REVIEW ARTICLE

DIAGNOSING SARCOPENIA: FUNCTIONAL PERSPECTIVES AND A NEW ALGORITHM FROM ISarcoPRM*

Murat KARA, MD¹, Bayram KAYMAK, MD¹, Walter R. FRONTERA, MD, PhD, FRCP², Ayşe Merve ATA, MD³, Vincenzo RICCI, MD⁴, Timur EKIZ, MD⁵, ke-Vin CHANG, MD⁶, Der-Sheng HAN, MD⁶, Xanthi MICHAIL, MD, PhD⁷, Michael QUITTAN, MD⁸, Jae-Young LIM, MD⁹, Jonathan F. BEAN, MD^{10,11,12}, Franco FRANCHIGNONI, MD¹³, Levent ÖZÇAKAR, MD¹

From the ¹Department of Physical and Rehabilitation Medicine, Hacettepe University Medical School, Ankara, Turkey, ²Department of the ISPRM special interest group on sarcopenia Physical Medicine, Rehabilitation and Sports Medicine, and Department of Physiology, University of Puerto Rico, School of Medicine, San Juan, PR, USA, ³Department of Physical and Rehabilitation Medicine, Dr Ayten Bozkaya Spastic Children Hospital and Rehabilitation Center, Bursa, Turkey, ⁴Physical and Rehabilitation Medicine Unit, Luigi Sacco University Hospital, ASST Fatebenefratelli-Sacco, Milan, Italy, ⁵Department of Physical and Rehabilitation Medicine, Türkmenbaşi Medical Center Adana, Turkey, ⁶Department of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, National Taiwan University Hospital, Bei-Hu Branch, Taipei, Taiwan, ⁷Department of Rehabilitation Medicine, University of West Attica, Athens, Greece, ⁸Department of Physical and Rehabilitation Medicine, Sigmund Freud Private University, Karl Landsteiner Institute of Remobilization and Functional Health, Vienna, Austria, ⁹Department of Rehabilitation Medicine, Seoul National University College of Medicine, Seoul National University Bundang Hospital, Gyeonggi, South Korea, ¹⁰New England Geriatric Research Education and Clinical Center, VA Boston Healthcare System, Boston, MA, USA, ¹¹Department of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA, ¹²Spaulding Rehabilitation Hospital, Boston, MA, USA and ¹³Istituti Clinici Scientifici Maugeri, IRCCS, Physical and Rehabilitation Medicine Unit Institute of Tradate, Tradate (VA), Italy. *ISPRM special interest group on sarcopenia

Sarcopenia is an important public health problem, characterized by age-related loss of muscle mass and muscle function. It is a precursor of physical frailty, mobility limitation, and premature death. Muscle loss is mainly due to the loss of type II muscle fibres, and progressive loss of motor neurones is thought to be the primary underlying factor. Anterior thigh muscles undergo atrophy earlier, and the loss of anterior thigh muscle function may therefore be an antecedent finding. The aim of this review is to provide an in-depth (and holistic) neuromusculoskeletal approach to sarcopenia. In addition, under the umbrella of the International Society of Physical and Rehabilitation Medicine (ISPRM), a novel diagnostic algorithm is proposed, developed with the consensus of experts in the special interest group on sarcopenia (ISarcoPRM). The advantages of this algorithm over the others are: special caution concerning disorders related to the renin-angiotensin system at the case finding stage; emphasis on anterior thigh muscle mass and function loss; incorporation of ultrasound for the first time to measure the anterior thigh muscle; and addition of a chair stand test as a power/performance test to assess anterior thigh muscle function. Refining and testing the algorithm remains a priority for future research.

Key words: ultrasound; quadriceps; muscle; Sonographic Thigh Adjustment Ratio; function; frailty; International Society of Physical and Rehabilitation Medicine.

Accepted May 31, 2021; Epub head of print Jun 14, 2021

J Rehabil Med 2021; 53: jrm00209

Correspondence address: Murat Kara, Hacettepe Üniversitesi Tıp Fakültesi Hastaneleri, Zemin Kat FTR AD, Sıhhiye, Ankara, Turkey. Email: mkaraftr@yahoo.com

In 1989, Rosenberg first suggested the term "sarcopenia" (from the Greek *sarx* for flesh and *penia* for loss) to define the age-related loss of muscle mass

LAY ABSTRACT

Sarcopenia is an important public health problem, characterized by age-related loss of muscle mass and muscle function. The diagnostic recommendations published to date have addressed total or appendicular muscle mass. However, under the umbrella of the International Society of Physical and Rehabilitation Medicine (ISPRM), experts in the special interest group on sarcopenia (ISarcoPRM) developed a new algorithm, based on regional measurements and functional evaluations of the anterior thigh muscle, which is the most commonly and initially affected condition in sarcopenia. Unlike other suggestions, diseases associated with the renin-angiotensin system are emphasized in this algorithm, and ultrasound has been used for measurement of anterior thigh muscle mass.

(1). However, with increasing interest in sarcopenia in the last 2 decades (2), its definition has evolved to "age-related loss of muscle mass and muscle function". Although sarcopenia was accepted as a disease in the International Classification of Disease – 10^{th} Revision – Clinical Modification in 2016 (3), it still has no universally agreed clinical definition or diagnostic criteria (Table I) (4–13). Of note, the lack of consensus for identifying sarcopenia prevents estimation of the accurate prevalence, prognosis, and effectiveness of interventions. According to various working groups, the prevalence of sarcopenia ranges from approximately 10% to 40% due to different methodology, diagnostic criteria, ethnicity, and selected populations (14).

Sarcopenia is an important public health problem, since it is a precursor of physical frailty, mobility limitation, and premature death (15). By the eighth decade of life, muscle loss is approximately 30% of peak values, mainly due to loss of and atrophy of type II muscle fibres (16, 17). Age-related loss of muscle mass is thought to be largely due to progressive loss of motor neurones (up to 50% of the motor units) by

p. 2 of 14 M. Kara et al.

	Diagnostic criteria				
Study group, (reference)	Muscle mass	Muscle strength	Performance	Outcome (severe or mobility limited)	
ESPEN-SIG	ASM/Wt (%)	×	Gait speed < 0.8 m/s	×	
Muscaritoli et al. 2010 (4)					
EWGSOP	ASM/Ht ²	Grip strength	Gait speed ≤0.8 m/s	Low (muscle mass + strength + performance)	
Cruz-Jentoft et al. 2010 (5)	♂ < 7.26 kg/m ²	♂<30 kg	SPPB ≤8		
	♀ < 5.5 kg/m ²	♀ < 20 kg			
IWGS	ASM/Ht ²	×	Gait speed <1 m/s	×	
Fielding et al. 2011 (6)	♂ ≤ 7.23 kg/m ²				
	♀ ≤ 5.67 kg/m ²				
SSCWD	ASM/Ht ²	×	Gait speed ≤1 m/s	×	
Morley et al. 2011 (7)	♂ ≤ 7.26 kg/m ²		<400 m during a 6-min walk		
	♀≤5.45 kg/m ²				
FNIH	ASM/BMI	Grip strength	×	Gait speed ≤0.8 m/s	
Mclean et al. 2014 (8)	♂<0.789	♂ < 26 kg		Inability to rise from a chair w/o support	
Studenski et al. 2014 (9)	♀ < 0.512	♀ < 16 kg			
AWGS	ASM/Ht ²	Grip strength	Gait speed < 0.8 m/s		
Chen et al. 2014 (10)	♂ < 7.0 kg/m ²	ď < 28 kg			
	♀ < 5.4 kg/m ²	Q <18 kg			
EWGSOP2	ASM/Ht ²	Grip strength	×	Gait speed \leq 0.8 m/s	
Cruz-Jentoft et al. 2019 (11)	♂ < 7.0 kg/m ²	♂ < 27 kg		SPPB ≤8	
	♀ < 5.5 kg/m ²	♀ < 16 kg			
		CST >15 s			
AWGS 2019	ASM/Ht ²	Grip strength	CST ≥12 s	Low (muscle mass + strength + performance)	
Chen et al. 2020 (12)	♂ < 7.0 kg/m ²	ď < 28 kg	Gait speed <1 m/s		
	♀ < 5.4 kg/m ²	♀ < 18 kg	SPPB ≤9		
ISarcoPRM	STAR	Grip strength	×	Gait speed \leq 0.8 m/s	
Kara et al. 2020 (13)	o" < 1.4	♂ < 32 kg		Inability to rise from a chair w/o support	
	Q < 1.0	Q <19 kg			
		CST ≥12 s			

STAR: Sonographic Thigh Adjustment Ratio; ASM: appendicular skeletal muscle mass; BMI: body mass index; Wt: weight; Ht: height; s: second; CST: chair stand test; SPPB: Short Physical Performance Battery; ISarcoPRM: Special Interest Group on sarcopenia of the International Society of Physical and Rehabilitation Medicine (ISPRM); EWGSOP: European Working Group on Sarcopenia in Older People; AWGS: Asian Working Group for Sarcopenia; ESPEN-SIG: European Society of Clinical Nutrition and Metabolism Special Interest Group; IWGS: International Working Group on Sarcopenia; FNIH: Foundation for the National Institutes of Health; SSCWD: Society of Sarcopenia, Cachexia and Wasting Disorders.

the eighth decade (18, 19). Therefore, muscle function declines progressively, as the loss of motor neurones is not sufficiently compensated by reinnervation of muscle fibres by the remaining motor neurones (19).

