b>	Ι	IV (4/7)
				months	GMFCS I–III					Gait (3DGA)	=	I/A	
				Mean 10						Gross motor function (GFAQ,	, =	A	
				years 11						FMS, TUG)			
				months						Unilateral heel rises	<b>↑</b>	I	
				(SD 3)						pROM ankle (goniometer)	=	I	

Exp: experimental group or experimental period; Contr: control group or control period; Freq: frequency; Int: intervention group or intervention period; =: results were not significantly different between the control and experimental group or period; †: results were significantly higher in the experimental group or during the experimental period; | CF: International Classification of Functioning, Disability and Health; | I: impairment level; A: activity level; RCT: randomized controlled trial; | SD: standard deviation; | GMFCS: Gross Motor Function Classification System; | Qeps: m. quadriceps femoris; | RM: repetition maximum; | MVC: maximum voluntary contraction; | 3DGA: 3-dimensional gait analysis; | GMFM: Gross Motor Function Measure; | ROM: range of motion; | MAS: Modified Ashworth Scale; | MMT: manual muscle testing; | TUG: Timed Up and | Go; | pROM: passive range of motion; | NDT: neurodevelopmental treatment.

Table SVI. Overview of studies using functional, isokinetic, isometric and mixed strength training: subjects, interventions, evaluation, results and level of evidence with conduct scores

	Design	Subje	ects			Method					Resu	lts			
Study	Туре	n (exp)	n (contr)	Age	Туре	Intervention	Duration of intervention (weeks)	Duration of follow-up (weeks)	Freq of intervention (×/week)	Evaluation	Exp	Contr	Exp vs cont	ICF	Level of evidence (conduct)
Functional 2003, Blundell et al. (43)	strength training Prospective Repeated mesures Single-subject ABA	8 (pilot		Mean 6.3 years Range 4–8 years (SD 1.3)	diplegia (7) quadriplegia (1) ambulatory	Phase 1: 2 weeks follow-up Phase 2: 1-h circuit training (functional strength : treadmill, steps, sit to stand, leg press) Phase 3: 8 weeks follow-up	4	8	2	Strength hip, knee and ankle flexors and extensors (dynamometer) Motor Assessment Scale: sit-to-stand, lateral step-up Minimum Chair Height Test Walking speed (2-min walk test) Walking speed (timed 10-metre test)	↑ =			I A	IV (9/14)
2003, Dodo et al. (44)	d RCT Smaller RCT	11	10	Mean 13 years 1 months (SD 3 years 1 months) Range 8–18 years	Spastic diplegia GMFCS I–III	Home-based training Exp: LL training: heel squats, heel raises and step-ups (3 sets of 8–12 repetitions, 20–30 min) Contr: usual care	6	12	2	Strength ankle plantar flexors, knee+hip extensors, (hand-held dynamometer) Gross motor function (GMFM) Timed-stair test Self-selected walking speed (10-m walking test)	I		↑ = =	I A	II (7/7)
2003, McBurney et al (45)	Prospective No control Case series	11	_	Mean 12 years 9 months (SD 2 years 10 months) Range 8–18	Spastic diplegia GMFCS I–III	Home-based training, 8–10 repetitions each Heel squat, heel raise and step-up (plantar flexors, knee extensors, hip extensors)	6	-	3	Perception of body image Perception of functional performance Perception of social participation	↑ ↑			I	IV (3/7)
2007, Liao et al. (46)	RCT Smaller RCT	10	10	years Range 5–12 years Exp: mean 85.6 months, (SD 20.8) Contr: mean 91.3 months, (SD 17.5 months)	Spastie diplegia GMFCS I and II	Exp: regular PT+sit-to-stand exercises Contr: regular PT only	6	_	3	Gross motor function (GMFM) Gait speed (timed 10-m walking test) Isometric strenght Qcps (Nicholas Manual Muscle tester) Sit to stand Physiologic Cost Index (heart rate walking – rest/walking speed)			↑ = =	I A	II (6/7)

