

REFERENCES (COMPLETE)

1. Stroke Association Stroke Helpline Scotland. Stroke statistics. 2013.
2. Stroke Association. State of the nation: stroke statistics 2016. 2016.
3. World Health Organization. WHO world report on disability. 2011.
4. Ward NS, Cohen LG. Mechanisms underlying recovery of motor function after stroke. *Arch Neurol* 2004; 61: 1844–1848.
5. Strong K, Mathers C. The global burden of stroke. In: *Stroke*. Elsevier; 2011, p. 279–289.
6. Wolfe CD. The impact of stroke. *Br Med Bull* 2000; 56: 275–286.
7. Schaechter JD. Motor rehabilitation and brain plasticity after hemiparetic stroke. *Prog Neurobiol* 2004; 73: 61–72.
8. Prange GB, Jannink MJA, Groothuis-Oudshoorn CGM, Hermens HJ, IJzerman MJ. Systematic review of the effect of robot-aided therapy on recovery of the hemiparetic arm after stroke. *J Rehabil Res Dev* 2006; 43: 171.
9. Jauch EC, Saver JL, Adams HP, Bruno A, Connors JJB, Demaerschalk BM, et al. Guidelines for the early management of patients with acute ischemic stroke: a guideline for healthcare professionals from the American Heart Association/American Stroke Association. *Stroke* 2013; 44: 870–947.
10. Mozaffarian D, Benjamin EJ, Go AS, Arnett DK, Blaha MJ, Cushman M, et al. Heart Disease and Stroke Statistics: 2015 Update: a report from the American Heart Association. *Circulation* 2015; 131: e29–322.
11. Duncan PW, Zorowitz R, Bates B, Choi JY, Glasberg JJ, Graham GD, et al. Management of adult stroke rehabilitation care: a clinical practice guideline. *Stroke* 2005; 36: e100–e143.
12. Jørgensen HS, Nakayama H, Raaschou HO, Vive-Larsen J, Støier M, Olsen TS. Outcome and time course of recovery in stroke. Part II: time course of recovery. The Copenhagen Stroke Study. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 1995; 76: 406–412.
13. Nakayama H, Jørgensen HS, Raaschou HO, Olsen TS. Recovery of upper extremity function in stroke patients: the Copenhagen Stroke Study. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 1994; 75: 394–398.
14. Feys HM, De Weerdt WJ, Selz BE, Cox Steck GA, Spichiger R, Vereeck LE, et al. Effect of a therapeutic intervention for the hemiplegic upper limb in the acute phase after stroke: a single-blind, randomized, controlled multicenter trial. *Stroke* 1998; 29: 785–792.
15. Bruno-Petrina A. Motor recovery in stroke: recovery considerations, theories of recovery, mechanisms of recovery [Internet]. 2014 [cited 2016 May 6]. Available from: <http://emedicine.medscape.com/article/324386-overview>.
16. Edwardson MA, Dromerick AW. Ischemic stroke prognosis in adults. Post TW, editor. *UpToDate*. 2016, p. Waltham, MA. Available from: <http://www.uptodate.com/contents/ischemic-stroke-prognosis-in-adults>.
17. Norhayati Hussein M, Staines E. The rehabilitation of younger stroke patients. In: Evidence-based review of stroke rehabilitation. 17th ed. London, Canada: Evidence-based review of stroke rehabilitation; 2013, p. 1–63.
18. Triandafiliou KM, Kamper DG. Investigation of hand muscle atrophy in stroke survivors. *Clin Biomech (Bristol, Avon)* 2012; 27: 268–272.
19. Kwakkel G, Kollen BJ, van der Grond J, Prevo AJH. Probability of regaining dexterity in the flaccid upper limb: impact of severity of paresis and time since onset in acute stroke. *Stroke* 2003; 34: 2181–2186.
20. Ramos-Murguialday A, Schürholz M, Caggiano V, Wildgruber M, Caria A, Hammer EM, et al. Proprioceptive feedback and brain computer interface (BCI) based neuroprostheses. Hendricks M, editor. *PLoS One* 2012; 7: e47048.
21. Kalra L, Dale P, Crome P. Improving stroke rehabilitation. A controlled study. *Stroke* 1993; 24: 1462–1467.
22. Kwakkel G, Kollen B, Twisk J. Impact of time on improvement of outcome after stroke. *Stroke* 2006; 37: 2348–2353.
23. Lincoln NB, Parry RH, Vass CD. Randomized, controlled trial to evaluate increased intensity of physiotherapy treatment of arm function after stroke. *Stroke* 1999; 30: 573–579.
24. Woodson A. Occupational therapy for physical dysfunction. CA T, editor. Baltimore: Lippincott, Wilkins & Williams; 1995, p. 607–704.
25. Lambercy O, Dovat L, Gassert R, Burdet E, Teo CL, Milner T, et al. A haptic knob for rehabilitation of hand function. *IEEE Transac Neural Syst Rehabil Eng* 2007; 15: 356–366.
26. McConnell A, Kong X, Vargas PA. A novel robotic assistive device for stroke-rehabilitation. In: The 23rd IEEE International Symposium on Robot and Human Interactive Communication. IEEE; 2014, p. 917–923.
27. Loureiro RC, Harwin WS. Reach & grasp therapy: design and control of a 9-DOF robotic neuro-rehabilitation system. In: IEEE 10th International Conference on Rehabilitation Robotics. IEEE; 2007, p. 757–763.
28. Guidali M, Duschau-Wicke A, Broggi S, Klamroth-Marganska V, Nef T, Riener R. A robotic system to train activities of daily living in a virtual environment. *Med Biol Eng Comput* 2011; 49: 1213–1223.