To define muscle loss, appendicular skeletal muscle mass (ASM), measured by dual X-ray absorptiometry (DXA) is generally being used. Analogous to the body mass index (BMI), the ASM is usually divided by height squared, and rarely by weight or BMI. Adjusted values of <2 standard deviations (SDs) of the healthy young adults are currently considered in the diagnosis (20). Herein, measuring the appendicular (rather than the regional) muscle mass may be misleading, since muscle loss is not uniform throughout the body (21, 22). It is noteworthy that anterior thigh muscles undergo atrophy earlier with ageing, and this issue is paramount for the prevention of impairments and interventions (13, 23-25). Hence, in the earlier stages, the loss of anterior thigh muscle function (e.g. mobility, sitting to standing, climbing stairs) may precede those of the other sites. In addition, assessment of ASM loss may not suffice for prompt interpretation, since normal or even compensatory muscle hypertrophy may develop in the upper limbs, balancing the muscle loss in the anterior thigh (26). As such, subjects may not

be diagnosed as sarcopenic, and therefore early rehabilitative interventions might be delayed.

Of particular importance for the present discussion is the fact that physical activity/performance depends on the coordinated integration of the central nervous system (CNS), peripheral nervous and musculoskeletal systems. Herein, for musculoskeletal physicians who focus on activity/mobility limitations and participation restrictions among older adults, it is crucial to understand the biological mechanisms underlying the impairments linked to muscle mass and function. Ever since the term sarcopenia was first defined (1), the eventual muscle dysfunction that is associated with disability, as well as other personal, social, and economic burdens, has been identified as potentially modifiable (27).

Although measurement of muscle mass is advocated as a core component in diagnosing sarcopenia, the clinical definitions of muscle dysfunction (i.e. muscle strength and power) and pertinent outcomes (poor performance or mobility limitation) remain debatable. To clarify a path forward for rehabilitative care providers, the following questions should be answered:

• Which measures of muscle mass (appendicular or regional) should be used?

- Which method(s) (ultrasound (US), magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), computed tomography (CT) or DXA) would be the most appropriate?
- Which tests should be considered for assessing muscle function and physical performance?
- Which adjustments and cut-off values should be used for assessing muscle mass and function?
- Which variables should be used as the outcome (poor performance, mobility limitation, falls, activities of daily living (ADL), mortality) when considering an intervention for sarcopenia?
- What is the effect of neuromotor control on muscle function and physical performance?

In order to answer these questions, physicians must have sufficient knowledge about age-related functional and structural impairments in the neuromuscular system, and an understanding of the methods and techniques used to evaluate muscle mass, muscle function and physical activity. Furthermore, the fundamentals of neuromotor control and biomechanics must also be known for a better understanding of the role of cognition and muscle mechanics during ADL (Fig. 1). It is also essential to understand the measurement of muscle function and mobility, using muscle strength and physical performance tests, and how these tests are impacted by sarcopenia as well as other important biopsychosocial factors.

Accordingly, the aims of this review article are: to briefly discuss sarcopenia conceptually within the biopsychosocial model of the World Health Organization's (WHO's) International Classification of Functioning, Disability and Health (ICF) (28); to review physical activity and pathophysiology of sarcopenia mechanistically from a biomechanical and biologic perspective; and, under the umbrella of the International Society of Physical and Rehabilitation Medicine (ISPRM), to propose a new consensus definition and a novel diagnostic algorithm with the agreement of experts in the special interest group on sarcopenia (ISarcoPRM) (2).

THE ICF CONCEPTUAL MODEL

The ICF provides standard operational definitions of health and health-related conditions (28). It divides components of health and function into a hierarchically wider perspective of the body (body functions and structures), individual (conduct of functional activities), and society (participation in a life situation or role) (29). While "functioning" is a positive umbrella term encompassing body functions and structures, activities and participation; "disability" includes the negative umbrella term for impairments (of body functions and structures), limitations (on activity) and restrictions (to participation) that may occur in the presence of a health condition. With ageing, impairments of multiple body structures and functions (e.g. neuromusculoskeletal, cardiovascular, respiratory, metabolic, mental, sensory) lead to activity/mobility limitation and participation restriction. Importantly,



Fig. 1. Skeletal, muscular and nervous systems: a unique anatomo-functional unit. Complex interactions between the neuromotor control by the nervous system, the anatomical/histological features of the muscular and bony tissues, and the continuous feedbacks among them are the keys to generate all the body movements.

disability resulting from these multiple body system deficits can further compromise muscle function and physical activity (30) in addition to causing adverse health outcomes and mortality (31, 32).

On the other hand, physical activity is a powerful factor in the prevention and treatment of many health conditions in older adults (33). Physical functioning is a multidimensional concept including mobility (lower extremity function), dexterity (upper extremity function), axial ability, and ability to perform instrumental ADL (34). Mobility is defined as body movement in ADL, with subdomains of rolling over, sitting, standing, and walking (28). It can usually be measured objectively with physical performance tests. Physical performance represents the product of integration of specific body systems that collectively contribute to complex activities (i.e. short/long distance walking, chair standing, stair climbing), and is impacted by behavioural (self-efficacy, motivation, social engagement etc.) as well as other health-related factors (e.g. pain, fatigue) (29).

According to the ICF of the WHO, body structures are anatomical parts of the body, such as organs, limbs and their components, and impairments are problems in body function or structure as a significant deviation or loss. In this regard, the authors have reported some cutoff values (significant deviation from the healthy young adult population) to diagnose the loss of muscle mass and muscle function, i.e. to confirm sarcopenia. Since the anterior thigh is the most commonly and initially involved compartment affected in ageing, measuring its muscle mass and function could provide more prompt information about sarcopenia (i.e. an impairment in body structure/function). Sarcopenic patients have significant neural and muscular impairments, leading to performance problems and activity/mobility limitations in ADL, which can also be associated with many comorbid diseases.

BIOMECHANICS, MUSCLE BIOENERGETICS AND PHYSICAL ACTIVITY

The capacity of a muscle to generate energy is related to its functional parameters (i.e. excursion, force, contraction velocity, and fatigability), which, in turn, correlate with their structural and architectural properties, i.e. fibre type and length, physiological cross-sectional area (pCSA) and pennation angle (35). While muscle excursion is directly proportional to the length of the muscle fibre (or fascicle), it is inversely proportional to the pennation angle. Muscle actions can be classified into isometric, dynamic concentric or eccentric types. The magnitude of the force produced by a muscle is proportional to its pCSA during isometric contractions, and to pCSA and fascicle length during dynamic contractions. Contraction velocity is related to the fibre length/type composition, as well as to the viscoelastic properties of the muscle-tendon unit. Muscles with longer fibres and a higher ratio of type II to type I fibres contract faster than the others. Fatigability of a muscle is also determined by its fibre type and oxidative capacity (35).

At the joint level, forces cause a rotational effect, which is the product of net force and moment arm. The amount of rotational motion around the joint is proportional to muscle excursion and the moment arm of the muscles. Total energy during a motion is defined as work. Muscles producing greater excursion and force can produce more energy and work (36, 37). Total work done by a task-specific group of muscles is calculated as the change in the body's energy, which can be estimated by subtracting the potential energy of the stationary body at the beginning and end of motion (38).

Another parameter is contraction velocity, which is related to the fibre length and type composition. Muscles rich in type II fibres and with long fascicles contract faster than the others. Likewise, even with the same CSA, they can also move the body segments faster (35). Hereby, understanding the advantage of higher velocity for some physical activities would be the main concern. Work (W) is defined as the product of force (F) and displacement (X), and the amount of work per time (W/t) or the product of velocity (V) and force (F×V) is known as "power". Similarly, another important parameter would be "muscle endurance function", which is defined as the capability of maintaining a certain level of force over time. It is directly related to the type of muscle fibres and their aerobic capacity (35). Easily fatigued muscles cannot maintain a required force or physical activity as well as muscles resistant to fatigue. Therefore, power-generating capacity and fatigability of task-specific muscles determine the ability to perform a variety of physical activities and the time duration in which that particular activity can be performed (39).

In addition to the above discussion of skeletal muscles, physical activity is also under the strict control of the CNS. The CNS regulates the activation of muscle groups and complex tasks processed in a manner that is well-timed, smooth, and efficient with respect to the intended goal. For example, executive brain functions, also including a set of cognitive processes, are essential to plan, initiate, execute and monitor goal-directed movements and behaviour (40). In other words, age-related nervous system impairments can cause an activity limitation by affecting the optimal activation, function and energy generating capacity of muscles (41). Overall, when evaluating older adults for sarcopenia, a detailed knowledge of the neuromotor control patterns in normal and pathological conditions is crucial. In particular, this is necessary for the correct administration and interpretation of power and performance tests, as well as for optimal exercise prescription to increase strength, power, endurance, flexibility and coordination (42, 43).

Skeletal muscle is the largest organ in the body, comprising approximately 40% of total body weight. The preservation of muscle mass is determined by the balance between the rates of protein synthesis and proteolysis. Some of the negative regulators of muscle mass include endosome-lysosome, Ca2+-dependent, caspase-dependent, ubiquitin/proteasome-dependent. Positive regulators, on the other hand, include growth hormone, insulin-like growth factor 1 (IGF-1), insulin, and testosterone (44). With ageing, a disruption of the balance between the anabolic and catabolic processes leads to loss of muscle mass and function, i.e. sarcopenia (45). Multiple factors are associated with the development of sarcopenia, including genetic predisposition, inactivity, age-related increase in proinflammatory cytokines (e.g. interleukin 1 and interleukin 6), malnutrition, reductions in hormones (e.g. oestrogens, androgens, growth hormone, and vitamin D), mitochondrial dysfunction, metabolic disorders, insulin resistance and lipodystrophy (46). In addition, new and increasing evidence also shows overactivity of the renin-angiotensin system (RAS) in the pathogenesis of obesity, insulin resistance and several other conditions contributing to skeletal muscle atrophy (47).