1995, Mac	strength training - Pre-postdesign Prospective Case series	17	-	12–20 years	Hemiplegia (9) Ambulant	Min 45 min 3 submaximal warm-up efforts, 15 concentric and 15 eccentric MVC of knee extensors and flexors	8	13	3	Peak torque knee flexors and extensors (dynamometer) Spasticity (MAS+ankle clonus) Gross motor function (GMFM) Walking efficiency (Energy				I A	IV (2/7)
2006, Engsberg of al. (48)	RCT et Smaller RCT	12	-	Mean 9.7 (SD 3.3)	without aids Spastic diplegia GMFCS I–III	Exp 1 $(n=3)$ : dorsiflexor group Exp 2 $(n=3)$ : plantar flexor group Exp 3 $(n=3)$ : dorsi+plantarflexor group Exp 4 $(n=3)$ : control group	12	13	3	Expenditure Index, HR) Gross motor function (GMAE–GMFM) pROM ankle (goniometer) Strength ankle plantar and dorsiflexors (KinComdynamometer) Gait kinematics and gait speed (3DGA) Spasticity (KinCom dynamometer) Quality of life parents – children (Peds QoL)	=	= = =		I A P	II (4/7)
2007,	strength training RCT e Smaller RCT	6	5	8–12 years Exp: mean 10 years 7 months Contr: mean 10 years 5 months	Spastic diplegia GMFCS II–III	Contr: NMES percut, pulse duration 5–200 µs, amplitude 25 mA, pulse freq 50 pps, 15 min Exp: volitional max effort contractions, 15 MVIC Qcps and triceps s	12	-	3	MVIC Qcps (dynamometer) MVIC m. triceps surae (dynamometer) Walking speed (3DGA) Qcps cross-stional area (MRI) Triceps S cross-stional area (MRI)	↑ = = = =	↑ = ↑ = =	↑ ↑ = ↑ =	I A	II (4/7)
Mixed stre 2001, Fowler et al. (49)	ngth training Pre-postdesign Prospective Non- randomized CT	24	12 (non- CP)	7–17 years Mean 11.4 (SD 3)	Exp: spastic diplegia Contr: typically developing	Isometric Qcps (kinCOM) Isotonic Qcps (cuff weights) Isokinetic Qcps (60°/s) Max 25 repetitions, 1 session	0.1	-	-	Stretch reflexes pendulum test (spasticity KinCom; EMG+goniometer)	=			I	IV (4/7)

Exp: experimental group or experimental period; Contr: control group or control period; Freq: frequency; Int: intervention group or intervention period; =: results were not significantly different between the control and experimental group or period; †: results were significantly higher in the experimental group or during the experimental period; ICF: International Classification of Functioning, Disability and Health; I: impairment level; A: activity level; P: participation level; E: environmental factors; RCT: randomized controlled trial; SD: standard deviation; LL: lower limb; PT: physical therapy; percut: percutaneous; MVC: maximum voluntary contraction; GMFCS: Gross Motor Function Classification System; Qcps: m. quadriceps femoris; triceps surae; MVIC: maximum voluntary isometric contraction, HR: heart rate; GMFM: Gross Motor Function Measure; GMAE: Gross Motor Activity Estimator; MRI: magnetic resonance imaging; HR: heart rate; QoL: Quality of Life; 3DGA: 3-dimensional gait analysis; pps: pulses per second; kinCOM: refers to the type of an isokinetic dynamometer.