29. Kawasaki H, Takai J, Tanaka Y, Mrad C, Mourit T. Control of multi-fingered haptic interface opposite to human hand. In: Proceedings 2003 IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems (IROS 2003) (Cat No03CH37453). IEEE; 2003, p. 2707–2712.
30. Ates S, Mora-Moreno I, Wessels M, Stienen AHA. Combined active wrist and hand orthosis for home use: Lessons learned. In: IEEE International Conference on Rehabilitation Robotics. IEEE; 2015, p. 398–403.
31. Basteris A, Nijenhuis SM, Stienen AHA, Buurke JH, Prange GB, Amirabdollahian F. Training modalities in robot-mediated upper limb rehabilitation in stroke: a framework for classification based on a systematic review. *J Neuroeng Rehabil* 2014; 11: 111.
32. Atkins DJ, Heard DCY, Donovan WH. Epidemiologic overview of individuals with upper-limb loss and their reported research priorities. *JPO J Prosthetics Orthot* 1996; 8: 2–11.
33. Palastanga N, Soames RW. Anatomy and human movement: structure and function. Churchill Livingstone;
34. Colombo R, Pisano F, Mazzone A, Delconte C, Micera S, Carrozza MC, et al. Design strategies to improve patient motivation during robot-aided rehabilitation. *J Neuroeng Rehabil* 2007; 4: 3.
35. Loureiro RC V, Harwin WS, Nagai K, Johnson M. Advances in upper limb stroke rehabilitation: a technology push. *Med Biol Eng Comput* 2011 Oct 20; 49: 1103–1118.
36. Power-Web International. [cited 2014 Jun 16]. Available from: <http://www.pwrwebintl.com/>.
37. Kinetec Maestra Hand & Wrist CPM. [cited 2014 Jun 16]. Available from: <http://theratechequip.com/products/kinetec-maestra-hand-wrist-cpm/>.
38. Tyromotion. [cited 2014 Jun 16]. Available from: <http://tyromotion.com/en/>.
39. Rehub Health Accessible Technology. [cited 2017 Jan 17]. Available from: <http://rehubhealth.com/en/>
40. BIONIK. InMotion Robot-assisted Therapy: Evidence-Based Neurorehabilitation [Internet]. 2013 [cited 2015 Nov 8]. p. 1–36. Available from: <http://interactive-motion.com/wp-content/uploads/2013/10/EvidenceBasedNeurorehabilitation.pdf>.
41. Festo AG & Co. KG. ExoHand Brochure. [Internet]. 2015 [cited 2015 Oct 19]. Available from: http://www.festo.com/net/SupportPortal/Files/156734/Brosch_FC_Exo-Hand_EN_lo.pdf.
42. AMES Technology, Inc. <http://www.amesdevices.com/>. Accessed 23 Oct 2015. [Internet]. [cited 2015 Dec 23]. Available from: <http://www.amesdevices.com/>.
43. Cordo P, Lutsep H, Cordo L, Wright WG, Cacciatore T, Skoss R. Assisted Movement With Enhanced Sensation (AMES):

- coupling motor and sensory to remediate motor deficits in chronic stroke patients. *Neurorehabil Neural Repair* 2008; 23: 67–77.
44. Overview | cyberglovesystems. [cited 2014 Jun 2]. Available from: <http://www.cyberglovesystems.com/products/cybergrasp/overview>.
 45. John Lin, Ying Wu, Huang TS. Modeling the constraints of human hand motion. In: Proceedings Workshop on Human Motion. IEEE Comput. Soc; 2000, p. 121–126.
 46. CanDo® Digi-Flex® Hand Exercisers. [cited 2015 Jul 15]. Available from: <https://www.fab-ent.com/exercise/hand-flexion/cando-digi-flex-hand-exercisers/>.
 47. Hand Physical Therapy, Hand Rehab | Hand Mentor | Kinetic Muscles. [cited 2014 Jun 16]. Available from: <http://www.kineticmuscles.com/hand-physical-therapy-hand-mentor.html>.
 48. Hughes C, Hurd K, Jones A, Sprigle S. Resistance properties of Thera-Band® tubing during shoulder abduction exercise. *J Orthop Sport Phys Ther* 1999; 29: 413–420.
 49. SaedoFlex. [cited 2015 Jul 15]. Available from: <http://www.saedo.com>.
 50. Saedo.com. [cited 2014 Jun 16]. Available from: <http://www.saedo.com/products/saebostretch/>.
 51. Rehab-Robotic - Rehabilitation. [cited 2015 Jul 15]. Available from: <http://www.rehab-robotics.com/intro.html>
 52. Walairacht S, Yamada K, Hasegawa S, Koike Y, Sato M. 4 + 4 fingers manipulating virtual objects in mixed-reality environment. *Presence Teleoperators Virtual Environ* 2002; 11: 134–143.
 53. Williams DJ, Krebs HI, Hogan N. A robot for wrist rehabilitation. In: IEEE Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society. IEEE; 2001, p. 1336–1339.
 54. Hogan N, Krebs HI, Charnnarong J, Srikrishna P, Sharon A. MIT-MANUS: a workstation for manual therapy and training. I. In: IEEE International Workshop on Robot and Human Communication. IEEE; 1992, p. 161–165.
 55. Bouzit M, Burdea G, Popescu G, Boian R. The Rutgers Master II-new design force-feedback glove. *IEEE/ASME Trans Mechatronics* 2002; 7: 256–263.
 56. Loureiro RUI, Amirabdollahian F, Topping M, Driessens B. Upper limb robot mediated stroke therapy — GENTLE/s approach. *Auton Robots* 2003; 15: 35–51.