PATHOPHYSIOLOGY OF SARCOPENIA

Molecular, cellular and hormonal factors

One of the most important regulators of protein synthesis is the level of insulin/IGF-1, which modulates the phosphatidylinositol-3-kinases/Akt/mammalian target of rapamycin pathway. Activation of this pathway stimulates protein synthesis and inhibits proteolysis via the suppression of forkhead box O (FOXO) transcription factors, which control the expression of atrophy-related genes (48). Growth hormone affects the muscle via IGF-1 synthesis and suppression of cytokine signalling 3 expression (49). Testosterone increases intracellular Ca²⁺ concentration and increases IGF-1 expression leading to muscle fibre growth (50).

Previous studies demonstrate that muscle atrophy can develop due to the activation of protein degradation by both the ubiquitin-proteasome system and autophagy (48). The activation of FOXO3 alone is enough to trigger proteolysis via the ubiquitin-proteasome system and autophagy, and several other transcription factors, including glucocorticoid receptors and nuclear factor kappa B, are important in causing muscle atrophy. Therefore, their inhibition can decrease or block the muscle atrophy (45, 51). In addition, members of the transforming growth factor-beta (TGF- β) family suppress myogenic differentiation. Among these, myostatin (produced by skeletal myocytes) inhibits the mammalian target of rapamycin pathway and activates FOXO1-related muscle atrophy, which primarily affects fast-twitch (type II) fibres (45, 51).

All of these cellular and molecular mechanisms together cause a reduction in muscle size (especially of type II fibres) and a type II to type I fibre shift (51). Although it is assumed that type I fibres are protected from atrophy by the peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor-gamma coactivator $1-\alpha$ (52), it has been reported that the number of type I fibres in pectoralis minor muscle decreased after 60 years of age. However, the size of type I fibres was increased (compensatory hypertrophy), maintaining the total volume of type I fibres with ageing (53). Type II fibres are negatively affected by TGF-β and nuclear factor kappa B. Moreover, loss of mitochondria due to damage, apoptosis and turnover disruption reduces their quantity and quality with ageing (52). Ultimately, these changes lead to the decline of intrinsic force-generating capacity (maximal strength and power) and increase in fatigability of skeletal muscles (46). In addition to the altered myofibre metabolism; mitochondrial dysfunction, adipocyte infiltration, and altered satellite cell properties (reduction in their number and/or activation) are seen, especially in type II fibres (54). Thus, the regenerative capacity of old muscles is also decreased.

Renin-angiotensin system

The RAS has an important role in the regulation of various biological functions, including skeletal muscle metabolism. In recent years, however, more attention has been focused on the non-classical pathway, which acts contrary to the classical pathway with regards to the peripheral blood flow, insulin resistance, and skeletal muscle metabolism (55). In classical RAS axisrelated disorders, loss of muscle mass and function can be seen. For instance, hypertension was found to be correlated with slow gait speed at baseline and higher annual decline after a 2-year follow-up (56). A cross-sectional study (n=2431) has found that angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor (ACEI) users have larger lower limb muscle mass (57). Another 3-year longitudinal study has shown that continuous use of ACEIs plays a preventive role in the decline of knee extension strength and gait speed (58).

The classical RAS overactivity was recently identified as an important contributor to the pathogenesis of

p. 6 of 14 M. Kara et al.

sarcopenia (51, 55). Angiotensinogen is produced in the liver and then converted to angiotensin I (Ang I) by renin. Thereafter, Ang I is transformed into Ang II by ACE. Ang II binds to its receptors and causes insulin resistance, and muscle atrophy and fibrosis. On the other hand, in the non-classical pathway, Ang (1-7)is synthesized from Ang I and/or Ang II by ACE2 and neutral endopeptidase, respectively. Ang (1-7) acts via the Mas receptor, and ACE2 and the Ang (1-7)/Masreceptor interaction are negative modulators for the classical pathway (55). When the classical pathway is activated, there is increased accumulation of intracellular reactive oxygen species (and protein degradation (via activation of major proteolytic systems including ubiquitin-proteasome system, caspase-3, calpain), and inhibition of IGF-1 signalling and downregulation of protein synthesis. These changes result in myonuclear apoptosis, mitochondrial dysfunction, and enhancement of the oxidative stress with eventual muscle atrophy (55, 59). Conversely, if the non-classical pathway is activated, protein synthesis is enhanced, and apoptosis and protein degradation are inhibited with the predominance of anabolic mechanisms (55, 59).

Skeletal muscle fibrosis is another pathological condition, which can be seen after injury, with ageing, and in conditions such as myopathies. The classical RAS pathway induces fibrosis by increasing the extracellular matrix proteins, enhancing TGF- β , and producing connective tissue growth factor. On the other hand, in the non-classical pathway, Ang (1–7) acts as an antagonist to Ang II and exerts an antifibrotic effect (55, 60). Finally, skeletal muscles play an important role in the regulation of blood glucose by modulating insulin resistance. While the classical pathway increases activation of reactive oxygen species and decreases Glut-4 transportation, the non-classical pathway increases insulin sensitivity (55).

The RAS overactivity is reported to contribute to the pathogenesis of Alzheimer's disease, where activation of brain Ang II adversely affects cognitive function (61). The increase in ACE activity and Ang II levels causes vasoconstriction, accumulation of amyloid- β and tau, and a reduction in the acetylcholine release, which are related to dementia (62). In addition, Ang II levels are found to be associated with smaller cortical and hippocampal volumes (62). Moreover, RAS inhibition attenuates cognitive impairment through the reduction of amyloid deposition (63). Herewith, improving cognitive function by inhibiting RAS overactivity in clinical practice is controversial (64).

In light of the aforementioned data, it is paramount to consider RAS-related disorders (Table SI¹) (65–79)

in the diagnosis and management of sarcopenia (80). The association between sarcopenia and hypertension also needs to be better understood (81). Among 99 variables, age, systolic arterial hypertension, mininutritional assessment, number of chronic diseases, and blood sodium level were found to be independent determinants of sarcopenia (82). A recent systematic review and meta-analysis demonstrates that the presence of hypertension is more common in sarcopenic than non-sarcopenic patients (odds ratio (OR) 1.29 (95% confidence interval (95% CI) 1.00–1.67)) (83). In addition, a cross-sectional preliminary study by Ata et al. (84) found that the presence of hypertension seemed to independently predict the risk of sarcopenia in older adults (OR 6.5 (95% CI: 2.4-17.8)); and that among many antihypertensive drugs, ACEIs seemed to have favourable effects on both hypertension and sarcopenia. Hypertension is thought to affect the skeletal muscles directly and also via its impact on neuromotor control and cognition (85). To this end, RAS overactivity appears to be important in the pathogenesis of sarcopenia (86).

Similarly, sarcopenia together with obesity (i.e. sarcopenic obesity) has more deleterious effects, not only on body composition, but also on cardiovascular morbidities, as well as in other physiological systems. In this sense, it has been reported that obesity and sarcopenia act synergistically to increase the risk of disability (87). Needless to say, body size affects the measurements of sarcopenia, and obese subjects undoubtedly need powerful anterior thigh muscles to carry their extra weight, especially during chair standing and climbing stairs.

EVALUATING AND IDENTIFYING SARCOPENIA IN CLINICAL PRACTICE

The definition and diagnostic evaluation of sarcopenia continue to be a topic of discussion. Although working groups generally suggest adjusted ASM measurements of muscle mass by DXA, ISarcoPRM suggests the potential benefits of a new approach using adjusted anterior thigh muscle measurements (instead of ASM) for early assessment and prompt diagnosis of low muscle mass and confirmation of sarcopenia (Table I). Furthermore, debates exist on whether poor performance on functional tests (i.e. mobility limitation) should be included as a part of sarcopenia diagnosis or rather as clinically relevant outcome. It has been suggested that gait speed ≤ 0.8 m/s or inability to rise from a chair without support should be a primary outcome (i.e. mobility limitation), not part of the diagnostic criteria (9). This outcome was used as validation to determine the cut-off point values for grip strength,

¹https://doi.org/10.2340/16501977-2851

and identified low and intermediate cut-off points as 26 vs 16 kg with a mobility limitation of 40% vs 51%. and 32 vs 20 kg with a mobility limitation of 21% vs 36% in males and females, respectively.

Recently, the European Working Group on Sarcopenia in Older People (EWGSOP2) (11) also used poor mobility performance as a measure of severity, and, in contrast to their original recommendation, they lowered grip strength cut-off values from 2 SD (30 vs 20 kg) (5) to 2.5 SD (27 vs 16 kg) in males and females, respectively. Although we agree with both groups that mobility limitation should be considered as a measure of outcome/severity; we believe that cut-off values for grip strength should be determined consistently i.e. as 2 SD, similar to muscle mass measurements (Table II) (9, 13, 43, 88–99). Otherwise, using stringent cut-off values for a more "conservative" diagnosis (with high specificity, but low sensitivity) can inevitably result in missing the diagnosis in "real" sarcopenic patients, who should be managed earlier in the course of their care.

A variety of screening tests are proposed for sarcopenia, which is diagnosed according to ASM measurements (11, 12, 100, 101). Case finding may start when a patient reports symptoms or signs of sarcopenia (i.e. falling, weakness, slow gait speed, difficulty rising from a chair or climbing stairs). In such cases, further testing (i.e. measure of calf circumference and/ or a self-reported SARC-F questionnaire (Strength, Assistance with walking, Rise from a chair, Climb stairs, and Falls) has been suggested (100). However, characterized by low-to-moderate sensitivity and high specificity, these tests will mostly detect severe and late cases with mobility limitation, for whom earlier treatment may be effective (11, 12). Therefore, in order to better identify sarcopenic patients in an earlier and more reversible period, ISarcoPRM suggests screening all older adults and adults who have chronic comorbid diseases, such as obesity, metabolic syndrome, and RAS-related disorders (Table SI¹), including uncontrolled hypertension or those using antihypertensive drugs (other than ACEI) (57, 58). In this regard, chair stand test (CST) and grip strength may be simple and useful tools for screening sarcopenia (102).