Table SVII. Overview of the systematic reviews evaluating strength training

		Subjects			Method					Resul	lts			Level of
Study	Design type	n	Age	Туре	Intervention		Follow-uj (weeks)		Evaluation	Exp	Contr	Int vs Contr	- ICF	evidence (conduct)
1997, Darrah et al. (50)	Systematic review	7 studies	_	-	MEDLINE, CINAHL, Eric, PsycINFO, Sport DISCUS Key words: cerebral palsy, exercise, strength and physical training 1966–1997	-	_	-	Sackets level of evidence				-	II (7)
1998, Haney et al. (51)	Systematic review	8 studies	-	-	Search strategy not reported	-	-	-	_				-	II (1)
` /	Systematic review	23 studies	_	-	MEDLINE, PubMed, Embase, CINAHL, Sports Discus DARE, PsycINFO, ERIC, AusportMed, AMI, Cochrane, PEDro; 1966–2000 Key words: exercise, strength and physical training	-	_	_	PEDro scale				-	II (8)
2008, Verschuren et al. (53)	Systematic review	20 studies	_	-	MEDLINE, PubMed, Embase, CINAHL, Sports Discus, Cochrane, PEDro, until Sept 2006 Key words: CP, exercise, strength, working capacity, (an)aerobic power, endurance, cardiorespiratory physical training	-	_	_	PEDro Scale Outcome on ICF				-	II (8)
2008, Mockford et al. (54)	Systematic review	13 articles	-	_	MEDLINE, AMED, CINAHL, Cochrane Library, Embase, PEDro, PsycINFO, SPORTDiscus, until March 2007 Key words: CP, strength exercise, weight training and lifting, resisted exercise, resistance exercise, resisted training, resistance training	- I	_	_	Amsterdam-maastricht List				_	II (8)
2009, Scianni et al. (55)	Systematic review Meta- analysis	6 RCTs	-	_	CINAHL, MEDLINE, Embase and PEDro No language restrictions, RCTs only Children with spastic CP up to 20 years	-	_	_	PEDro Meta-analysis ICF				-	I (8)

n: number; Freq: frequency; Int: intervention group or intervention period; ICF: International Classification of Functioning, Disability and Health; RCT: randomized controlled trial; Exp: experimental group of experimental period; Contr: control group or control period; Int: intervention group or intervention period.

Table SVIII. Overview of studies using endurance and physical fitness training: subjects, interventions, evaluation, results and level of evidence with conduct scores

		Subjec	ts			Method					Resu	ılts			
Study	Design type	n (exp)	n (contr)	Age	Туре	Intervention	Duration of intervention (weeks)	Duration of follow-up (weeks)	Freq of intervention (×/week)	Evaluation	Exp	Contr	Exp vs contr	ICF	Level of evidence (conduct)
1998, van der Berg-Emons et al. (56)		10	10	Mean 9.2 years (SD 1.4)	Spastic CP Diplegia (16) Tetraplegic	Sports programme ) Group 1: 2×/week gymnastic lessions+4×/week physical	39	-	2	Physical Activity Ratio (total energy expenditure/ sleeping meth rate)	1	=	=	A	II (3/7)
,					(4) Ambulant and non-	training+usual PT Group 2: 2×/week gymnastic lessons+usual PT				Fat mass (anthropometry) Peak aerobic power (ergometer)	= ↑	↑ =	= ↑	I I	
					ambulant	Physical training = wheelchair driving, cycling, running,				Anaerobic power (ergometer)	1	<b>↑</b>	=	I	
						swimming, flying-saucer exercises mat exercises	,			Isokinetic muscle strength (Cybex II)	1	=	=	I	
1999, Darrah et al. (57)	Prospective Case series	23	-	Mean 14.2 years	Hemiplegia (13)	Warm-up (10 min), aerobic exercise (10–30 min), strength	10	10	3	Energy Expenditure Index (EEI) and heart rate	=			I	IV (3/7)
					1 0 0	training (30 min), stretching and cooling-down (20 min) Group training				Strength of shoulders flexors, knee extensors, hip extensors and abductors (handheld dynamometer)	1			I	
					Dystonia (1) Ambulant					Flexibility (sit-and-reach test, behind the back reach)	1			Ι	
					without aids					Self Perception Profile fo Adolescents and Children	<b>↑</b>			I	
2002, Shinohara et al (58)	Prospective intervention Control Non- randomized CT		5	Exp: Mean 14.6 years, (SD 0.9) Range 13.3–15.8 Contr: Mean 14.2 years, (SD 10.7) Range 11.8–16.3		Exp: leg exercises, 20 min at AT point (Varying duration and frequency: 8–20.6 weeks, 1.1–2.3×/week) Contr: arm cranking ergometer, 20 min at AT point (Varying duration and frequency: 5–19.9 weeks at 1–2.3 ×/week Work rate 7 or 10 W per min	13	-	1.8	Oxygen Uptake (respirometer/gasometer)	$\uparrow$	=		Ι	IV (3/7)

T 1.1	CXTIII	0 1
Table	SVIII	Contd.

