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Fig. S1. Randomization and patient response rates.

- **Assessed for eligibility**: n = 81
  - Did not meet inclusion criteria: n = 3
  - Refused to participate: n = 3
  - Other reasons: n = 2

- **Randomized**: n = 73

- **Allocated to intervention LED-PDT**: n = 36
  - Received intervention: n = 36
  - Did not receive intervention: n = 0

- **Allocated to intervention DL-PDT**: n = 37
  - Received intervention: n = 35
  - Did not receive intervention: n = 2
    - Spinocellular carcinoma
    - Actinic keratosis areas too diffuse

- **Excluded**: n = 81

- **Lost to follow-up**: n = 1
- **Exitus**: n = 0
- **Analysed**: n = 35
  - Excluded from analysis: n = 0

- 24/35 patients (69%) with complete response
  - p = 0.003

- 15/35 patients (43%) with complete response