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CD11c+ Dendritic Cells Rather than Langerhans Cells are Reduced in Normal Skin of Immunosuppressed Renal Transplant Recipients
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The increased risk of squamous cell carcinomas (SCC) in renal transplant recipients (RTR) is related to impaired immunosurveillance as a consequence of immunosuppressive therapy. Since dendritic cells (DC) play an important role in immunosurveillance, we investigated the quantity of DC subsets and macrophages in normal skin of RTR and immunocompetent controls by immunohistochemistry. In this comparative study Langerhans cells (LC) were present in similar numbers in RTR and controls. The number of CD11c+ DC was significantly reduced in RTR, particularly in patients on triple treatment therapy, compared with controls. Macrophages were significantly increased. Plasmacytoid DC were not detected in normal skin. The reduced quantity of CD11c+ DC and increased number of macrophages in normal skin of immunosuppressed RTR may contribute to the increased incidence of SCC in RTR. This finding underlines the role of DC subsets in immunosurveillance, and may have implications for our understanding of the effect of immunosuppression on DC subsets. Key words: squamous cell carcinoma; immunosurveillance; dendritic cells; macrophages; renal transplant recipients.
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Malignancies, especially cutaneous squamous cell carcinomas (SCC), are a major cause of morbidity and mortality in organ transplant recipients (1–4). After the first SCC, the 5-year risk in renal transplant recipients (RTR) of developing multiple subsequent SCC is 75% (2). In addition to exposure to ultraviolet radiation (UVR), long-term immunosuppressive therapy is considered to be one of the main risk factors for SCC (5–7), impairing immunosurveillance by affecting not only lymphocytes (8), but also dendritic cells (DC) (9). Even though DC constitute only 0.5% of the leukocytes in blood, they are considered to play an important role in immunosurveillance, linking innate and adaptive immunity (10, 11). DC are the most potent antigen-presenting cells in the immune system, and the most efficient cell type to induce naïve T-cell responses. The capacity of DC to induce strong cytotoxic T cell responses has significant implications, especially in development of novel vaccines against cancer.

DC are a heterogeneous cell population found in virtually all tissues and, due to their pleomorphic phenotype and function in skin, standardized characterization is complicated. Nevertheless, in normal, uninfamed skin, there are at least 2 major populations of DC in humans: epidermal Langerhans cells (LC) and resident dermal myeloid DC (mDC). LC are commonly defined by expression of Langerin. MDC are characterized by CD11c expression and can be further divided into subsets. While these mDC subsets are rather well defined in mice (12), they are less clear in humans. We therefore restricted our analyses to CD11c+ DC. New in vivo research tools have provided new insight into the functions of LC, which seem not only to be specialized for the induction of cytotoxic T lymphocytes, but also act in a tolerogenic fashion under certain circumstances. LC, like mDC, are clearly able to fulfil an immunogenic role to prevent tumour growth, but the relative contributions from LC compared with other DC subsets in skin remain unclear (13–15).

The presence of a third population, dermal plasmacytoid DC (pDC), in uninfamed skin is controversial, with some reports showing them to be present, while others do not (16–18). CLEC4C (CD303) expression is exclusive to pDC, which represent the main interferon-α-producing cells in the immune system and are considered to play a significant role in antiviral and anti-tumour immunity (19).

More recently, research has focused also on Langerin− cells located in the dermis (14). It has become clear that these cells are not LC in transit to the lymph node, but rather dermal-resident DC. However, so far, these dermal Langerin− cells have been identified only in mice (13). Recently, Hannifa and co-workers (20) reported on a human dermal Langerin DC population expressing CD141, which might be the counterpart to dermal Langerin− DC in mice.

Another group of antigen-presenting cells are macrophages. They represent the main population of leukocytes in normal dermis. There is still some uncertainty...
as to the relative numbers of macrophages and DC in healthy dermis, and the relationship between these cell groups is also unclear (13). The phagocytic property of macrophages raises the theory that they might be involved in immunosurveillance (21).