2005, Schlough et al. (59)	ABAB Single case	3	-	17–20 years	Hemiplegia (1)	A1: no intervention B1: initial intervention: treadmill, stepper, elliptical machine A2: no intervention B2: additional 15 weeks, treadmill stepper, elliptical machine	B2 7 weeks	2 A1 2 weeks A2 2 weeks	3	Energy Expenditure Index (EEI) Muscle strength Qcps, hamstrings, ankle plantar and dorsiflexors (handheld dynamometer)	Not stats			I	IV (9/14)
					und III	stepper, emptreur machine				Gross motor function (GMFM) Self-Perception Profile for College Students (SPCS)				A I	
										Rate of Perceived Exertion (RPE)				I	
2007, Verschuren e	RCT et Multicentre	32	33	7–18 years Exp: Mean		Exp: usual care+45 min circuit Contr: usual care	35	17.3	2	Anaerobic capacity (muscle power sprint test)	•		1	Ι	II (5/7)
al. (60)	Smaller RCT			11.6 years, (SD 2.5)		Circuit training: 5 min warm-up, 25–35 min functional aerobic				Aerobic capacity (10-min shuttle run test)			1	I	
				Contr 2: mean 12.7		exercises, anaerobic exercises and muscle strengthening in circuit, 5				Strength lower extremities (30 s RM)			1	I	
				years, (SD 2.7)		min cool-down				Agility (10×5-m sprint test) Gross motor function	)		↑ ↑	I A	
										(GMFM) Participation in daily activities (HRQoL, CAPE)			↑,↑	A/P	
2007, Williams &	Prospective Single-	11	-	11–15 years	GMFCS IV–V	Phase A: baseline, no intervention Phase B: training (75% of max	6	6	3	Gross motor function (GMFM)	1	=		A	IV (10/14)
Pountnet (61)	) subject ABA			Mean 12 years 7 months		endurance, 100% for speed/power) Phase C: follow-up, no intervention	)			Cycling ability (Pedalling resistance, overload)	<b>†,</b> †	=		I/A	
2007, Uninithan et	RCT Smaller	7	6	Exp mean: 15.9 years	Spastic	Exp: 70 min/session, aerobic interval training and strength	12	-	3	Gross motor function (GMFM)	1	=	$\uparrow$	A	II (4/7)
al. (62)	RCT			Range 14–18	GMFCS II and III	(handweights, 20 repetitions (UL) and 4×10 (LL)+usual PT (2×/week				VO <sub>2</sub> (open circuit spirometer)	$\downarrow$	=	$\downarrow$	I	
				years Contr		NDT) Contr: usual PT NDT 2×/week, no				%VO2max (open circuit spirometer)	$\downarrow$	=	1	Ι	
				mean; 2=15.7 years Range 14–17 years		additional training				VE peak	<b>↑</b>	=	1	Ι	

Table SVIII. Contd.

2009, Gorter et al. (63)	Repeated measures	13	_	8–13 years GMFCS I and II	Functional circuit training addressing aerobic endurance,	9	11	2	Max aerobic capacity (Bruce Test)	$\uparrow$	A	IV (4/14)
	Single- subject				walking distance, walking velocity and ambulation				Walking distance and velocity (6-min run test)	<b>↑</b>	A	
	AB design				A circuit with 4 stations 30 min, 60–70% Hrmax				Functional mobility (Timed Up and Down Stairs Test)	d↑	A	
					30 IIIII, 00–7076 IIIIIIax				Ambulation Questionnaire (MoVra)	$\uparrow$	A	
2008, Rogers			_		MEDLINE, Embase, CINAHL,	_	-	-	AACPDM guidelines		-	II (8)
et al. (64)	review	studi	es		Pascal, Cochrane Library, CSA Neuroscience Abstracts, PEDro							
					and Sports Discus							
					1960–2006							
					Key words: cerebral palsy,							
					athetoid, ataxic, spastic diplegia,							
					hemiplegia, quadriplegia,							
					aerobic exercise, training, physical activity, aquatic/pool/							
					water therapy, exercise training,							
					continuous exercise							
2009,	Systematic	20	_		MEDLINE, PubMed, Embase,	_	_	_	AACPDM guidelines		_	II (8)
Verschuren et	review	studi	es		CINAHL, Sports Discus,				-			
al. (65)					Cochrane, PEDro							
					Until September 2006							
					Key words: cerebral palsy,							
					exercise, strength, working							
					capacity, aerobic/anaerobic power,							
					endurance, cardiorespiratory							
					physical training							