MUSCLE MASS MEASUREMENTS (APPENDICULAR OR REGIONAL)

It is not clear that age-related muscle loss can be detected accurately with DXA (103). Longitudinal studies have shown that muscle strength and performance tests predict adverse outcomes (falls, mobility limitation, hip fractures, and mortality) and that DXA has no additional value (104-106). Authors have suggested alternative technologies to measure muscle mass (105). Herein, we strongly believe that the lack of predictive value of DXA results regarding the clinical outcome can be attributed to the fact that age-related muscle loss is more evident in the anterior thigh than in the other muscles in general. In other words, not taking the regional muscle measurements into account would result in inaccuracy (13, 19).

The anterior thigh muscles, which are fundamental to mobility skills, are more commonly and severely affected in sarcopenia. Frontera et al. (107) found a 24-30% loss in knee extensor strength and 16% loss in quadriceps muscle (CSA) over a 12-year duration. Another 5-year longitudinal study in older adults (n=1,678) revealed approximately 15% loss of knee extensor strength and approximately 4% loss of thigh muscle CSA, whereby the decrease in muscle strength was 2–5 times greater than the loss of muscle CSA (108). In addition, calf and thigh muscle CSA (but not muscle mass measured by DXA) were found to be correlated with poor outcomes (i.e. mobility limitation and

Parameter	Cut-off values ^a	Determining method	Reference
Grip strength, kg	32 vs 19	2 SD healthy young	Dodds et al. 2014 (88)
	27 vs 16	2.5 SD healthy young	
	28 vs 18	Lowest quintile of ≥ 65 years	Auyeung et al. 2020 (89)
	26 vs 16	Gait speed 0.8 m/s Inability to rise from a chair	Studenski SA et al. 2014 (9)
Thigh cross-sectional area/weight	1.58 vs 1.25	2 SD healthy young	Kim et al. 2017 (90)
Sonographic Thigh Adjustment Ratio	1.4 vs 1.0	2 SD healthy young	Kara et al. 2020 (13)
Chair stand test, s	10	Disability	Makizako et al. 2017 (91)
	11.6	Gait speed 1.0 m/s	Nishimura et al. 2017 (92)
	13	Gait speed 0.8 m/s	Nishimura et al. 2017 (92)
	17	Gait speed 1.0 m/s	Cesari et al. 2009 (93)
Timed up and go test, s	12	Community-dwelling vs institutionalized	Bischoff et al. 2003 (94)
	13.5	Fall	Barry et al. 2014 (95)
Gait speed, m/s	1.0	Lower extremity limitation	Cesari et al. 2005 (96)
	1.0	Dementia	Taniguchi et al. 2017 (97)
	0.8	Frailty	Clegg et al. 2015 (98)
Short Physical Performance Battery	8	Poor physical performance	Beaudart et al. 2016 (43)
	9	All-cause mortality	Pavasini et al. 2016 (99)

Table II. Commonly used	l and suggested cut-off va	lues in the diagnosis o	f sarcopenia by	/ different working groups
-------------------------	----------------------------	-------------------------	-----------------	----------------------------

^aFor male vs female, respectively, or for both. s: second.

mortality, respectively) (109, 110). Moreover, recent cross-sectional studies report that muscle power/strength and performance tests are better correlated with anterior thigh muscle measurements (CSA and thickness) than total muscle mass measurements (13, 111).

Anterior thigh muscle measurements (i.e. volume, CSA, or thickness) may be more valuable than ASM in the (early) diagnosis of sarcopenia (112). Herein, although MRI and CT may be better than US for regional measurements; both techniques are not feasible for widespread clinical practice. In contrast, US measurements can be an easy, quick, safe, portable alternative that is valid (intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC) 0.92–0.99) and reliable (ICC 0.88–0.97), especially for measuring anterior thigh muscle thickness (113, 114).

MUSCLE STRENGTH AND POWER TESTS

A cross-sectional study of 1.030 subjects aged 20–102 years evaluated grip strength, knee extension strength, leg extension power, and calf muscle CSA, and reported that the gradient of decline during ageing was highest for power, moderate for strength, and less evident for muscle CSA(115). For instance, compared with subjects in their 20s, leg extension power of individuals aged >85 years was lower by 75%, strength by 40–50%, and muscle CSA by only 20%. It is also important to note the significant dissociation between muscle CSA and its function with ageing, which is related to CNS and muscle fibre specific changes. Besides the age-related motor unit loss, fast-to-slow muscle fibre shift, and fibre atrophy (largely due to progressive loss of motoneurones and muscle fibres). Qualitative changes at the muscle fibre level and loss of contractile proteins may also have significant effects on muscle function (19). Although leg strength is strongly correlated with leg power (r=0.89), leg power had more impact than leg strength on all physical performance tests (115). In fact, using a curvilinear model, leg power generally predicted up to 50% of the variance in performance tests including habitual gait speed (29%), stair climb time (37%) and maximal gait speed (50%) (116). Therefore, given the early decline in leg power with ageing, lower extremity strength/power tests (e.g. CST, stair climb test or maximal gait speed) can be used to identify an impaired muscle function at an early period.

Muscle strength and performance tests have higher correlations with morbidity and mortality than muscle mass measurements (117). This is particularly true for the commonly used tests, such as grip strength, CST, and gait speed (105). Grip strength is a simple, easy, and inexpensive screening tool, and a powerful predictor of future morbidity and mortality in young, middle-aged and older adults (118). Although it is useful for screening sarcopenia, grip strength alone (i.e. without CST) can 40% of the variance in lower extremity muscle strength (119). Herewith, evaluating the lower extremity muscle strength is critical, as it is a superior and more convenient indicator of balance, walking, and other mobility-based activities. In addition, subjects with weak lower limb muscles tend to compensate for their ADL performance by using upper limb and trunk muscles, which may help maintain their upper limb muscle mass and strength. In this context, measuring and maintaining the anterior thigh muscle strength would be the primary target to preserve mobility (120). As the lower limb muscle strength is reduced earlier (and to a larger extent) than the upper limb muscle strength (21), tools such as CST and/or stair climb test seem to be a suitable proxy for evaluating the lower limb function as well as strength/ power in ageing adults.

misclassify individuals, as it explains approximately

PERFORMANCE TESTS

The ability to stand up from a sitting position is critical for functional independence and quality of life in general. Rising from a seated position is one of the difficult physical activities in daily life, as it requires movement of body weight against gravity. Loss of muscle mass and function will impact this transfer more than walking. The CST measures the time for an individual to stand up and sit down as quickly as possible 5 times, starting from a seated position without using the arms. As it requires strength and power, it can be a convenient test for mobility. Of note, muscle power is the most reliable measure of muscle function, and is more strongly related to performance than is strength in older adults (38). Furthermore, with ageing, power declines at a faster rate than strength (121). Therefore, a strength/power test, such as CST, seems to be useful for early recognition of sarcopenia.

Although several authors used CST to assess muscle strength, it is actually an integrative task reliant on muscle power and influenced by multiple physiological and psychological processes. It is a specific task rather than a proxy measure of lower limb muscle strength (122). Age-related decline in muscle mass and function can contribute to the loss of ability to rise from a seated position. However, lower limb muscle strength loss is not the only modifier of CST performance in older adults, as it is evident from the fact that lower limb strength parameters explain only half of the variance of CST (123). Leg speed, leg power, endurance, posture, the presence of pain, and psychological factors may also explain the variability in the performance of CST (123).

The Short Physical Performance Battery (SPPB), a valid and reliable measure of lower extremity function, is predictive for disability and mortality (124). It is a composite score (0-12) of gait speed, standing balance,

JRM

and CST. While higher scores indicate better mobility, a total SPPB score ≤ 9 indicates limited mobility (124). Of the 3 SPPB components, the steepest gradient of risk for disability is seen for gait speed (125, 126). As gait speed alone is easy to measure and nearly as good a predictor of disability outcomes as the full battery (30), it may be clinically expedient to focus on gait speed alone. Several authors have suggested that gait speed > 1.0 m/s is related to healthier ageing, and < 0.6 m/s increases the likelihood of poor health and function (127). Others have advocated a more inclusive cut-point value of ≤ 0.8 m/s to define mobility limitation (Table II). Interestingly, older adults with multiple chronic conditions ranked maintaining independence as the most important health-related outcome (76%), followed by staying alive (11%), pain relief (7%)and symptom relief (6%) (128).

It is important to note that performance tests are done with proper stabilization and movements of the body parts around the joints where skeletal muscles are the main producers of forces required to achieve those functional tasks. The magnitude and temporal parameters of forces are initiated and controlled by the CNS. This is why we argued that age-related CNS (including cognitive) dysfunction has significant negative effects on the force-generating capacity of skeletal muscles and the performance of motor or mobility tests (2). Also, there is considerable evidence identifying a relationship between physical and cognitive impairments in older adults (129-133). A recent meta-analysis showed positive and moderate correlations between decrease in grip strength and decline in cognitive function (129). Furthermore, longitudinal studies reported that low grip strength and slow gait speed values at baseline predict future cognitive impairments (132, 133). Brain changes concerning the age-related cognitive impairment include white matter hyperintensity, shrinking of the hippocampus, smaller cortical surface area, more cortical thinning, and inadequate motor coordination (134).