 57. Kawasaki H, Suzuki T, Mouri T, Alhalabi MO, Sugihashi Y, Ohtuka Y, et al. Development of five-fingered haptic interface. In: Proceedings of the 2005 international conference on Augmented tele-existence - ICAT '05. New York, New York, USA: ACM Press; 2005, p. 209.
 58. Khanicheh A, Muto A, Triantafyllou C, Weinberg B, Astrakas L, Tzika A, et al. MR compatible ERF driven hand rehabilitation device. In: 9th International Conference on Rehabilitation Robotics, 2005 ICORR 2005. IEEE; 2005, p. 7–12.
 59. Mali U, Munih M. HIFE-haptic interface for finger exercise. *IEEE/ASME Trans Mechatronics* 2006; 11: 93–102.
 60. Masia L, Krebs HI, Cappa P, Hogan N. Whole-arm rehabilitation following stroke: hand module. In: The First IEEE/RAS-EMBS International Conference on Biomedical Robotics and Biomechatronics, 2006 BioRob 2006. IEEE; 2006, p. 1085–1089.
 61. Kawasaki H, Mouri T. Design and control of five-fingered haptic interface opposite to human hand. *IEEE Trans Robot* 2007; 23: 909–918.
 62. Dovat L, Lamberty O, Gassert R, Maeder T, Milner T, Leong TC, et al. HandCARE: a cable-actuated rehabilitation system to train hand function after stroke. *IEEE Trans Neural Syst Rehabil Eng* 2008; 16: 582–591.
 63. Gupta A, O’Malley MK, Patoglu V, Burgar C. Design, Control and Performance of RiceWrist: A Force Feedback Wrist Exoskeleton for Rehabilitation and Training. *Int J Rob Res* 2008 Feb 1; 27: 233–251.
 64. Burgar CG, Lum PS, Shor PC, Machiel Van der Loos HF. Development of robots for rehabilitation therapy: the Palo Alto VA/Stanford experience. *J Rehabil Res Dev* 2000; 37: 663–673.
 65. Mihelj M, Podobnik J, Munih M. HEnRiE - Haptic environment for reaching and grasping exercise. In: 2nd IEEE RAS & EMBS International Conference on Biomedical Robotics and Biomechatronics. IEEE; 2008, p. 907–912.
 66. Ramos A, Halder S, Birbaumer N. Proprioceptive feedback in BCI. In: 4th International IEEE/EMBS Conference on Neural Engineering. IEEE; 2009, p. 279–282.
 67. Chen M, Ho SK, Zhou HF, Pang PMK, Hu XL, Ng DTW, et al. Interactive rehabilitation robot for hand function training. In: IEEE International Conference on Rehabilitation Robotics. IEEE; 2009, p. 777–780.
 68. Mohammadian S, Komeda T. Wire-driven mechanism for finger rehabilitation device. In: IEEE International Conference on Mechatronics and Automation. IEEE; 2010, p. 1015–1018.
 69. Yeong CF, Melendez-Calderon A, Gassert R, Burdet E. ReachMAN: a personal robot to train reaching and manipulation. In: IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems. IEEE; 2009, p. 4080–4085.
 70. Yeong CF, Baker K, Melendez-Calderon A, Burdet E, Playford ED. ReachMAN to help sub-acute patients training reaching and manipulation. In: IEEE Conference on Robotics, Automation and Mechatronics. IEEE; 2010, p. 90–95.
 71. Unluhisarcikli O, Weinberg B, Sivak M, Mirelman A, Bonato P, Mavroidis C. A robotic hand rehabilitation system with interactive gaming using novel Electro-Rheological Fluid based actuators. In: IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation. IEEE; 2010, p. 1846–1851.
 72. Oboe R, Daud OA, Masiero S, Oscari F, Rosati G. Development of a haptic teleoperation system for remote motor and functional evaluation of hand in patients with neurological impairments. In: 11th IEEE International Workshop on Advanced Motion Control (AMC). IEEE; 2010, p. 518–523.
 73. Yoshikawa T, Endo T, Maeno T, Kawasaki H. Multi-fingered bimanual haptic interface with three-dimensional force presentation. *IFAC Proc Vol*. 2009; 42: 651–656.
 74. Endo T, Kawasaki H, Mouri T, Ishigure Y, Shimomura H, Matsumura M, et al. Five-fingered haptic interface robot: HIRO III. *IEEE Trans Haptics* 2011; 4: 14–27.
 75. Ferre M, Galiana I, Wirz R, Tuttle N. Haptic device for capturing and simulating hand manipulation rehabilitation. *IEEE/ASME Trans Mechatronics* 2011; 16: 808–815.
 76. Metzger J-C, Lamberty O, Chapuis D, Gassert R. Design and characterization of the ReHapticKnob, a robot for assessment and therapy of hand function. In: IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems. IEEE; 2011, p. 3074–3080.
 77. Taheri H, Rowe JB, Gardner D, Chan V, Reinkensmeyer DJ, Wolbrecht ET. Robot-assisted Guitar Hero for finger rehabilitation after stroke. In: Annual International Conference of the IEEE Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society. IEEE; 2012, p. 3911–3917.
 78. Kazemi H, Kearney RE, Milner TE. A robotic interface to train grip strength, grip coordination and finger extension following stroke. In: Annual International Conference of the IEEE Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society. IEEE; 2012, p. 3903–3906.
 79. Kim EH, Jang MC, Seo JP, Jang SH, Song JC, Jo HM. The effect of a hand-stretching device during the management of spasticity in chronic hemiparetic stroke patients. *Ann Rehabil Med* 2013; 37: 235.
