Table II. Risk of bias assessment for identifie $\mbox{tri}\,\mbox{d}\,\mbox{s}$

	Domains								
				Effective blinding					
Ctudy was subligation				a) participant		Selective		Our ovaluation	
Study, year publication, country	Sequence generation		Intervention integrity	b) providersc) objective outcomes	Incomplete outcome data	Outcome reporting	Other sources of bias	Our evaluation of risk of bias	
Ali & Khan 2015 (62) Pakistan	Unclear	Unclear	Adequate	a) Not possibleb) Not possiblec) Unclear	Partial	Adequate	IFU, GC,	High	
Bae et al. 2014 (64) South Korea	Adequate	Unclear	Adequate	a) Not possibleb) Not possible	Unclear	Unclear	IFU, UFSD, UIV, USS, GC,	High	
Badalamente et al. 2016 (63) USA Studies 1&3	Study 1: Unclear Study 3: Unclear	Study 1: Unclear Study 3: Unclear	Adequate	c) Unclear Study 1.a) Unclear b) "investigators blinded" c) unclear Study 3.a) Not possible b) Not possible	Study 1: Study 3: Adequate	Unclear	FSD, OMC, UIV, USS, GC,	High	
Balci et al. 2016 (65) Turkey	Partial	Unclear	Adequate	c) Adequate a) Not possible b) Not possible	Single session	Adequate	BLD, GC, IFU, OMC, USS	Moderate	
Celik & Kaya Mutlu 2016 (28) Turkey	Adequate	Adequate	Adequate	a) Not possible b) Not possible	Partial	Unclear	GC,	Low	
Do Moon et al. 2015 (66) South Korea	Unclear	Unclear	Unclear	c) Adequate a) Not possible b) Not possible c) Unclear	Adequate	Adequate	IFU, OMC, USS, GC,	High	
Doner et al. 2013 (67) Turkey	Adequate	Unclear	Unclear	a) Not possible b) Not possible c) Unclear	Unclear	Adequate	GC, USS,	High	
Elhafez & Elhafez 2016 (68) Egypt	Unclear	Unclear	Adequate	a) States blinded but unclear how this is possible? b) Not possible c) Adequate	Inadequate	Unclear	BLD, COI, IFU, USS, GC,	High	
Ghosh et al. 2012 (69) India	Unclear	Unclear	Unclear	a) Not possible b) Not possible c) Unclear	Unclear	Unclear	FSD, UIV, USS, GC,	High	
Gutierrez Espinoza et al. 2015 (30) Chile	Adequate	Adequate	Adequate	a) Not possible b) Not possible c) Adequate	Adequate	Adequate	IFU, GC,	Low	
Ibrahim et al. 2014 (31) USA	Adequate	Unclear	Adequate	a) Not possible b) Partial c) Adequate	Adequate	Adequate	COI, USS, GC,	Low	
Ji et al. 2015 (70) China	Adequate	Adequate	Partial	a) Not possibleb) Not possible	Adequate	Unclear	COI, GC, IFU, USS,	Moderate	
Joo et al. 2013 (71) Korea	Unclear	Unclear	Unclear	c) Unclear a) Adequate b) Unclear	Unclear	Adequate	IFU, OMC, USS, GC,	High	
Kim et al. 2015 (47) South Korea	Unclear	Unclear	Adequate	c) Unclear a) Unclear b) Adequate where possible	Adequate	Unclear	GC, IFU, OMC, USS,	High	
Kwak & Kim 2016 (72) South Korea	Unclear	Unclear	Unclear	c) Unclear a) Not possible b) Not possible	Unclear	Unclear	OMC, USS, GC,	High	
Lee et al. 2016 (73) South Korea	Adequate	Unclear	Adequate	c) Unclear a) Unclear b) Not possible c) Adequate	Adequate	Unclear	IFU, GC,	Moderate	
Lee et al. 2015 (74) South Korea	Adequate	Unclear	Adequate	a) Adequate b) Unclear	Partial: loss to follow up 21%	Unclear	IFU, USS, GC,	High	
Lorbach et al. 2010 (75) Germany	Unclear	Unclear	Unclear	c) Unclear a) Not possible b) Not possible	Unclear	Unclear	OMC, USS, GC,	High	
Ma et al. 2013 (27) Korea	Unclear	Adequate	Adequate	c) Unclear a) Not possible b) Not possible	Adequate	Adequate	GC, IFU, USS,	High	
Ohta et al. 2014 (76) Japan	Inadequate	Unclear	Unclear	c) Adequate a) Unclear b) Unclear c) Unclear	Unclear	Adequate	IFU, OMC, USS, GC,	High	

Table II. Cont.

	Domains									
				Effective blinding						
				a) participant		Selective				
Study, year publication,	Sequence	Allocation	Intervention	b) providers	Incomplete	Outcome	Other sources	Our evaluation		
country	generation	concealment	integrity	c) objective outcomes	outcome data	reporting	of bias	of risk of bias		
Park et al. 2013 (78) Korea	Adequate	Unclear	Adequate	a) Not possible	Unclear	Unclear	GC, IFU,	High		
				b) Not possible						
				c) Adequate						
Park et al. 2014 (79)	Unclear	Unclear	Adequate	a) Not possible	Unclear	Adequate	IFU, USS, GC,	High		
South Korea				b) Not possible						
				c) Unclear						
Schydlowsky et al. 2012 (80) Denmark	Unclear	Unclear	Inadequate	a) Not possible	Inadequate	Adequate	BLD, GC,	High		
				b) Not possible						
				c) Inadequate						
Shin et al. 2013 (81)	Adequate	Adequate	Unclear	a) Not possible	Unclear	Unclear	GC	Moderate		
South Korea				b) Not possible						
				c) Adequate						
Tanaka et al. 2010 (82)	Unclear	Unclear	Adequate	a) Not possible	Unclear	Adequate	FSD, USS,	High		
Japan				b) Not possible			UIV, GC,			
				c) Adequate						
Vahdatpour et al. 2014 (83)	Unclear	Unclear	Adequate	a) Adequate	Inadequate	Adequate	GC, OMC, UIV, USS,	. High		
Iran				b) Not possible						
				c) Unclear						
Wu et al. 2014 (84) Taiwan	Inadequate	Unclear	Inadequate	 a) Mixed: not possible/ adequate 	Unclear	Unclear	OMC, GC, IFU, UIV, USS,	High		
				b) Not possible						
				c) Primary outcome: adequate, Secondary: unclear						
Yang et al. 2012 (85)	Inadequate	Inadequate	Adequate	a) Not possible	Adequate	Unclear	GC, BLD,IFU	High		
Taiwan	•	•		b) Not possible						
				c) Adequate						
Yoon et al. 2013 (32) South Korea	Adequate	Adequate	Adequate	a) Adequate	Unclear	Adequate	GC, IFU,	Low		
			•	b) Adequate						
				c) Adequate						

Key: adequate, low risk of bias; inadequate, high risk of bias; unclear, potential risk of bias uncertain; partial, high/unclear risk to some procedures or outcomes. ※※ 日本のでは、「中央のでは、「中のでは、「中のでは、「中のでは、「中のでは、「中のでは、「中のでは、「中のでは、「中のでは、「中のでは、「中のでは、「中のでは、「中のでは、「中のでは、「中のでは、「中のでは、「中のでは、「中