Exp: experimental group or experimental period; Contr: control group or control period; Freq: frequency; Int: intervention group or intervention period; =: results were not significantly different between the control and experimental group or period; †: results were significantly higher in the experimental group or during the experimental period; | CF: International Classification of Functioning, Disability and Health; I: impairment level; A: activity level; P: participation level; UL: upper limb; RCT: randomized controlled trial; SD: standard deviation; PT: physical therapy; AT point: anaerobic threshold point; W: watt; Qcps: m. quadriceps femoris; RM: repetition maximum; GMFM: Gross Motor Function Measure; HRQoL: health-related quality of life; CAPE: Children's Assessment of Participation and Enjoyment; MoVra: Mobiliteitsvragenlijst/mobility questionnaire; AACPDM: American Academy of Cerebral Palsy and Developmental Medicine; GMFCS: Gross Motor Function Classification System.

Table SIX. Overview of studies using weight-bearing: subjects, interventions, evaluation, results and level of evidence with conduct scores

		Sub	jects			Method					Resu	lts			
Study	Design type	n (ext	n o) (contr)	Age	Туре	Intervention	Duration of intervention (weeks)	Duration of follow-up (weeks)	Freq of intervention (×/week)	Evaluation	Exp	Contr	Exp vs cont	- ICF	Level of evidence (conduct)
1999, Chad et al. (66)		9	9	Exp: mean 9 years, (SD 2.9) Contr: mean 9 years, (SD 2.7)	Dependent and	Exp: weight-bearing physical activity, 2×/week (2 months) and 3×/week (6 weeks), 60 min (20 min UL, 20 min LL, 20 min trunk, facilitation of normal movement with weight-bearing)  Contr: usual lifestyle habits		_	2.5	Bone mineral content proximal femur and femoral neck % Bone mineral content proximal femur and femoral neck (Dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry)			<b>↑</b>	I	II (2/7)
2000, Katz et al. (67)	Prospective intervention Case series	36	-	Mean 5 years 4–7 years	Hemiplegia (15)	Achilles tendon lengthening surgery+2 weeks casting and early weight-bearing (after 2 days) and gait training	2	260	Not reported	pROM ankle (goniometer) Visual gait analysis (heel/toe contact)	No stats			I I/A	IV (1/7)
2002, Gudjonsdottir et al. (68)	Prospective intervention Smaller RCT	2	2	4 years 5 months–5 years 11 months	Non- ambulant	Phase 1 (8 weeks): group 1 dynamic standing, group 2 static stander (5×/ week, 30 min)  Phase 2 (3 sessions): all children standing in the different standing frames	8	_	5	ROM hip and knee ext, ankle dorsiflex (goniometer) BMC femoral neck, great trochanter, intertrochanteric) (dual energy X-ray absorptiometry Behavioral characteristics (CRIB)	No stats			I	II (3/7)
2004, Caulton et al. (69)	RCT Smaller RCT	13	13	4.3–10.8 years	Non- ambulant	Exp: 50% longer standing Contr: normal duration of standing varying from 14 min to 365 min standing/week	39	-	7	Vertebral tibial volumetric trabecular bone density (vTBMD) (quantitative computed tomography)			1	I	II (5/7)
										Proximal tibial volumetric trabecular bone density (vTBMD) (quantitative computed tomography)			=	I	

Table SVIX. Contd.