 80. Ab Rahim AH, Ab Patar MNAB, Amin ATM, Mahmud J. The development of finger rehabilitation device for stroke patients. *Appl Mech Mater* 2013; 393.
 81. Kim H-M, Kim G-S. Development of a finger-rehabilitation robot for fingers' flexibility rehabilitation exercise. *Int J Precis Eng Manuf* 2013; 14: 535–541.
 82. Kang Xiang K, Chin Jun Hua P, Abdul Rahman H, Che Fai Y, T. Narayanan AL, Su Lee Ming E. Development of reconfigurable rehabilitation robot for post-stroke forearm and wrist training. *J Teknol* 2015; 72: 79–83.
 83. Marini F, Cappello L, Squeri V, Morasso P, Moretti P, Riva

- A, et al. Online modulation of assistance in robot aided wrist rehabilitation a pilot study on a subject affected by dystonia. In: IEEE Haptics Symposium. IEEE; 2014, p. 153–158.
84. Lee N, Lee YH, Chung J, Heo H, Yang H, Lee KS, et al. Shape-changing robot for stroke rehabilitation. In: Conference on Designing interactive systems - DIS '14. New York, New York, USA: ACM Press; 2014, p. 325–334.
 85. Sabater-Navarro JM, Garcia N, Ramos D, Camayo E, Vivas A. Hand neuro-rehabilitation system using Nitinol spring actuators. *Rob Auton Syst* 2015; 63: 50–56.
 86. Takahashi CD, Der-Yeghaiaian L, Le VH, Cramer SC. A Robotic device for hand motor therapy after stroke. In: 9th International Conference on Rehabilitation Robotics, 2005 ICORR 2005. IEEE; 2005, p. 17–20.
 87. Xun Luo, Kline T, Fischer HC, Stubblefield KA, Kenyon RV, Kamper DG. Integration of augmented reality and assistive devices for post-stroke hand opening rehabilitation. In: IEEE Engineering in Medicine and Biology 27th Annual Conference. IEEE; 2005, p. 6855–6858.
 88. Sun Z, Bao G, Yang Q, Wang Z. Design of a novel force feedback dataglove based on pneumatic artificial muscles. In: International Conference on Mechatronics and Automation. IEEE; 2006, p. 968–972.
 89. Kawasaki H, Kimura H, Ito S, Nishimoto Y, Hayashi H, Sakaeda. Hand rehabilitation support system based on self-motion control, with a clinical case report. In: World Automation Congress. IEEE; 2006, p. 1–6.
 90. Kawasaki H, Ito S, Ishigure Y, Nishimoto Y, Aoki T, Mouri T, et al. Development of a hand motion assist robot for rehabilitation therapy by patient self-motion control. In: IEEE 10th International Conference on Rehabilitation Robotics. IEEE; 2007, p. 234–240.
 91. Fischer HC, Stubblefield K, Kline T, Luo X, Kenyon R V, Kamper DG. Hand rehabilitation following stroke: a pilot study of assisted finger extension training in a virtual environment. *Top Stroke Rehabil* 2007; 14: 1–12.
 92. Nathan DE, Johnson MJ. Design of a grasp assistive glove for ADL-focused, Robotic assisted therapy after stroke. In: IEEE 10th International Conference on Rehabilitation Robotics. IEEE; 2007, p. 943–950.
 93. Blake J, Gurocak HB. Haptic glove with MR brakes for virtual reality. *IEEE/ASME Trans Mechatronics* 2009; 14: 606–615.
 94. Park H-S, Yupeng Ren, Li-Qun Zhang. IntelliArm: an exoskeleton for diagnosis and treatment of patients with neurological impairments. In: 2nd IEEE RAS & EMBS International Conference on Biomedical Robotics and Biomechatronics. IEEE; 2008, p. 109–114.
 95. Ueki S, Nishimoto Y, Abe M, Kawasaki H, Ito S, Ishigure Y, et al. Development of virtual reality exercise of hand motion assist robot for rehabilitation therapy by patient self-motion control. *Conf Proc IEEE Eng Med Biol Soc* 2008; 2008: 4282–4285.
 96. Chiri A, Giovacchini F, Vitiello N, Cattin E, Roccella S, Vecchi F, et al. HANDEXOS: towards an exoskeleton device for the rehabilitation of the hand. In: IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems. IEEE; 2009, p. 1106–1111.
 97. Connelly L, Stoykov ME, Yicheng Jia, Toro ML, Kenyon RV, Kamper DG. Use of a pneumatic glove for hand rehabilitation following stroke. In: Annual International Conference of the IEEE Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society. IEEE; 2009, p. 2434–2437.
 98. Ochoa JM, Yicheng Jia, Narasimhan D, Kamper DG. Development of a portable actuated orthotic glove to facilitate gross extension of the digits for therapeutic training after stroke. In: Annual International Conference of the IEEE Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society. IEEE; 2009, p. 6918–6921.
 99. Kim Y-m, Jung S-y MI. Design of a wearable upper-limb rehabilitation robot using parallel mechanism. In: ICROS-SICE International Joint Conference 2009, p. 785–789.
 100. Moromugi S, Kawakami K, Nakamura K, Sakamoto T IT. A tendon-driven glove to restore finger function for disabled. In: ICROS-SICE International Joint Conference 2009, p. 794–797.
 101. Wu J, Huang J, Wang Y, Xing K, Xu Q. Fuzzy PID control of a wearable rehabilitation robotic hand driven by pneumatic muscles. In: International Symposium on Micro-NanoMechatronics and Human Science. IEEE; 2009, p. 408–413.
 102. Worsnopp TT, Peshkin MA, Colgate JE, Kamper DG. An actuated finger exoskeleton for hand rehabilitation following stroke. In: IEEE 10th International Conference on Rehabilitation Robotics. IEEE; 2007, p. 896–901.