CUT-OFF VALUES FOR STAR AND OTHER TESTS

As anterior thigh muscles are involved early with ageing, using cut-off values for anterior thigh muscle measurements could provide more prompt information about muscle loss, i.e. an impairment in body structure. A wellknown example of this approach is the measurement of bone mineral density (BMD) at regional sites (e.g. femoral neck and spine) for the diagnosis and definition of osteoporosis. As hip BMD is the most reliable parameter for hip fracture risk, and as spinal BMD should be used for monitoring the treatment of osteoporosis (135), femoral neck and/or spine BMD values ≤ 2.5 SD of the mean of young adult population is taken into consideration for the diagnosis of osteoporosis. Making an analogy for sarcopenia, as lower extremity function (i.e. mobility) is important for adverse outcomes in the elderly, and as anterior thigh muscle is more severely and preferentially affected with ageing, knee extensor strength testing together with anterior thigh muscle measurements could be used for accurate diagnosis of sarcopenia (13, 136–138). Likewise, a significant loss of muscle mass and muscle strength (i.e. <2 SD of the mean of young adult population) should be used concerning the diagnosis of sarcopenia. However, such an approach is currently not included in any of the ongoing consensus or discussions for sarcopenia.

Some studies have used anterior thigh muscle thickness, or anterior to posterior ratio to detect the loss of anterior thigh muscle (112). However, muscle measurements of a subject differ according to age, sex, weight and height. Therefore, adjusted regional muscle mass measurements should be used. In this regard, thigh muscle CSA has been adjusted by body weight, since it has a higher correlation with body weight (r=0.73 in males, r=0.66 in females) than height (r=0.32, r=0.21, respectively) (139). In addition, sarcopenia is defined as the ratio of thigh muscle CSA/body weight being <2 SD values of the young population (Table II) (88). Although it seems reasonable, as stated above, the use of whole (not only anterior) thigh muscle CSA may lead to misinterpretation as anterior thigh muscles are affected more severely.

We propose adjusting anterior thigh muscle thickness for BMI because of the correlation between these 2 variables in healthy young adults (r=0.500 in males, r=0.447 in females). This adjustment using BMI and a Sonographic Thigh Adjustment Ratio (STAR) is already suggested for the diagnosis of sarcopenia (Table II) (13). Another commonly used muscle for the evaluation and diagnosis of sarcopenia is the psoas major (140). Its CSA was found to be positively correlated with weight (r=0.365) (141). However, it is commonly, and in our opinion erroneously, adjusted by height squared and values <2 SD of the healthy young adults were considered as low muscle mass (142, 143).

We also suggest that grip strength cut-off values should be <2 SD of a healthy young population. Different cutoff values for CST, predicting a gait speed of <0.8 or <1m/s, have also been reported (Table I). Different cut-off values have also been published as regards timed up and go test, gait speed, SPPB and 400-m walk test, as shown in Table II. All of these tests can be performed in clinical practice to predict sarcopenia-related adverse outcomes. Herewith, while the SPPB and 400-m walk tests predict mortality (98), due to their long duration or requirement for extra space (e.g. corridor longer than 20 m), these tests are more often used in research than in daily clinical practice.

Finally, although ISarcoPRM recommends certain cut-off values (especially for STAR), it will undoubtedly be more appropriate to use validated cut-off values once different countries establish their national normative data together with the relevant predictive values for morbidity and mortality, which is a high priority for future longitudinal research.

ISarcoPRM DIAGNOSTIC ALGORITHM

The ISarcoPRM suggests screening all older adults and adults with RAS-related disorders. To identify low muscle function, ISarcoPRM proposes the use of \geq 12 s for CST, and <32 kg (males) and <19 kg (females) for grip strength as the relevant cut-off values (Table II) (Fig. 2). Once low muscle function (assessed by CST or grip strength) is detected, with the diagnosis of "probable sarcopenia", we suggest using STAR to explore significant loss of muscle mass (<1.0 for females and <1.4 for males). If a person has both loss of muscle function and muscle mass, the condition can then be defined as "sarcopenia".

If the person has normal STAR values (i.e. muscle strength or function loss alone), the scenario can be defined as "dynapenia", and other causes affecting the neuromotor control (e.g. cognitive impairment, polyneuropathy, movement/balance disorder, depression) should be investigated (119). Lastly, ISarcoPRM suggests to define "severe sarcopenia" in the presence of a mobility limitation, i.e. gait speed ≤ 0.8 m/s and/or inability to rise from a chair without support (9). Needless to say, these older subjects are prone to eventually develop decline in physical and cognitive functioning, as such multidisciplinary diagnostic/therapeutic management would always remain as the prerequisite.

The differences between this proposed algorithm and others are:

- special attention has been paid to RAS-related disorders at the case finding stage;
- in addition to grip strength, CST is also recommended as a power and performance test to assess anterior thigh muscle function at an early stage;
- the regional loss in the anterior thigh muscle mass and function is primarily underscored;
- US (a convenient, safe, effective imaging method) is incorporated for the first time to measure anterior thigh musculature;
- performance tests are again used to determine the severity of sarcopenia.



Herein, several limitations must be acknowledged. This is a conceptual model that is supported by scientific evidence, but that has not been tested in the research laboratory or in the clinic. Therefore, it is important to conduct more research about the individual components of the algorithm and to validate the proposed approach. The presence of other health conditions must be evaluated, and the application of the model to persons with some comorbidities (e.g. knee surgery, peripheral nerve injury or severe arthritis) must be done with caution. The presence of any of these conditions may result in regional loss of muscle mass and concomitant muscle dysfunction. It may be possible to use the contralateral anterior thigh or psoas muscle measurements in such clinical scenarios. Another limitation is related to the lack of access or insufficient training to perform optimal ultrasonographic muscle mass measurements.

FUTURE AGENDA

An important aim of our proposal is to promote further discussion about the evaluation and diagnosis of sarcopenia from a point of view that fits better in a musculoskeletal/rehabilitation medicine perspective. It is important to better understand the relationship between sarcopenia and function. The proposed novel framework has scientific support in the literature, but immediately suggests several lines of research. A sound and comprehensive algorithm will help us to define the incidence and prevalence of this condition. The study of RAS-related disorders can contribute to a better understanding of the basic pathogenesis of sarcopenia. Refining and testing the algorithm for its applicability as a tool to monitor progress and changes over time is also a priority for future research. In addition, the development of therapeutic and rehabilitative interventions, based on the pathophysiology discussed above, is required.

The authors have no conflict of interests to declare.

REFERENCES

- Rosenberg IH. Epidemiologic and methodologic problems in determining nutritional status of older persons. (Summary comments)." Am J Cli Nutr 1989; 50: 1231–1233.
- Özçakar L, Kara M, Quittan M, Ata AM, Michail X, Kaymak B. The need for an integrative musculoskeletal approach in sarcopenia: the ISarcoPRM kickstart. Eur J Phys Rehabil Med 2020; 56: 535–536.
- Anker SD, Morley JE, von Haehling S. Welcome to the ICD-10 code for sarcopenia. J Cachexia Sarcopenia Muscle 2016; 7: 512–514.
- 4. Muscaritoli M, Anker SD, Argilés J, Aversa Z, Bauer JM, Biolo G, et al. Consensus definition of sarcopenia, cachexia and pre-cachexia: joint document elaborated by Special Interest Groups (SIG) "cachexia-anorexia in chronic wasting diseases" and "nutrition in geriatrics". Clin Nutr 2010; 29: 154–159.
- Cruz–Jentoft AJ, Baeyens JP, Bauer JM, Boirie Y, Cederholm T, Landi F, et al. Sarcopenia: European consensus on definition and diagnosis: report of the European Working Group on

Sarcopenia in Older People. Age Ageing 2010; 39: 412–423.
Fielding RA, Vellas B, Evans WJ, Bhasin S, Morley JE, Newman AB, et al. Sarcopenia: an undiagnosed condition

- in older adults. Current consensus definition: prevalence, etiology, and consequences. International working group on sarcopenia. J Am Med Dir Assoc 2011; 12: 249–256.
- Morley JE, Abbatecola AM, Argiles JM, Baracos V, Bauer J, Bhasin S, et al. Sarcopenia with limited mobility: an international consensus. J Am Med Dir Assoc 2011; 12: 403–409.
- McLean RR, Shardell MD, Alley DE, Cawthon PM, Fragala MS, Harris TB, et al. Criteria for clinically relevant weakness and low lean mass and their longitudinal association with incident mobility impairment and mortality: the Foundation for the National Institutes of Health (FNIH) sarcopenia project. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci 2014; 69: 576–583.
- Studenski SA, Peters KW, Alley DE, Cawthon PM, McLean RR, Harris TB, et al. The FNIH sarcopenia project: rationale, study description, conference recommendations, and final estimates. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci 2014; 69: 547–558.
- Chen LK, Liu LK, Woo J, Assantachai P, Auyeung TW, Bahyah KS, et al. Sarcopenia in Asia: consensus report of the Asian Working Group for Sarcopenia. J Am Med Dir Assoc 2014; 15: 95–101.
- Cruz-Jentoft AJ, Bahat G, Bauer J, Boirie Y, Bruyère O, Cederholm T, et al. Sarcopenia: revised European consensus on definition and diagnosis. Age Ageing 2019; 48: 16–31.
- Chen LK, Woo J, Assantachai P, Auyeung TW, Chou MY, Iijima K, et al. Asian Working Group for Sarcopenia: 2019 Consensus update on sarcopenia diagnosis and treatment. J Am Med Dir Assoc 2020; 21: 300–307.e2.
- Kara M, Kaymak B, Ata AM, Özkal Ö, Kara Ö, Baki A, et al. STAR-Sonographic thigh adjustment ratio: a golden formula for the diagnosis of sarcopenia. Am J Phys Med Rehabil 2020; 99: 902–908.
- Mayhew AJ, Amog K, Phillips S, Parise G, McNicholas PD, de Souza RJ, et al. The prevalence of sarcopenia in community-dwelling older adults, an exploration of differences between studies and within definitions: a systematic review and meta-analyses. Age Ageing 2019; 48: 48–56.
- Reginster JY, Cooper C, Rizzoli R, Kanis JA, Appelboom G, Bautmans I, et al. Recommendations for the conduct of clinical trials for drugs to treat or prevent sarcopenia. Aging Clin Exp Res 2016; 28: 47–58.
- Barnouin Y, McPhee JS, Butler-Browne G, Bosutti A, De Vito G, Jones DA, et al. Coupling between skeletal muscle fiber size and capillarization is maintained during healthy aging. J Cachexia Sarcopenia Muscle 2017; 8: 647–659.
- Lexell J, Henriksson-Larsén K, Winblad B, Sjöström M. Distribution of different fiber types in human skeletal muscles: effects of aging studied in whole muscle cross sections. Muscle Nerve 1983; 6: 588–595.
- Piasecki M, Ireland A, Piasecki J, Stashuk DW, Swiecicka A, Rutter MK, et al. Failure to expand the motor unit size to compensate for declining motor unit numbers distinguishes sarcopenic from non-sarcopenic older men. J Physiol 2018; 596: 1627–1637.
- Larsson L, Degens H, Li M, Salviati L, Lee YI, Thompson W, et al. Sarcopenia: Aging-related loss of muscle mass and function. Physiol Rev 2019; 99: 427–511.
- Baumgartner RN, Koehler KM, Gallagher D, Romero L, Heymsfield SB, Ross RR, et al. Epidemiology of sarcopenia among the elderly in New Mexico. Am J Epidemiol 1998; 147: 755–763.
- Janssen I, Heymsfield SB, Wang ZM, Ross R. Skeletal muscle mass and distribution in 468 men and women aged 18-88 yr. J Appl Physiol (1985) 2000; 89: 81–88.
- Ata AM, Kara M, Kaymak B, Özçakar L. "Zooming" in the anterior thigh muscle for the diagnosis of sarcopenia. J Am Geriatr Soc 2020; 68: 1878–1879.
- Ata AM, Kara M, Kaymak B, Özçakar L. Sarcopenia is not "love": you have to look where you lost it! Am J Phys Med Rehabil 2020; 99: e119–e120.
- 24. Abe T, Loenneke JP, Thiebaud RS, Ogawa M, Mitsukawa