2009, Gibson et al. (70)	Prospective intervention Single-subject ABAB design		-	5–9 years Mean 7 years 2 months SD 1 years 4 months	Non- ambulant	Phase A: standing in a standing frame, 1 h/day Phase B: no intervention ABAB design	6	-	5	Popliteal angle (goniometer and angle finder) ADL (feedback form)		↓ =	↑ =	I A	IV (9/14)
2009, Eisenberg et al. (71)	Prospective intervention Controlled;	11	11	Exp: mean 6.2 years, (SD 2.1) Contr: mean 6.7	Spastic quadriplegia GMFCS	Exp: Heart Walker Contr: passive standing programme	26	_	7	Bowel activity (diary) Functional performance (PEDI)	↑ ↑	= ↑	↑ =	I A/P	III (4/7)
ui. (/1)	non- randomized			years, (SD 1.6)	IV-V					Bone mineral density (quantitative ultrasound)	1	1	=	I	
										Walking speed, endurance (2-min walking test)	1	=	=	A	
2007, Pin et al. (72)	Systematic review		-	-	-	MEDLINE, CINAHL, PsycINFO, Embase, full Cochrane library, PEDro Start – 2006 Key words: child, cerebral palsy, bondensity, hip dysplasia, contracture, range of motion, stretching, muscle spasticity, bowel and urinary function morale, communication, hand function, feeding		-	-	PEDro scale					II (7)

Exp: experimental group or experimental period; Contr: control group or control period; Freq: frequency; Int: intervention group or intervention period; =: results were not significantly different between the control and experimental group or period; †: results were significantly higher in the experimental group or during the experimental period; ICF: International Classification of Functioning, Disability and Health; I: impairment level; A: activity level; P: participation level; RCT: randomized controlled trial; SD: standard deviation; PT: physical therapy; pROM: passive range of motion; BMC: bone mineral content; ADL: activities of daily living; CRIB: Carolina Record of Individual Behavior; PEDI: Pediatric Evaluation of Disability Inventory; GMFCS: Gross Motor Function Classification System; No stats: no statistical analysis..

Table SX. Overview of studies using balance training: subjects, interventions, evaluation, results and level of evidence with conduct scores

	<i>y</i>	Subjec			-	Method					Resu	ılts			
Study	Design type	n (exp)	n (contr	) Age	Туре	Intervention		f Duration o on follow-up (weeks)		Evaluation	Exp	Contr	Exp vs Contr	- ICF	Level of evidence (conduct)
1995, Myhr et al (73)	Retrospective cohort Case series	` 1/	-	2.1–5.8 years	Mild Moderate Severe	5 y functional sitting position (pelvis forward, upper body anterior to the fulcrum, hip belt and abduction	260	(••)		Sitting position (Sitting Assessment Scale)	†			I/A	IV (3/7)
2003, Shumway– Cook et al.	Prospective intervention Single-subject	6	_	9 years 2 months-12 years 11 months	(2)	orthosis)  Phase A: usual therapy only  Phase B: balance training on moving platform (100 perturb/day for 5	0.8	1	7	Head/trunk control  Area and time to stabilization from Col (moving forceplate)	T .		$\downarrow \\ \downarrow$	I/A I A	III (9/14)
(74)	ABA design			Mean 9 years 2 months (SD 2)		days)+usual PT 30 min-1 h/week ABA design				Gross motor function (GMFM)			=	A	
2005, Ledebe et al. (75)	t RCT Smaller RCT	5	5	5–11 years	Hemiplegia	Group 1: balance training with visual feedback Group 2: control group	6	4	3	CoP displacement standing CoP displacement during dynamic standing	$\downarrow \\ \downarrow$	= =	=	I/A I/A	II (4/7)
2005, Woollacott	Prospective intervention	6	_	Mean 9 years 4 months	(2)	5 days of intensive reactive balance training	0.8	4.3	7	(Force-plate data) Mean step length asymmetry Muscle co- contractions (EMG):	<b>↓</b>	=	1	A	IV (4/7)
(76)	Case series				GMFCS I and II	(100 perturbations/day on a moveable platform)				Timing of activation of muscle contraction Distal-proximal muscle sequence Agonist Antagonist	<b>↑ ↑</b>			I I I/A	
2008, Bar- Haim et al. (77)	RCT Smaller RCT	10	10	8.9–12.9 years Mean 9.2	GMFCS II–IV	Contr: structured intensive treatment Exp: structured intensive treatment+random perturbation 1 month, daily treatment of 1.5 h, 20 sessions Physio: stretching, functional weight-bearing, walking activities Random perturbation: engine-induced passive cycling, 10 min			7	Gross motor function (GMFM) Mechanical efficiency during stair climbing (rate oxygen consumption)	•	= ↑	<b>=</b> ↑	A I	II (4/7)