On the basis of the potential of DC and macrophages to influence development of SCC, in this study we investigated the quantity of LC, CD11c+ DC, pDC, dermal Langerin+ cells and macrophages in normal skin of immunosuppressed RTR with and without a previous history of ≥1 invasive SCC, compared with healthy controls.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Patients and controls
The Norwegian Renal Registry was used to identify RTR living in Hordaland County in Western Norway. All adult RTR who were transplanted more than 8 years ago received a written invitation to participate in the study in January 2009 (112 subjects). A total of 61 RTR gave their informed consent. The Norwegian Cancer Registry and the patients’ medical records were used to collect data on the occurrence of SCC and type of immunosuppressive medication. Fifteen out of 61 patients (25%) had previously had ≥1 invasive SCC excised (5 patients had had 1 SCC excised, 10 patients 2 or more and, of these, 3 patients had had 10 or more lesions excised). Seven of the patients had their most recent invasive SCC removed in 2008, the other 8 RTR during the period 2003 to 2007. These 15 patients were matched to be as homogeneous as possible according to skin type, duration and type of immunosuppression, age and gender, to 15 RTR without SCC.

One dermatologist performed a clinical examination of the 30 RTR to evaluate their skin type and presence of human papilloma virus-induced warts. All the patients were in good general condition, although one individual was treated with antibiotics due to an infection. Subsequently, a 4-mm punch biopsy was taken carefully from normal-looking skin on the dorsum of the forearm under local anaesthesia (1% lidocaine with adrenalin). The biopsy was cut directly into 4% formaldehyde and thereafter processed routinely into paraffin blocks before being cut and stained. Age- and gender-matched immunocompetent volunteers without a history of SCC were used as controls. The baseline characteristics of the patients and controls are summarized in Table 1.

The study was approved by the Regional Committee for Research Ethics (176.08) and the Data Inspectorate. The study was conducted in accordance with the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki.

Immunohistochemistry
Formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded tissue from normal-looking skin of RTR with a history of one or more invasive SCC (n = 15), RTR without SCC (n = 15), and immunocompetent volunteers (n = 21) was cut into 3–4 mm thick vertical sections then left overnight at 56°C in a heat chamber. Subsequently, the tissue sections were treated differently depending on the primary antibody used. For Langerin (deparaffinization with Xylen (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) and rehydration with ethanol) heat-induced epitope retrieval (HIER) was performed in a microwave oven with EDTA buffer (pH 9) for 15 min. For CD11c, CLEC4C and CD163, the pre-treatment process of deparaffinization, rehydration and HIER was performed using PT-Link (Dako, Glostrup, Denmark) with EnVision FLEX Target Retrieval Solutions pH 6 and pH 9 (Dako) at 100°C for 20 min.

The tissue sections were stained with mouse anti-human monoclonal antibodies to Langerin (clone 12D6, abcam, Cambridge, UK, 1:100; HIER pH 9), CD11c (clone 5D11, Novocastra, Newcastle, UK, 1:200; HIER pH 6) and CD163 (clone 10D6, Novocastra, Newcastle, UK, 1:200; HIER pH 6) and rabbit anti-human polyclonal antibody to CLEC4C (Sigma-Aldrich, St Louis MO, USA, 1:30, HIER pH 6). EnVision+ system (Dako) was used to visualize the immunoreactivity of the antibodies. Counterstaining was performed using haematoxylin (Dako). Appropriate positive controls (Langerin: skin; CD11c and CLEC4C: tonsils; CD163: placenta) were included for each batch, and isotype controls and rabbit serum, respectively, were used as negative controls.

Definitions of positive cells
DC and macrophages were considered positively stained when a nucleus was present surrounded by a distinct rim of brown reaction product, or when there was a clearly defined cell body (>50% of the membrane stained) with at least one branch attached. Cells positive for Langerin were defined as LC in epidermis and as dermal Langerin+ DC in dermis. Cells stained with CLEC4C were defined as pDC. CD163+ cells were defined as macrophages.