p. 12 of 14 M. Kara et al.

N. Age-related site-specific muscle loss in the thigh and zigzag walking performance in older men and women. Acta Physiol Hung 2014; 101: 488–495.

- Abe T, Kawakami Y, Kondo M, Fukunaga T. Comparison of ultrasound-measured age-related, site-specific muscle loss between healthy Japanese and German men. Clin Physiol Funct Imaging 2011; 31: 320–325.
- Ata AM, Kara M, Kaymak B, Gürçay E, Çakır B, Ünlü H, et al. Regional and total muscle mass, muscle strength and physical performance: the potential use of ultrasound imaging for sarcopenia. Arch Gerontol Geriatr 2019; 83: 55–60.
- Cruz-Jentoft AJ, Hughes BD, Scott D, Sanders KM, Rizzoli R. Nutritional strategies for maintaining muscle mass and strength from middle age to later life: a narrative review. Maturitas 2020; 132: 57–64.
- World Health Organization. International Classification of Functioning, Disability and Health. World Health Organization. [accessed October 18, 2020]. Available from: https:// www.who.int/classifications/icf/en/
- Bean JF, Orkaby AR, Driver JA. Geriatric rehabilitation should not be an oxymoron: a path forward. Arch Phys Med Rehabil 2019; 100: 995–1000.
- 30. Guralnik JM, Ferrucci L, Pieper CF, Leveille SG, Markides KS, Ostir GV, et al. Lower extremity function and subsequent disability: consistency across studies, predictive models, and value of gait speed alone compared with the short physical performance battery. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci 2000; 55: M221–M231.
- Cummings SR, Studenski S, Ferrucci L. A diagnosis of dismobility-giving mobility clinical visibility: a Mobility Working Group recommendation. JAMA 2014; 311: 2061–2062.
- 32. Halar EM, Bell KR. Physical inactivity: physiological and functional impairments and their treatment. Chapter 48. In: Frontera WR, ed. DeLisa's Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation: Principles and Practice. Fifth Edition. Philadelphia: Wolters Kluwer; 2012, p. 1249–1272.
- Haskell WL, Blair SN, Hill JO. Physical activity: health outcomes and importance for public health policy. Prev Med 2009; 49: 280–282.
- PROMIS: Dynamic tools to measure health outcomes from the patient perspective. [Accessed October 18, 2020]. Available from: https://commonfund.nih.gov/promis/index
- Lieber RL. Skeletal muscle structure, function, and plasticity. Third edn. Philadelphia: Wolters-Kluwer; 2010. Chapter 2, Skeletal muscle physiology; p. 41–92.
- Winter D. Biomechanics and motor control of human movement. Chapter 6. Mechanical work, energy, and power. Hoboken, NJ: Wiley; 2009, p. 139–175.
- Lieber R. Skeletal muscle structure, function, and plasticity Third Ed. Philadelphia: Wolters-Kluwer; 2010. Chapter 3, The production of movement; p. 93–140.
- Takai Y, Ohta M, Akagi R, Kanehisa H, Kawakami Y, Fukunaga T. Sit-to-stand test to evaluate knee extensor muscle size and strength in the elderly: a novel approach. J Physiol Anthropol 2009; 28: 123–128.
- Siff M. Biomechanical foundations of strength and power training. In: Zatsiorsky VM, editor. Biomechanics in sport: performance enhancement and injury prevention. London: Blackwell; 2000. p. 103–39.
- Lowry KA, Brach JS, Nebes RD, Studenski SA, VanSwearingen JM. Contributions of cognitive function to straightand curved-path walking in older adults. Arch Phys Med Rehabil 2012; 93: 802–807.
- Kara M, Özçakar L, Kaymak B, Ata AM, Frontera W. A "neuromuscular look" to sarcopenia: Is it a movement disorder? J Rehabil Med 2020; 52: jrm00042.
- Beaudart C, Rolland Y, Cruz-Jentoft AJ, Bauer JM, Sieber C, Cooper C, et al. Assessment of muscle function and physical performance in daily clinical practice: A position paper endorsed by the European Society for Clinical and Economic Aspects of Osteoporosis, Osteoarthritis and Musculoskeletal Diseases (ESCEO). Calcif Tissue Int 2019; 105: 1–14.
- Beaudart C, McCloskey E, Bruyère O, Cesari M, Rolland Y, Rizzoli R, et al. Sarcopenia in daily practice: assessment and management. BMC Geriatr 2016; 16: 170.

- Romanick M, Thompson LV, Brown-Borg HM. Murine models of atrophy, cachexia, and sarcopenia in skeletal muscle. Biochim Biophys Acta 2013; 1832: 1410–1420.
- Ziaaldini MM, Marzetti E, Picca A, Murlasits Z. Biochemical pathways of sarcopenia and their modulation by physical exercise: a narrative review. Front Med 2017; 4: 167.
- 46. Sartiani L, Spinelli V, Laurino A, Blescia S, Raimondi L, Cerbai E. Pharmacological perspectives in sarcopenia: a potential role for renin-angiotensin system blockers? Clin Cases Miner Bone Metab 2015; 12: 135–138.
- Powers SK, Morton A, Hyatt H, Hinkley MJ. The reninangiotensin system and skeletal muscle. Exer Sport Sci Rev 2018; 46: 205–214.
- Cohen S, Nathan JA, Goldberg AL. Muscle wasting in disease: molecular mechanisms and promising therapies. Nat Rev Drug Discov 2015; 14: 58–74.
- Jørgensen JOL, Rubeck KZ, Nielsen TS, Clasen BF, Vendelboe M, Hafstrøm TK, et al. Effects of GH in human muscle and fat. Pediatr Nephrol 2010; 25: 705–709.
- Shin MJ, Jeon YK, Kim IJ. Testosterone and sarcopenia. World J Mens Health 2018; 36: 192–198.
- Wang Y, Pessin JE. Mechanisms for fiber-type specificity of skeletal muscle atrophy. Curr Opin Clin Nutr Metab Care 2013; 16: 243–250.
- Miljkovic N, Lim JY, Miljkovic I, Frontera WR. Aging of skeletal muscle fibers. Ann Rehabil Med 2015; 39: 155–162.
- Sato T, Akatsuka H, Kito K, Tokoro Y, Tauchi H, Kato K. Age changes in size and number of muscle fibers in human minor pectoral muscle. Mech Ageing Dev 1984; 28: 99–109.
- 54. Verdijk LB, Koopman R, Schaart G, Meijer K, Savelberg HH, van Loon LJ. Satellite cell content is specifically reduced in type II skeletal muscle fibers in the elderly. Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab 2007; 292: E151–E157.
- Cabello-Verrugio C, Morales MG, Rivera JC, Cabrera D, Simon F. Renin-angiotensin system: an old player with novel functions in skeletal muscle. Med Res Rev 2015; 35: 437–463.
- Dumurgier J, Elbaz A, Dufouil C, Tavernier B, Tzourio C. Hypertension and lower walking speed in the elderly: the Three-City study. J Hypertens 2010; 28: 1506–1514.
- 57. Di Bari M, van de Poll-Franse LV, Onder G, Kritchevsky SB, Newman A, Harris TB, et al. Antihypertensive medications and differences in muscle mass in older persons: the Health, Aging and Body Composition Study. J Am Geriatr Soc 2004; 52: 961–966.
- Onder G, Penninx BW, Balkrishnan R, Fried LP, Chaves PH, Williamson J, et al. Relation between use of angiotensinconverting enzyme inhibitors and muscle strength and physical function in older women: an observational study. Lancet 2002; 359: 926–930.
- Song YH, Li Y, Du J, Mitch WE, Rosenthal N, Delafontaine P. Muscle-specific expression of IGF-1 blocks angiotensin II-induced skeletal muscle wasting. J Clin Invest 2005; 115: 451–458.
- 60. Ábrigo J, Simon F, Cabrera D, Cabello-Verrugio C. Angiotensin-(1-7) prevents skeletal muscle atrophy induced by transforming growth factor type beta (TGF-β) via Mas receptor activation. Cell Physiol Biochem 2016; 40: 27–38.
- 61. Wright JW, Harding JW. The brain RAS and Alzheimer's disease. Exp Neurol 2010; 223: 326–333.
- 62. Yasar S, Moored KD, Adam A, Zabel F, Chuang YF, Varma VR, et al. Angiotensin II blood levels are associated with smaller hippocampal and cortical volumes in cognitively normal older adults. J Alzheimers Dis 2020; 75: 521–529.
- 63. Kehoe PG, Miners S, Love S. Angiotensins in Alzheimer's disease – friend or foe? Trends Neurosci 2009; 32: 619–628.
- 64. Mogi M, Iwanami J, Horiuchi M. Roles of brain angiotensin II in cognitive function and dementia. Int J Hypertens 2012; 2012: 169649.
- 65. Han W, Wang M, Zhai X, Gan Q, Guan S, Qu X. Chemical renal denervation-induced upregulation of the ACE2/ Ang (1-7)/Mas axis attenuates blood pressure elevation in spontaneously hypertensive rats. Clin Exp Hypertens 2020; 42: 661–668.
- 66. Kurabayashi M, Yazaki Y. Downregulation of angiotensin II receptor type 1 in heart failure. A process of adaptation or