 103. Jones CL, Wang F, Osswald C, Xuan Kang, Sarkar N, Kamper DG. Control and kinematic performance analysis of an actuated finger exoskeleton for hand rehabilitation following stroke. In: 2010 3rd IEEE RAS & EMBS International Conference on Biomedical Robotics and Biomechatronics. IEEE; 2010, p. 282–287.
 104. Iqbal J, Tsagarakis NG, Fiorilla AE, Caldwell DG. A portable rehabilitation device for the Hand. In: Annual International Conference of the IEEE Engineering in Medicine and Biology. IEEE; 2010, p. 3694–3697.
 105. Tong KY, Ho SK, Pang PKMK, Hu XL, Tam WK, Fung KL, et al. An intention driven hand functions task training robotic system. In: Annual International Conference of the IEEE Engineering in Medicine and Biology. IEEE; 2010, p. 3406–3409.
 106. Cruz EG, Kamper DG. Use of a novel robotic interface to study finger motor control. *Ann Biomed Eng* 2010 Feb 25; 38: 259–268.
 107. Zheng R, Li J. Kinematics and workspace analysis of an exoskeleton for thumb and index finger rehabilitation. In: IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Biomimetics. IEEE; 2010, p. 80–84.
 108. Iqbal J, Tsagarakis NG, Caldwell DG. A human hand compatible optimised exoskeleton system. In: IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Biomimetics. IEEE; 2010, p. 685–690.
 109. Orlando MF, Akolkar H, Dutta A, Saxena A, Behera L. Optimal design and control of a hand exoskeleton. In: IEEE Conference on Robotics, Automation and Mechatronics. IEEE; 2010, p. 72–77.
 110. Wang F, Shastri M, Jones CL, Gupta V, Osswald C, Kang X, et al. Design and control of an actuated thumb exoskeleton for hand rehabilitation following stroke. In: IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation. IEEE; 2011, p. 3688–3693.
 111. Bi Q, Yang CJ. Design of a hand exoskeleton rehabilitation device. *Adv Mater Res* 2011; 328–330: 1778–1783.
 112. Naidu D, Stopforth R, Bright G, Davrajh S. A 7 DOF exoskeleton arm: Shoulder, elbow, wrist and hand mechanism for assistance to upper limb disabled individuals. In: IEEE Africon '11. IEEE; 2011, p. 1–6.
 113. Li J, Wang S, Wang J, Zheng R, Zhang Y, Chen Z. Development of a hand exoskeleton system for index finger rehabilitation. *Chinese J Mech Eng* 2012 Mar 25; 25: 223–233.
 114. Tang ZJ, Sugano S, Iwata H. A novel, MRI compatible hand exoskeleton for finger rehabilitation. In: IEEE/SICE International Symposium on System Integration (SII). IEEE; 2011, p. 118–123.
 115. Brokaw EB, Black I, Holley RJ, Lum PS. Hand Spring Operated Movement Enhancer (HandSOME): a portable, passive hand exoskeleton for stroke rehabilitation. *IEEE Trans Neural Syst Rehabil Eng* 2011; 19: 391–399.
 116. Burton TMW, Vaidyanathan R, Burgess SC, Turton AJ, Melhuish C. Development of a parametric kinematic model of the human hand and a novel robotic exoskeleton. In: 2011 IEEE International Conference on Rehabilitation Robotics. IEEE; 2011, p. 1–7.
 117. Wee WTB, Weilin M, Ling CSY. Development of a puppetry robotic glove system for the rehabilitation of upper limb

- functions. In: 5th International Conference on Rehabilitation Engineering and Assistive Technology 2011, p. 18.
118. Bae J-H, Kim Y-M, Moon I. Wearable hand rehabilitation robot capable of hand function assistance in stroke survivors. In: 4th IEEE RAS & EMBS International Conference on Biomedical Robotics and Biomechatronics (BioRob). IEEE; 2012, p. 1482–1487.
 119. Bergamasco M, Frisoli A, Fontana M, Loconsole C, Leonardis D, Troncosso M, et al. Preliminary results of BRAVO project: Brain computer interfaces for Robotic enhanced Action in Visuo-motOr tasks. In: IEEE International Conference on Rehabilitation Robotics. IEEE; 2011, p. 1–7.
 120. Rahman MA, Al-Jumaily A, Rahman A, Al-Jumaily A. Design and development of a hand exoskeleton for rehabilitation following stroke. *Procedia Eng* 2012; 41(Iris): 1028–1034.
 121. Polotto A, Modulo F, Flumian F, Xiao ZG, Boscariol P, Menon C. Index finger rehabilitation/assistive device. In: 4th IEEE RAS & EMBS International Conference on Biomedical Robotics and Biomechatronics (BioRob). IEEE; 2012, p. 1518–1523.
 122. Popescu N, Popescu D, Ivanescu M, Popescu D, Vladu C, Vladu I. Force observer-based control for a rehabilitation hand exoskeleton system. In: 9th Asian Control Conference (ASCC). IEEE; 2013, p. 1–6.
 123. Surendra WA, Tjahyono AP AK. Portable and wearable five-fingered hand assistive device. In: 19th International Conference on Mechatronics and Machine Vision in Practice (M2VIP) 2012, p. 431–435.
 124. Lambercy O, Schroder D, Zwicker S, Gassert R. Design of a thumb exoskeleton for hand rehabilitation. In: 7th International Convention on Rehabilitation Engineering and Assistive Technology 2013, p. 41: 1–41: 4.