2005, Systematic 12 Search on MEDLINE, CINAHL, AACPDM guidelines II (8) Embase, PsycINFO, SPORTDiscus, Harris & review studies Roxborougn Systematic Cochrane Database of Systematic (78)review Reviews, Cochrane Controlled Trial Register, PEDro, DARE, Dissertation Abstracts 1990-2004 Cerebral palsy, balance, posture, postural control

Exp: experimental group or experimental period; Contr: control group or control period; Freq: frequency; Int: intervention group or intervention period; =: results were not significantly different between the control and experimental group or period; †: results were significantly higher in the experimental group or during the experimental period; ICF: International Classification of Functioning, Disability and Health; I: impairment level; A: activity level; RCT: randomized controlled trial; SD: standard deviation; PT: physical therapy; pROM: passive range of motion; CoP: centre of pressure; GMFM: Gross Motor Function Measure; EMG: electromyography; AACPDM: American Academy of Cerebral Palsy and Developmental Medicine; GMFCS: Gross Motor Function Classification System.

Table SXI. Overview of studies using treadmill training - subjects, interventions, evaluation, results and level of evidence with conduct scores

		Subject	S			Method					Resu	lts	_	
Study	Design type	n (exp)	n (contr)	Age	Туре	Intervention	Duration of intervention (weeks)		f Freq of intervention (×/week)	Evaluation	Exp	Exp vs Contr cont	ICF	Level of evidence (conduct)
1997,	Prospective intervention Case series		-	1.7–2.3 years		Treadmill training+conventional NDT-based PT Treadmill on low speed (7 cm/s), progressive weight-bearing	17.3	_	4	Gross motor function (GMFM) Gait (videographic test +3DGA) Supported Walker Ambulation Performance Scale	= = =	Com com	A I/A A	IV (3/7)
2000, Schindl et al. (80)	Prospective intervention Single- subject AB	10	_	6–18 years Mean 11.5 years	6 non- ambulatory 4 req cont phys assistance	Phase B: BWSTT, 25 min/session Body weight support at start: X=14% (0-40%)+usual PT Mean treadmill speed 0.23 m/s (start of the study) to 0.40 m/s (end of the study) Phase A: usual PT only (30 min, 2-3 sessions per week)	13	_	3	Gross motor function (GMFM) Functional ambulation category	ı	=	A A	V (8/14)
2007, Cherng et al. (81)	ABA or AAE Single- subject Alternating treatment	3 4	4	3.5–6.3 years Mean 4 years	GMFCS I–III Spastic diplegia	Exp: ABA Contr: AAB A=regular physio, NDT, 30 min/ session B=BWSTT, 20 min/ session+regular physio	12	6	2.5	Gross motor function (GMFM) Time and distance parameters (GaitRite) muscle tone (MAS) Selective motor control (SMC)	'	=	A I/A I	II (9/14)
2007, Philips et al. (82)	Prospective intervention Case series	6	-	6–14 years Mean 10 years 5 months	1 0 ()	2×/day BWSTT Body support decreased from 30% initially to 0% Treadmill speeds ranged from 2.4 to 3.1 km/h initially and increased to 3.7–5.0 km/h with training.	2	-	14	fMRI (3 tasks): (1) active ankle dorsiflexion of the involved ankle; (2) finger tapping of the uninvolved hand; and (3) active ankle dorsiflexion of the involved ankle Walking speeds Distance walked for 6 min	= ↑ =		I A A	IV (3/7)

Table SXI. Contd.