Diagnosing sarcopenia p. 13 of 14

deterioration? Circulation 1997; 95: 1104-1107.

- Sun Y. Intracardiac renin-angiotensin system and myocardial repair/remodeling following infarction. J Mol Cell Cardiol 2010; 48: 483–489.
- Ahmad M, Makati D, Akbar S. Review of and updates on hypertension in obstructive sleep apnea. Int J Hypertens 2017; 2017: 1848375.
- Saavedra JM. Beneficial effects of angiotensin II receptor blockers in brain disorders. Pharmacol Res 2017; 125: 91–103.
- Arroja MM, Reid E, McCabe C. Therapeutic potential of the renin angiotensin system in ischaemic stroke. Exp Transl Stroke Med 2016; 8: 8.
- Mishima E, Haruna Y, Arima H. Renin-angiotensin system inhibitors in hypertensive adults with non-diabetic CKD with or without proteinuria: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized trials. Hypertens Res 2019; 42: 469–482.
- Thorp AA, Schlaich MP. Relevance of sympathetic nervous system activation in obesity and metabolic syndrome. J Diabetes Res 2015; 2015: 341583.
- Hausberg M, Mark AL, Hoffman RP, Sinkey CA, Anderson EA. Dissociation of sympathoexcitatory and vasodilator actions of modestly elevated plasma insulin levels. J Hypertens 1995; 13: 1015–1021.
- Tanaka M. Improving obesity and blood pressure. Hypertens Res 2020; 43: 79–89.
- Vasileiadis IE, Goudis CA, Giannakopoulou PT, Liu T. Angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitors and angiotensin receptor blockers: a promising medication for chronic obstructive pulmonary disease? COPD 2018; 15: 148–156.
- 76. Kehoe PG. The Coming of age of the angiotensin hypothesis in Alzheimer's disease: progress toward disease prevention and treatment? J Alzheimers Dis 2018; 62: 1443–1466.
- 77. Kawajiri M, Mogi M, Higaki N, Matsuoka T, Ohyagi Y, Tsukuda K, et al. Angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE) and ACE2 levels in the cerebrospinal fluid of patients with multiple sclerosis. Mult Scler 2009; 15: 262–265.
- Labandeira-García JL, Garrido-Gil P, Rodriguez-Pallares J, Valenzuela R, Borrajo A, Rodríguez-Perez AI. Brain reninangiotensin system and dopaminergic cell vulnerability. Front Neuroanat 2014; 8: 67.
- Rocha NP, Scalzo PL, Barbosa IG, de Campos-Carli SM, Tavares LD, de Souza MS, et al. Peripheral levels of angiotensins are associated with depressive symptoms in Parkinson's disease. J Neurol Sci 2016; 368: 235–239.
- Ekiz T, Kara M, Ata AM, Ricci V, Kara Ö, Özcan F, et al. Rewinding sarcopenia: a narrative review on the reninangiotensin system. Aging Clin Exp Res. 2021 Jan 4. doi: 10.1007/s40520-020-01761-3. [Epub ahead of print].
- Gurcay E, Kara M. Is sarcopenia primarily an age-related or renin-angiotensin system-related disorder? Geriatr Gerontol Int 2020; 20: 997.
- Castillo-Olea C, Garcia-Zapirain Soto B, Zuñiga C. Evaluation of prevalence of the sarcopenia level using machine learning techniques: case study in Tijuana Baja California, Mexico. Int J Environ Res Public Health 2020; 17: 1917.
- Bai T, Fang F, Li F, Ren Y, Hu J, Cao J. Sarcopenia is associated with hypertension in older adults: a systematic review and meta-analysis. BMC Geriatr 2020; 20: 279.
- Ata AM, Kara M, Ekiz T, Kara Ö, Culha MA, Ricci V, et al. Reassessing sarcopenia in hypertension: STAR and ACE inhibitors excel. Int J Clin Pract 2020; e13800.
- Coelho-Júnior HJ, Gambassi BB, Irigoyen MC, Gonçalves IO, Oliveira PLL, Schwingel PA, et al. Hypertension, sarcopenia, and global cognitive function in communitydwelling older women: a preliminary study. J Aging Res 2018; 2018: 9758040.
- Ekiz T, Kara M, Özcan F, Ricci V, Özçakar L. Sarcopenia and COVID-19: A manifold insight on hypertension and the renin angiotensin system. Am J Phys Med Rehabil 2020; 99: 880–882.
- Rolland Y, Lauwers-Cances V, Cristini C, Abellan van Kan G, Janssen I, Morley JE, et al. Difficulties with physical function associated with obesity, sarcopenia, and sarco-

penic-obesity in community-dwelling elderly women: the EPIDOS (EPIDemiologie de l'OSteoporose) Study. Am J Clin Nutr 2009; 89: 1895–1900.

- Dodds RM, Syddall HE, Cooper R, Benzeval M, Deary IJ, Dennison EM, et al. Grip strength across the life course: normative data from twelve British studies. PLoS One 2014; 9: e113637.
- Auyeung TW, Arai H, Chen L, Woo J. Normative data of handgrip strength in 26344 older adults – a pooled dataset from eight cohorts in Asia. J Nutr Health Aging 2020; 24: 125–126.
- Kim TN, Park MS, Lee EJ, Chung HS, Yoo HJ, Kang HJ, et al. Comparisons of three different methods for defining sarcopenia: an aspect of cardiometabolic risk. Sci Rep 2017; 7: 6491.
- Makizako H, Shimada H, Doi T, Tsutsumimoto K, Lee S, Lee SC, et al. Age-dependent changes in physical performance and body composition in community-dwelling Japanese older adults. J Cachexia Sarcopenia Muscle 2017; 8: 607–614.
- Nishimura T, Arima K, Okabe T, Mizukami S, Tomita Y, Kanagae M, et al. Usefulness of chair stand time as a surrogate of gait speed in diagnosing sarcopenia. Geriatr Gerontol Int 2017; 17: 659–661.
- 93. Cesari M, Kritchevsky SB, Newman AB, Simonsick EM, Harris TB, Penninx BW, et al. Added value of physical performance measures in predicting adverse healthrelated events: results from the Health, Aging And Body Composition Study. J Am Geriatr Soc 2009; 57: 251–259.
- 94. Bischoff HA, Stähelin HB, Monsch AU, Iversen MD, Weyh A, von Dechend M, et al. Identifying a cut-off point for normal mobility: a comparison of the timed 'up and go' test in community-dwelling and institutionalised elderly women. Age Ageing 2003; 32: 315–320.
- 95. Barry E, Galvin R, Keogh C, Horgan F, Fahey T. Is the Timed Up and Go test a useful predictor of risk of falls in community dwelling older adults: a systematic review and meta-analysis. BMC Geriatr 2014; 14: 14.
- 96. Cesari M, Kritchevsky SB, Penninx BW, Nicklas BJ, Simonsick EM, Newman AB, et al. Prognostic value of usual gait speed in well-functioning older people-results from the Health, Aging and Body Composition Study. J Am Geriatr Soc 2005; 53: 1675–1680.
- Taniguchi Y, Kitamura A, Seino S, Murayama H, Amano H, Nofuji Y, et al. Gait performance trajectories and incident disabling dementia among community-dwelling older Japanese. J Am Med Dir Assoc 2017; 18: 192. e13–e20.
- Clegg A, Rogers L, Young J. Diagnostic test accuracy of simple instruments for identifying frailty in communitydwelling older people: a systematic review. Age Ageing 2015; 44: 148–152.
- Pavasini R, Guralnik J, Brown JC, Di Bari M, Cesari M, Landi F, et al. Short physical performance battery and all-cause mortality: systematic review and meta-analysis. BMC Med 2016; 14: 215.
- 100.Malmstrom TK, Morley JE. SARC-F: a simple questionnaire to rapidly diagnose sarcopenia. J Am Med Dir Assoc 2013; 14: 531–532.
- 101.Kera T, Kawai H, Hirano H, Kojima M, Watanabe Y, Motokawa K, et al. Limitations of SARC-F in the diagnosis of sarcopenia in community-dwelling older adults. Arch Gerontol Geriatr 2020; 87: 103959.
- 102. Pinheiro PA, Carneiro JA, Coqueiro RS, Pereira R, Fernandes MH. "Chair Stand Test" as simple tool for sarcopenia screening in elderly women. J Nutr Health Aging 2016; 20: 56–59.
- 103.Shimokata H, Ando F, Yuki A, Otsuka R. Age-related changes in skeletal muscle mass among community-dwelling Japanese: a 12-year longitudinal study. Geriatr Gerontol Int 2014; 14 Suppl 1: 85–92.
- 104.Li R, Xia J, Zhang XI, Gathirua-Mwangi WG, Guo J, Li Y, et al. Associations of muscle mass and strength with allcause mortality among US older adults. Med Sci Sports Exerc 2018; 50: 458–467.
- 105.Lam FMH, Su Y, Lu ZH, Yu R, Leung JCS, Kwok TCY. Cumulative and incremental value of sarcopenia components on predicting adverse outcomes. J Am Med Dir Assoc 2020; 21: 1481–1489.e3.

p. 14 of 14 M. Kara et al.