Evaluation of stained sections
Skin sections were examined in a blinded fashion by one investigator (LFS) for positive cells. Areas to be analysed in epidermis were marked by the investigator and measured using IMAGE J 1.46 (National Institutes of Health). The positively stained cells within the area were counted manually at ×400 magnification using a Leitz Laborlux S binocular light microscope (Leitz,

Table I. Baseline characteristics of the 2 groups of renal transplant recipients and controls

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Patients without SCC</th>
<th>Patients with SCC</th>
<th>Controls</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Participants (n)</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, years, mean (range)</td>
<td>65 (52–79)</td>
<td>63 (51–74)</td>
<td>64 (50–78)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male:female ratio</td>
<td>11:4</td>
<td>10:5</td>
<td>13:8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time after transplantation, years, mean (range)</td>
<td>20 (9–33)</td>
<td>22 (9–36)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary kidney disease</td>
<td>Glomerulonephritis</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pyelonephritis</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Diabetic nephropathy</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Multisystem disease</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hypertensive/ischaeamic renal disease</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cystic kidney disease</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyclosporin A nephropathy*</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immunosuppressive regime</td>
<td>Prednisolone+CsA</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Prednisolone+Aza</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Prednisolone+MMF</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Prednisolone+CsA+Aza</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Prednisolone+CsA+MMF</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Prednisolone+everolimus*</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Prednisolone+everolimus*+MMF</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetylsalicylic acid</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skin type (Fitzpatrick)</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>II</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>III</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Warts</td>
<td>11/15</td>
<td>13/15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Patient was heart transplanted prior to the renal transplantation.

Table 1. Baseline characteristics of the 2 groups of renal transplant recipients and controls

- Treatment regimen was changed to everolimus because of multiple SCC.
- SCC: squamous cell carcinoma; CsA: cyclosporin A; Aza, azathioprine; MMF: mycophenolate mofetil.

---
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Wetzlar, Germany. In the dermis, area measures were calculated manually by moving an eyepiece optical grid consecutively along the whole length of the dermis at ×400 magnification. Simultaneously, positively stained cells were counted to the depth of 0.1 mm (i.e. the length of 4 small squares) beneath the basement membrane. Cell counts per unit area (mm²) were determined.

Statistical analyses

As the data was not all normally distributed, a 2-tailed Mann–Whitney test was used for the statistical analyses of comparison between groups. The significance level was set at $p < 0.05$. The statistical analyses were performed using Prism (GraphPad Software Inc., La Jolla, CA, USA).

RESULTS

The morphology of LC, CD11c⁺ DC, pDC, dermal Langerin⁺ cells and macrophages within skin are shown in representative immunohistochemical stainings in Fig. 1.

The number of LC in epidermis was similar in the immunosuppressed patients and the immunocompetent controls (Fig. 2a; 155 vs. 172 cells/mm²).

Furthermore, when grouping the patients according to immunosuppressive medication (prednisolone, cyclosporin A (CsA), azathioprine (Aza), mycophenolate mofetil (MMF) and everolimus), the LC number was similar in all groups (Fig. 2b).

The number of CD11c⁺ DC in dermis of the immunosuppressed patients was significantly reduced compared with the controls (Fig. 2c; 59 vs. 95 cells/mm²; $p=0.002$). When grouping the patients according to immunosuppressive medication, we observed significantly reduced CD11c⁺ DC only in RTR receiving triple immunosuppressive therapy (prednisolone, CsA and Aza/MMF) compared with controls (Fig. 2d; 39 vs. 95 cells/mm²; $p=0.002$).

Only one pDC was observed in dermis in all the 51 examined slides.

When analysing the presence of dermal Langerin⁺ cells, only a few positive cells were detected, with similar numbers in immunosuppressed RTR and immunocompetent controls (Fig. 2e; 0 vs. 3 cells/mm²).

No differences were observed when dividing into groups according to medication (Fig. 2f).

The number of macrophages in dermis was significantly higher in the immunosuppressed patients compared with the controls (Fig. 2g; 92 vs. 68 cells/mm²).