 125. Wei W, Guo S, Zhang F, Guo J, Ji Y, Wang Y. A novel upper limb rehabilitation system with hand exoskeleton mechanism. In: IEEE International Conference on Mechatronics and Automation. IEEE; 2013, p. 285–290.
 126. Delph MA, Fischer SA, Gauthier PW, Luna CHM, Clancy EA, Fischer GS. A soft robotic exomusculature glove with integrated sEMG sensing for hand rehabilitation. In: IEEE 13th International Conference on Rehabilitation Robotics (ICORR). IEEE; 2013, p. 1–7.
 127. Polygerinos P, Lyne S, Zheng Wang, Nicolini LF, Mosa-degh B, Whitesides GM, et al. Towards a soft pneumatic glove for hand rehabilitation. In: IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems. IEEE; 2013, p. 1512–1517.
 128. Weiss P, Heyer L, Munte TF, Heldmann M, Schweikard A, Maeble E. Towards a parameterizable exoskeleton for training of hand function after stroke. In: IEEE 13th International Conference on Rehabilitation Robotics (ICORR). IEEE; 2013, p. 1–6.
 129. Song K-T, Chai Y-Y. Compliance control of wearable robotic fingers for rehabilitation applications. In: CACS International Automatic Control Conference (CACS). IEEE; 2013, p. 306–311.
 130. Patar MNAA, Komeda T, Low CY, Mahmud J. System integration and control of finger orthosis for post stroke rehabilitation. *Procedia Technol* 2014; 15: 755–764.
 131. Ates S, Lobo-Prat J, Lammertse P, van der Kooij H, Stienen AHA. SCRIPT Passive Orthosis: Design and technical evaluation of the wrist and hand orthosis for rehabilitation training at home. In: IEEE 13th International Conference on Rehabilitation Robotics (ICORR). IEEE; 2013, p. 1–6.
 132. Meng QY, Tan SL, Yu HL, Meng QL, Yi JH. Research on size synthesis optimization design of a bionic exoskeleton for index finger rehabilitation. *Adv Mater Res* 2014; 945–949: 1447–1450.
 133. Ushiba J, Morishita A, Maeda T. A task-oriented brain-computer interface rehabilitation system for patients with stroke hemiplegia. In: 4th International Conference on Wireless Communications, Vehicular Technology, Information Theory and Aerospace & Electronic Systems (VITAE). IEEE; 2014, p. 1–3.
 134. Zhang F, Hua L, Fu Y, Chen H, Wang S. Design and development of a hand exoskeleton for rehabilitation of hand injuries. *Mech Mach Theory* 2014; 73: 103–116.
 135. Park J-H, Lee K-S, Jeon K-H, Kim D-H, Park H-S. Low cost and light-weight multi-DOF exoskeleton for comprehensive upper limb rehabilitation. In: 11th International Conference on Ubiquitous Robots and Ambient Intelligence (URAI). IEEE; 2014, p. 138–139.
 136. Coffey AL, Leamy DJ, Ward TE. A novel BCI-controlled pneumatic glove system for home-based neurorehabilitation. In: 36th Annual International Conference of the IEEE Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society. IEEE; 2014, p. 3622–3625.
 137. Guo S, Zhang F, Wei W, Zhao F, Wang Y. Kinematic analysis of a novel exoskeleton finger rehabilitation robot for stroke patients. In: IEEE International Conference on Mechatronics and Automation. IEEE; 2014, p. 924–929.
 138. Agarwal P, Deshpande AD. Impedance and force-field control of the index finger module of a hand exoskeleton for rehabilitation. In: 2015 IEEE International Conference on Rehabilitation Robotics (ICORR). IEEE; 2015, p. 85–90.
 139. In H, Kang BB, Sin M, Cho K-J. Exo-Glove: a wearable robot for the hand with a soft tendon routing system. *IEEE Robot Autom Mag* 2015; 22: 97–105.
 140. Ab Patar MNAB, Komeda T, Low CY, Mahmud J. Model-based systems engineering of a hand rehabilitation device. *J Teknol* 2015; 76.
 141. Gasser BW, Goldfarb M. Design and performance characterization of a hand orthosis prototype to aid activities of daily living in a post-stroke population. In: 37th Annual International Conference of the IEEE Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society (EMBC). IEEE; 2015, p. 3877–3878.
 142. Richards DS, Georgilas I, Dagnino G, Dogramadzi S. Powered exoskeleton with palm degrees of freedom for hand rehabilitation. In: 2015 37th Annual International Conference of the IEEE Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society (EMBC). IEEE; 2015, p. 4635–4638.
 143. Lee J, Bae J. Design of a hand exoskeleton for biomechanical analysis of the stroke hand. In: IEEE International Conference on Rehabilitation Robotics. IEEE; 2015, p. 484–489.
 144. Conti R, Allotta B, Meli E, Ridolfi A. Development, design and validation of an assistive device for hand disabilities based on an innovative mechanism. *Robotica* 2015 Nov 3; 1–15.
 145. Vargas PA, Brasil FL, McConnell AC, Vallejo M, Corne DW, Stokes AA, et al. Combining soft robotics and brain-machine interfaces for stroke rehabilitation. In: Converging Clinical and Engineering Research on Neurorehabilitation II 2017, p. 1257–1262.
 146. Zhao H, Jalving J, Huang R, Knepper R, Ruina A, Shepherd R. A Helping hand: soft orthosis with integrated optical strain sensors and EMG control. *IEEE Robot Autom Mag* 2016; 23: 55–64.
 147. Hirata Y, Sato M. 3-dimensional interface device for virtual work space. In: Proceedings of the IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems. IEEE; p. 889–896.
 148. Sato M. Development of string-based force display: SPIDAR. In: 8th International Conference on Virtual Systems and Multimedia. 2002.