- 106.Cawthon PM, Manini T, Patel SM, Newman A, Travison T, Kiel DP, et al. Putative cut-points in sarcopenia components and incident adverse health outcomes: An SDOC Analysis. J Am Geriatr Soc 2020; 68: 1429–1437.
- 107. Frontera WR, Hughes VA, Fielding RA, Fiatarone MA, Evans WJ, Roubenoff R. Aging of skeletal muscle: a 12-yr longitudinal study. J Appl Physiol (1985) 2000; 88: 1321–1326.
- 108.Delmonico MJ, Harris TB, Visser M, Park SW, Conroy MB, Velasquez-Mieyer P, et al. Longitudinal study of muscle strength, quality, and adipose tissue infiltration. Am J Clin Nutr 2009; 90: 1579–1585.
- 109.Santanasto AJ, Miljkovic I, Cvejkus RC, Gordon CL, Bunker CH, Patrick AL, et al. Body composition remodeling and incident mobility limitations in African Ancestry men. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci 2019; 74: 400–405.
- 110.Santanasto AJ, Goodpaster BH, Kritchevsky SB, Miljkovic I, Satterfield S, Schwartz AV, et al. Body composition remodeling and mortality: The Health Aging and Body Composition Study. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci 2017; 72: 513–519.
- 111.Tsukasaki K, Matsui Y, Arai H, Harada A, Tomida M, Takemura M, et al. Association of muscle strength and gait speed with cross-sectional muscle area determined by mid-thigh computed tomography – a comparison with skeletal muscle as measured by dual-energy x-ray absorptiometry. J Frailty Aging 2020; 9: 82–89.
- 112.Abe T, Thiebaud RS, Loenneke JP, Loftin M, Fukunaga T. Prevalence of site-specific thigh sarcopenia in Japanese men and women. Age (Dordr) 2014; 36: 417–426.
- 113.Nijholt W, Scafoglieri A, Jager-Wittenaar H, Hobbelen JSM, van der Schans CP. The reliability and validity of ultrasound to quantify muscles in older adults: a systematic review. J Cachexia Sarcopenia Muscle 2017; 8: 702–712.
- 114.Berger J, Bunout D, Barrera G, de la Maza MP, Henriquez S, Leiva L, et al. Rectus femoris (RF) ultrasound for the assessment of muscle mass in older people. Arch Gerontol Geriatr 2015; 61: 33–38.
- 115.Lauretani F, Russo CR, Bandinelli S, Bartali B, Cavazzini C, Di Iorio A, et al. Age-associated changes in skeletal muscles and their effect on mobility: an operational diagnosis of sarcopenia. J Appl Physiol (1985) 2003; 95: 1851–1860.
- 116.Bean JF, Kiely DK, Herman S, Leveille SG, Mizer K, Frontera WR, et al. The relationship between leg power and physical performance in mobility-limited older people. J Am Geriatr Soc 2002; 50: 461–467.
- 117.Clark BC. Neuromuscular changes with aging and sarcopenia. J Frailty Aging 2019; 8: 7–9.
- 118.Leong DP, Teo KK, Rangarajan S, Lopez-Jaramillo P, Avezum A, Jr., Orlandini A, et al. Prognostic value of grip strength: findings from the Prospective Urban Rural Epidemiology (PURE) study. Lancet 2015; 386: 266–273.
- 119.Manini TM, Clark BC. Dynapenia and aging: an update. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci 2012; 67: 28–40.
- 120. Lynch GS. Tackling Australia's future health problems: developing strategies to combat sarcopenia-age-related muscle wasting and weakness. Intern Med J 2004; 34: 294-296.
- 121.Reid KF, Fielding RA. Skeletal muscle power: a critical determinant of physical functioning in older adults. Exerc Sport Sci Rev 2012; 40: 4–12.
- 122. Lord SR, Murray SM, Chapman K, Munro B, Tiedemann A. Sit-to-stand performance depends on sensation, speed, balance, and psychological status in addition to strength in older people. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci 2002; 57: M539–M543.
- 123. McCarthy EK, Horvat MA, Holtsberg PA, Wisenbaker JM. Repeated chair stands as a measure of lower limb strength in sexagenarian women. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci 2004; 59: 1207–1212.
- 124.Guralnik JM, Simonsick EM, Ferrucci L, Glynn RJ, Berkman LF, Blazer DG, et al. A short physical performance battery assessing lower extremity function: association with selfreported disability and prediction of mortality and nursing home admission. J Gerontol 1994; 49: M85–M94.
- 125.Ostir GV, Markides KS, Black SA, Goodwin JS. Lower body functioning as a predictor of subsequent disability among older Mexican Americans. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci

1998; 53: M491-M495.

- 126.Guralnik JM, Ferrucci L, Simonsick EM, Salive ME, Wallace RB. Lower-extremity function in persons over the age of 70 years as a predictor of subsequent disability. N Engl J Med 1995; 332: 556–561.
- 127.Studenski S, Perera S, Patel K, Rosano C, Faulkner K, Inzitari M, et al. Gait speed and survival in older adults. JAMA 2011; 305: 50–58.
- 128.Fried TR, Tinetti ME, Iannone L, O'Leary JR, Towle V, Van Ness PH. Health outcome prioritization as a tool for decision making among older persons with multiple chronic conditions. Arch Intern Med 2011; 171: 1854–1856.
- 129.Zammit AR, Piccinin AM, Duggan EC, Koval A, Clouston S, Robitaille A, et al. A coordinated multi-study analysis of the longitudinal association between handgrip strength and cognitive function in older adults. J Gerontol B Psychol Sci Soc Sci 2019; gbz072.
- 130.Kobayashi-Cuya KE, Sakurai R, Suzuki H, Ogawa S, Takebayashi T, Fujiwara Y. Observational evidence of the association between handgrip strength, hand dexterity, and cognitive performance in community-dwelling older adults: A systematic review. J Epidemiol 2018; 28: 373–381.
- 131.Rasmussen LJH, Caspi A, Ambler A, Broadbent JM, Cohen HJ, d'Arbeloff T, et al. Association of neurocognitive and physical function with gait speed in midlife. JAMA Netw Open 2019; 2: e1913123.
- 132.Auyeung TW, Lee JS, Kwok T, Woo J. Physical frailty predicts future cognitive decline - a four-year prospective study in 2737 cognitively normal older adults. J Nutr Health Aging 2011; 15: 690–694.
- 133.Chou MY, Nishita Y, Nakagawa T, Tange C, Tomida M, Shimokata H, et al. Role of gait speed and grip strength in predicting 10-year cognitive decline among communitydwelling older people. BMC Geriatr 2019; 19: 186.
- 134.Clark BC, Woods AJ, Clark LA, Criss CR, Shadmehr R, Grooms DR. The aging brain & the dorsal basal ganglia: Implications for age-related limitations of mobility. Adv Geriatr Med Res 2019; 1.
- 135.Blake GM, Fogelman I. The role of DXA bone density scans in the diagnosis and treatment of osteoporosis. Postgrad Med J 2007; 83: 509–517.
- 136.Özkal Ö, Kara M, Topuz S, Kaymak B, Bakı A, Özçakar L. Assessment of core and lower limb muscles for static/ dynamic balance in the older people: An ultrasonographic study. Age Ageing 2019; 48: 881–887.
- 137.Kara M, Ata AM, Kaymak B, Özçakar L. Ultrasound imaging and rehabilitation of muscle disorders: Part 2: Nontraumatic conditions. Am J Phys Med Rehabil 2020; 99: 636–644.
- 138. Minetto MA, Caresio C, Menapace T, Hajdarevic A, Marchini A, Molinari F, et al. Ultrasound-based detection of low muscle mass for diagnosis of sarcopenia in older adults. PM R 2016; 8: 453–462.
- 139.Ochi M, Kohara K, Tabara Y, Kido T, Uetani E, Ochi N, et al. Arterial stiffness is associated with low thigh muscle mass in middle-aged to elderly men. Atherosclerosis 2010; 212: 327–332.
- 140.Hanaoka M, Yasuno M, Ishiguro M, Yamauchi S, Kikuchi A, Tokura M, et al. Morphologic change of the psoas muscle as a surrogate marker of sarcopenia and predictor of complications after colorectal cancer surgery. Int J Colorectal Dis 2017; 32: 847–856.
- 141.Lee DY, Yang JH, Ki CH, Ko MS, Suk KS, Kim HS, et al. Relationship between bone mineral density and spinal muscle area in magnetic resonance imaging. J Bone Metab 2015; 22: 197–204.
- 142.Hamaguchi Y, Kaido T, Okumura S, Kobayashi A, Hammad A, Tamai Y, et al. Proposal for new diagnostic criteria for low skeletal muscle mass based on computed tomography imaging in Asian adults. Nutrition 2016; 32: 1200–1205.
- 143.Ohara M, Suda G, Kimura M, Maehara O, Shimazaki T, Shigesawa T, et al. Analysis of the optimal psoas muscle mass index cut-off values, as measured by computed tomography, for the diagnosis of loss of skeletal muscle mass in Japanese people. Hepatol Res 2020; 50: 715–725.