Fig. 1. Representative immunohistochemical staining illustrating morphology and distribution of Langerhans cells (LC), CD11c⁺ dendritic cells (CD11c⁺ DC), plasmacytoid dendritic cells (pDC), dermal Langerin⁺ dendritic cells (dermal Langerin⁺ DC) and macrophages in normal skin of renal transplant recipient and controls. (a) Staining of LC in epidermis using a Langerin antibody. (b) Staining of CD11c⁺ DC using a CD11c antibody. (c) Staining of the only detected pDC using a CLEC4C antibody. (d) Staining of dermal Langerin⁺ DC using a Langerin antibody. (e) Staining of macrophages using a CD163 antibody. Original magnification × 200, insertion × 630. Scale bar = 50 μm.
mm²; \( p = 0.025 \)). However, when subdividing the immunosuppressed patients according to their medication, only patients on prednisolone and Aza/MMF had a significantly higher number of CD163⁺ cells compared with controls (Fig. 2h; 110 vs. 68 cells/mm²; \( p = 0.008 \)).

RTR with and without previous SCC had similar numbers of LC, CD11c⁺ DC, dermal Langerin⁺ cells and macrophages in normal skin (data not shown).

DISCUSSION

Since the concept of cancer immunosurveillance assumes that the immune system identifies cancer precursors and, in most cases, destroys these precursors before they are detected clinically (22), apparently normal-looking skin was analysed in our study. Furthermore, since SCC develop on sun-exposed skin, it was of specific interest to elucidate the immunological microenvironment in which these SCC develop. We therefore chose to investigate biopsies from sun-exposed skin.

The CD11c⁺ DC were located in the papillary and upper reticular dermis, as also reported by Zaba et al. (23). Interestingly, quantification of CD11c⁺ DC revealed significantly reduced numbers of these cells in the immunosuppressed patients compared with the controls. Furthermore, it was the group of patients on triple treatment therapy that led to the significant reduced numbers, whereas dual therapy (prednisolone and CsA or Aza/MMF) had no significant effect on CD11c⁺ DC. This observation is especially exciting considering studies reporting an increased risk of SCC in RTR receiving triple treatment therapy compared with those receiving only prednisolone and Aza (4, 24).

In contrast to previous studies (25, 26), we observed the same density of LC in both immunosuppressed and immunocompetent participants, which is in line with a study by Gibson et al. (27). However, besides our study, only Sontheimer et al. used age-matched groups (26), which is important, since LC density is reduced in aged skin (28). Furthermore, most of the studies were small, only the study by Bergfelt et al. (25) and our study included a relatively large number of participants. Another difference between the present study and previous reports is the calculation of area by outlining the circumference of the whole epidermis of each slide. This is a more accurate method than measuring only the length of epidermis without taking into account the depth of epidermis, which varies individually depending on body area (29), age (30), gender (31) and medication (32).

The overall density of LC is lower in our study compared with other reports (28). The differences in density may be attributed to the relatively strict definition of a positively stained cell in our study. Being a comparative study, we considered the comparison between the groups to be more important than the exact amounts of LC. Furthermore, the biopsies were collected from sun-exposed skin which contains less LC than non-exposed skin because of ultraviolet B (UVB) radiation (33, 34). In addition, the quantity of LC is reduced in elderly compared with young skin (28). Finally, differences in density among different
than the number of CD11c+ RTR the number of macrophages was significantly higher than CD11c+ DC if the entire depth of dermis was enumerated, as Romani et al. also concluded (13). Nevertheless, due to limitations in the size of the biopsies, this study aimed only at quantifying various DC populations and macrophages. To be able to analyse the functionality of the cells, much larger biopsies would be required.

In conclusion, our study revealed a reduced quantity of CD11c+ DC and increased number of macrophages in normal skin of immunosuppressed patients compared with controls, which might contribute to the increased incidence of SCC in RTR. The reduction in CD11c+ DC is probably due to the immunosuppressive medication. However, the functional properties of the analysed cell populations may also have a strong influence on immunosurveillance, and this should be explored in future studies.
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