 149. Ishii M, Sato M. A 3D Spatial Interface device using tensed strings. *Presence Teleoperators Virtual Environ* 1994; 3: 81–86.
 150. Buoguila L, Ishii M, Sato M. Multi-modal haptic device for large-scale virtual environments. In: Proceedings of the eighth ACM international conference on Multimedia - MULTIMEDIA '00. New York, New York, USA: ACM Press; 2000, p. 277–283.
 151. Coote S, Murphy B, Harwin W, Stokes E. The effect of the GENTLE/s robot-mediated therapy system on arm fun-

- ction after stroke. *Clin Rehabil* 2008 May 1; 22: 395–405.
152. de Almeida Ribeiro PR, Lima Brasil F, Witkowski M, Shiman F, Cipriani C, Vitiello N, et al. Controlling Assistive machines in paralysis using brain waves and other biosignals. *Adv Human-Computer Interact* 2013; 2013: 1–9.
 153. Pfurtscheller G, Neuper C. Motor imagery activates primary sensorimotor area in humans. *Neurosci Lett* 1997; 239(2–3): 65–68.
 154. He B, Baxter B, Edelman BJ, Cline CC, Ye WW. noninvasive brain-computer interfaces based on sensorimotor rhythms. *Proc IEEE* 2015; 1–19.
 155. Yuan H, He B. Brain-computer interfaces using sensorimotor rhythms: current state and future perspectives. *IEEE Trans Biomed Eng* 2014; 61: 1425–1435.
 156. Donchin E, Spencer KM, Wijesinghe R. The mental prosthesis: assessing the speed of a P300-based brain-computer interface. *IEEE Trans Rehabil Eng* 2000; 8: 174–179.
 157. Postelnicu C-C, Talaba D. P300-based brain-neuronal computer interaction for spelling applications. *IEEE Trans Biomed Eng* 2013; 60: 534–543.
 158. Kimura Y, Tanaka T, Higashi H, Morikawa N. SSVEP-based brain-computer interfaces using FSK-modulated visual stimuli. *IEEE Trans Biomed Eng* 2013; 60: 2831–2838.
 159. Xu R, Jiang N, Lin C, Mrachacz-Kersting N, Dremstrup K, Farina D. Enhanced low-latency detection of motor intention from EEG for closed-loop brain-computer interface applications. *IEEE Trans Biomed Eng* 2014; 61: 288–296.
 160. Cao L, Li J, Ji H, Jiang C. A hybrid brain computer interface system based on the neurophysiological protocol and brain-actuated switch for wheelchair control. *J Neurosci Methods* 2014; 229: 33–43.
 161. Yuanqing Li, Jiahui Pan, Fei Wang, Zhuliang Yu. A hybrid BCI system combining P300 and SSVEP and its application to wheelchair control. *IEEE Trans Biomed Eng* 2013; 60: 3156–3166.
 162. Malouin F, Richards CL. Mental practice for relearning locomotor skills. *Phys Ther* 2010; 90: 240–251.
 163. Shiraliyeva RK. Towards effective neurorehabilitation for stroke patients. *Int J Phys Med Rehabil* 2013; 2.
 164. Sharma N, Pomeroy VM, Baron J-C. Motor imagery: a backdoor to the motor system after stroke? *Stroke* 2006; 37: 1941–1952.
 165. Ang KK, Chua KSG, Phua KS, Wang C, Chin ZY, Kuah CWK, et al. A randomized controlled trial of EEG-based motor imagery brain-computer interface robotic rehabilitation for stroke. *Clin EEG Neurosci* 2015; 46: 310–320.
 166. Birbaumer N, Cohen LG. Brain-computer interfaces: communication and restoration of movement in paralysis. *J Physiol* 2007; 579: 621–636.
 167. Birbaumer N, Murgulday AR, Cohen L. Brain-computer interface in paralysis. *Curr Opin Neurol* 2008; 21: 634–638.
 168. Dimyan MA, Cohen LG. Neuroplasticity in the context of motor rehabilitation after stroke. *Nat Rev Neurol* 2011; 7: 76–85.
 169. Dobkin BH. Brain-computer interface technology as a tool to augment plasticity and outcomes for neurological rehabilitation. *J Physiol* 2007; 579: 637–642.
 170. Daly JJ, Cheng R, Rogers J, Litinas K, Hrovat K, Dohring M. Feasibility of a new application of noninvasive brain computer interface (BCI): a case study of training for recovery of volitional motor control after stroke. *J Neurol Phys Ther* 2009; 33: 203–211.
 171. Kansaku K, Cohen LG, Birbaumer N. Clinical systems neuroscience. Kansaku K, Cohen LG, Birbaumer N, editors. Tokyo: Springer Japan; 2015.
 172. Fugl-Meyer AR, Jääskö L, Leyman I, Olsson S, Steglind S. The post-stroke hemiplegic patient. 1. a method for evaluation of physical performance. *Scand J Rehabil Med* 1975; 7: 13–31.
 173. Uswatte G, Taub E, Morris D, Vignolo M, McCulloch K. Reliability and validity of the upper-extremity motor activity log-14 for measuring real-world arm use. *Stroke* 2005; 36: 2493–2496.
 174. Chen CC, Bode RK. Psychometric validation of the Manual Ability Measure-36 (MAM-36) in patients with neurologic and musculoskeletal disorders. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 2010; 91: 414–420.
 175. Spaulding SJ, McPherson JJ, Strachota E, Kuphal M, Ramponi M, Jebson Hand function test: performance of the uninvolved hand in hemiplegia and of right-handed, right and left hemiplegic persons. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 1988; 69: 419–422.