14010 5211.	comu.													
2007, Dodd & Foley (83)	Prospective Matched pairs Non- randomized controlled trial	7	7	5–14 years Mean 8 years 10 months (SD 2 years 6 months)	GMFCS III–IV Athetoid quadriplegia (6) Spastic quadriplegia (6) Spastic diplegia (2)	Exp: BWSTT, comfort speed (0.40 km/h up to 0.60 km/h) Until child stopped or was tired (med 12 min (start) to 21 min (end) Contr: usual PT (content not reported)	6	-	2	10-min walk test Self-selected walking speed in 10 min		= ↑	A A	П (4/7)
2007, Begnoche & Pitetti (84)	Prospective intervention Case series	5	-	2.3–9.7 years	Quadriplegia (1) Diplegia (4) GMFCS I–IV	2 h/session treadmill+NDT 15–35 min/session partial body weight treadmill training	4	-	3.5	Gross motor function (GMFM) Functional performance (PEDI-FS) Gait (pedography) Walking speed (timed 10-metre walk test)	= = ^ =		A A/P I/A A	IV (3/7)
2007, Provost et al. (85)	Prospective intervention Case series	6	-	6–14 years	Diplegia (4) Hemiplegia (4) Ambulatory GMFCS I	Body weight supported treadmil training 2×/day 30 min	1 2	-	14	Gross motor function (GMFM) Energy expenditure 6-min walking test Ten-Meter Walking Velocity Single Leg Balance Test	= ↓ ↑ =		A I A A	IV (4/7)
2009, Dieruf et al. (86)	Prospective intervention No control Case series	6	-	6–14 years	GMFCS I Diplegia (2) Hemiplegia (4)	2×30 min/day BWSTT (30 min: 10 min walking interspersed with 5 min rest)	2	-	14	Quality of life (Ped QoL Inventory) fatigue (PedsQL multidisciplinary Fatigue Scale)	=			IV (3/7)
2009, Hodapp et al. (87)	Prospective intervention Case series	7	-	5–15 years Mean 9.7 years		treadmill training, without body weight support 1.90–3.10 km/h	1.5		7	Soleus H reflex Walking speed (ground and treadmill walking velocity)	<b>↓</b>		I A	IV (4/7)
2009, Mattern-	Prospective intervention	6	-	2.5–3.9 years	Spastic	2 individualized treadmill walks 1 h, gait speed as fast as possible		4.3	3	Gross motor function (GMFM)	<b>↑</b>		A	IV (5/7)
Baxter et al (88)	. Case series				diplegia (3) Spastic quadriplegia (1) Hypotonia (1)					Functional performance (PEDI) Walking speed (6 minute walk test) Walking speed (10-metre	↑ ↑		A/P A A	
					Dystonia (1)					walk test)	1		7.1	
2009, Willoughy et al. (89)	Systematic review	5 articles	-	-	_	CINAHL, Cochrane, PEDro, ERIC, PsycINFO, AMED, PubMed, Ausport Medical and Sports Discus Until July 2008 Key words: cerebral palsy, child treadmill training	_	-	-	Effect sizes				II (9)

## Table SIM. Contd.

2009, Systematic Mattern- review Baxterb et al. (90)	10 – studies	 Academic Search Complete, Blackwell Synergy, Cochrane Library, Google Scholar, Health Source, Nursing Academic, PubMed, Science Direct, SCOPUS and SPORTDiscus Key words: adolescent, child, gait, physical endurance, fitness,	Sackett's levels of evidence	II (6)
2009, Systematic Mutlu et al. review (91)		 gair, physical chalance, indiess, spastic CP, treadmill training and walking; 1997–2008  MEDLINE, PubMed, Google, Embase, Ovid MEDLINE, Galter Health Sciences Library, PEDro Cochrane, CINAHL, APTA 1950–2007  Key words: CP, treadmill training, PBWSTT, locomotor therapy, gait, walking, physial therapy methods	AACPDM guidelines Developmental Medicine and the Critical Review Form – Quantitative Studies Methodological Quality	II (8)

Exp: experimental group or experimental period; Contr: control group or control period; Freq: frequency; Int: intervention group or intervention period; =: results were not significantly different between the control and experimental group or period; †: results were significantly higher in the experimental group or during the experimental period; LCF: International Classification of Functioning, Disability and Health; I: impairment level; A: activity level; P: participation level; fMRI: functional magnetic resonance imaging; RCT: randomized controlled trial; SD: standard deviation; PT: physical therapy; NDT: neurodevelopmental treatment; 3DGA: 3-dimensional gait analysis; GMFCS: Gross Motor Function Classification System; GMFM: Gross Motor Function Measure; BWSTT: body weight supported treadmill training; QoL: Quality of Life; PEDI: Pediatric Evaluation of Disability Inventory; AACPDM: American Academy of Cerebral Palsy and Developmental Medicine; PBWSTT: partial body weight supported treadmill training.