 176. Schmidt RT, Toews J V. Grip strength as measured by the Jamar dynamometer. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 1970; 51: 321–327.
 177. Lyle RC. A performance test for assessment of upper limb function in physical rehabilitation treatment and research. *Int J Rehabil Res* 1981; 4 :483–492.
 178. Ashworth B. Preliminary trial of carisoprodol in multiple sclerosis. *Practitioner* 1964; 192: 540–542.
 179. Bohannon RW, Smith MB. Interrater reliability of a modified Ashworth scale of muscle spasticity. *Phys Ther* 1987; 67: 206–207.
 180. Demeurisse G, Demol O, Robaye E. Motor evaluation in vascular hemiplegia. *Eur Neurol* 1980; 19: 382–389.
 181. Salter K, Campbell N, Richardson M, Methta S, Jutai J, Zettler L, et al. Outcome measures in stroke rehabilitation. In: Evidence-based review of stroke rehabilitation 2013, p. 1–144.
 182. Lo AC, Guarino PD, Richards LG, Haselkorn JK, Wittenberg GF, Federman DG, et al. Robot-assisted therapy for long-term upper-limb impairment after stroke. *N Engl J Med* 2010; 362: 1772–1783.
 183. Stein J, Bishop L, Gillen G, Helbok R. A pilot study of robotic-assisted exercise for hand weakness after stroke. In: *IEEE International Conference on Rehabilitation Robotics*. IEEE; 2011, p. 1–4.
 184. van der Linde RQ, Lammertse P. HapticMaster – a generic force controlled robot for human interaction. *Ind Robot An Int J* 2003; 30: 515–524.
 185. Timmermans AA, Lemmens RJ, Monfrance M, Geers RP, Bakx W, Smeets RJ, et al. Effects of task-oriented robot training on arm function, activity, and quality of life in chronic stroke patients: a randomized controlled trial. *J Neuroeng Rehabil* 2014; 11: 45.
 186. Prasad G, Herman P, Coyle D, McDonough S, Crosbie J. Applying a brain-computer interface to support motor imagery practice in people with stroke for upper limb recovery: a feasibility study. *J Neuroeng Rehabil* 2010; 7: 60.
 187. Buch E, Weber C, Cohen LG, Braun C, Dimyan MA, Ard T, et al. Think to move: a neuromagnetic brain-computer interface (BCI) system for chronic stroke. *Stroke* 2008; 39: 910–917.
 188. Broetz D, Braun C, Weber C, Soekadar SR, Caria A, Birbaumer N. Combination of brain-computer interface training and goal-directed physical therapy in chronic stroke: a case report. Vol. 24, *Neurorehabilitation and neural repair* 2010, p. 674–679.
 189. Ramos-Murgulday A, Broetz D, Rea M, Läer L, Yilmaz Ö, Brasil FL, et al. Brain-machine interface in chronic stroke rehabilitation: a controlled study. *Ann Neurol* 2013; 74: 100–108.
 190. Mukaino M, Ono T, Shindo K, Fujiwara T, Ota T, Kimura A, et al. Efficacy of brain-computer interface-driven neuromuscular electrical stimulation for chronic paresis after stroke. *J Rehabil Med* 2014; 46: 378–382.
 191. Varkuti B, Guan C, Pan Y, Phua KS, Ang KK, Kuah CWK, et al. Resting state changes in functional connectivity correlate with movement recovery for BCI and robot-assisted upper-extremity training after stroke. *Neurorehabil Neural Repair* 2012; 27: 53–62.
 192. Pichiorri F, Morone G, Petti M, Toppi J, Pisotta I, Molinari M, et al. Brain-computer interface boosts motor imagery practice during stroke recovery. *Ann Neurol* 2015; 77:

- 851–865.
193. The Scottish Government. Data Management Board: A Data Vision for Scotland 2014.
 194. The Scottish Government. Charter for Safe Havens in Scotland: handling unconsented data from National Health Service patient records to support research and statistics 2015.
 195. Feil-Seifer BD, Matari MJ. Ethical issues related to technology. *Robot Autom Mag* 2011; 18: 24–31.
 196. Mombaur K, Tsagarakis N, Moreno JC, Pons JL. Benchmarking bipedal locomotion. *IEEE Robot Autom Mag* 2015; 103–115.
 197. Sivan M, O'Connor R, Makower S, Levesley M, Bhakta B. Systematic review of outcome measures used in the evaluation of robot-assisted upper limb exercise in stroke. *J Rehabil Med* 2011; 43: 181–189.
 198. Maggioni S, Melendez-Calderon A, van Asseldonk E, Klamroth-Marganska V, Lünenburger L, Riener R, et al. Robot-aided assessment of lower extremity functions: a review. *J Neuroeng Rehabil* 2016; 13: 72.
 199. Shepherd RF, Ilievski F, Choi W, Morin S, Stokes A, Mazzeo AD, et al. Multigait soft robot. *Natl Acad Sci* 2011; 108: 20400–20403.
 200. Holt R, Makower S, Jackson A, Culmer P, Levesley M, Richardson R, et al. User involvement in developing Rehabilitation Robotic devices: an essential requirement. In: IEEE 10th International Conference on Rehabilitation Robotics. IEEE; 2007, p. 196–204.
 201. Blabe CH, Gilja V, Chestek CA, Shenoy KV, Anderson KD, Henderson JM. Assessment of brain-machine interfaces from the perspective of people with paralysis. *J Neural Eng* 2015; 12: 43002.
 202. EMOTIV Epoc - 14 Channel Wireless EEG Headset. [cited 2017 Jan 5]. Available from: <https://www.emotiv.com/epoc/>.