Verapamil is Less Effective than Triamcinolone for Prevention of Keloid Scar Recurrence After Excision in a Randomized Controlled Trial
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A double-blind randomized controlled trial with a paired split-scar design compared verapamil, an L-type Ca\(^{2+}\) channel antagonist, and triamcinolone for prevention of keloid recurrence after excision. Ca\(^{2+}\) channel blocking activity of verapamil in keloid cells was explored. One keloid was excised per subject and each wound half randomized to receive intralesional injections of triamcinolone (10 mg/ml) or verapamil (2.5 mg/ml) at monthly intervals (4 doses). Interim analysis was performed after 14 subjects were completed. Survival analysis demonstrated significantly higher keloid recurrence with verapamil compared to triamcinolone 12 months post-surgery (log-rank test, \(p=0.01\)) and higher overall risk of recurrence with verapamil (hazard ratio 8.44, 95% CI 1.62–44.05). The study was terminated early according to the stopping guideline (\(p<0.05\)). Verapamil is safe but not as effective as triamcinolone in preventing keloid recurrence after excision. Further study is necessary to determine if clinical response to verapamil is linked to modulation of intracellular Ca\(^{2+}\). Key words: keloid; verapamil; triamcinolone; calcium channels; randomized controlled trial.
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Keloid scarring is a severe wound healing disorder characterized by benign fibroproliferative lesions extending beyond the original wound boundaries (1). Patients experience pruritus, pain, paraesthesia, functional impairment and serious cosmetic concerns (2, 3). Unlike hypertrophic scars, keloid scars do not regress and commonly recur after surgical excision (4). Diagnosis is based on clinical features, and histopathology is characterized by haphazardly arranged hyalinized collagen bundles and a tongue-like advancing edge in the papillary dermis (5). The mechanism of keloid disease remains unclear but it is most often initiated by skin trauma (6), with the most common areas involved being the chest, shoulder, upper back, neck and earlobes.

In vitro, keloid fibroblasts show increased collagen synthesis, altered extracellular matrix remodelling and resistance to apoptosis compared to normal skin or hypertrophic scar fibroblasts (7). Enhanced responses to growth factors and altered cytokine expression have also been demonstrated and several studies report altered keratinocyte-fibroblast interactions (8, 9).

Treatment of keloid scarring is extremely challenging and there is no single effective treatment regimen. There is a lack of high quality evidence from randomized controlled trials. Surgical removal alone has an unacceptable recurrence rate of 45–100% (4). International guidelines recommend intralesional therapy with corticosteroids or surgical excision combined with corticosteroid injections (4). Triamcinolone acetonide is the most commonly used corticosteroid (10 to 40 mg/ml) and is injected intralesionally after surgical excision at intervals of 4 to 6 weeks for several months (10–14). Five-year recurrence rates for surgical excision plus triamcinolone acetonide are reported to be between 8% and 50% (4). However, treatment with corticosteroids can be associated with local and systemic adverse effects including delayed wound healing, hypopigmentation, dermal atrophy, telangiectasia, widening of the scar (15–17) and Cushing’s syndrome (18).

Verapamil is an L-type Ca\(^{2+}\) channel blocker widely used in the treatment of cardiac arrhythmias, hypertension and angina. In vitro studies of verapamil have demonstrated anti-fibrotic activity suggesting its potential for treatment or prevention of keloid scarring. Alterations in fibroblasts that have been proposed include reorganization of actin filaments (19), inhibition of collagen synthesis (20), increased secretion of matrix metalloproteinase-1 (collagenase) (21), decreased production of interleukin-6 and vascular endothelial growth factor, reduced cell proliferation and increased apoptosis (22). Importantly, verapamil has a superior safety profile compared to corticosteroids that permits longer and more intense treatment. Positive in vivo effects of verapamil have been reported in clinical trials and it is now included as an alternate treatment in European guidelines for intralesional treatment of keloids (23). Two randomized studies compared intralesional verapamil with triamcinolone (24, 25). Verapamil reduced height, vascularity, and pliability of keloid scars in these studies, but was slower than triamcinolone. The
use of verapamil as an adjunct to surgical excision has been investigated in 2 single-arm studies (26, 27) and one randomized study that compared verapamil combined with topical silicone to topical silicone alone (28). These studies reported a wide range of keloid recurrence rates with verapamil (1.4–48%) and found it to be a safe treatment. No studies to date have directly compared verapamil to triamcinolone for prevention of keloid recurrence after surgical excision.

This randomized controlled trial was undertaken to test the equivalence and safety of verapamil and triamcinolone as treatments for the prevention of keloid recurrence after surgical excision. The putative mechanism of anti-fibrotic activity of verapamil via the antagonism of L-type Ca2+ channels in keloid fibroblasts and keratinocytes was also explored by measuring Ca2+ influx in real time through changes in ratio of the fluorescence intensity (340/380 nm) of the Ca2+-sensitive fluorescent dye, Fura-2.

**METHODS**

**Subjects and study design**

Thirty adult patients with a keloid scar for excision were scheduled for recruitment (one keloid scar per patient). The study was a randomized controlled trial with a paired split-scar design (ClinicalTrials.gov NCT01720056). One half of the suture line was randomly allocated to receive triamcinolone; the other half to receive verapamil. Subjects were withdrawn if they experienced side-effects or when the primary outcome was reached (keloid recurrence). A conservative stopping guideline of \( p < 0.05 \) (log-rank test) for benefit on the primary outcome was used.

**Study protocol**

Treatments were injected intradermally into the wound edges immediately post-operatively and 1, 2 and 3 months after keloid excision: triamcinolone acetonide (Kenacort A10® Injection, Aspen, Australia) 2 mg/cm, maximum total dose 10 mg (reduced to 1 mg/cm, maximum total dose 5 mg, after safety review); verapamil hydrochloride (Isoptin® Injection, Abbott, Australasia) 0.5 mg/cm, maximum total dose 2.5 mg.

**Assessments and outcome measurements**

 Fitzpatrick skin type (29) and clinical details were recorded. Scars were assessed at 1, 2, 3, 6 and 12 months post-surgery by clinical examination and the modified Vancouver Scar Scale (mVSS) (30). Side-effects (local and systemic) were monitored. Subjects rated each scar half using the patient scale of the Patient Observer Scar Assessment Scale v2.0 (POSAS) (31). Pigmentation and vascularity were quantified with the DermaLab Combo® (Cortex Technologies, Denmark): Melanin Index % (MI%) and Erythema Index % (EI%), respectively (32). The primary outcome was time to keloid recurrence (>2 mm height, lateral growth into uninvolved skin and symptoms of pain or pruritis). Secondary outcomes were local side-effects, mVSS score, POSAS patient scale, vascularity (EI%) and pigmentation (MI%).

**Functional assessment of L-type Ca2+ channels – Intracellular Ca2+ assays**

Primary cultures of fibroblasts \((n = 7)\) and keratinocytes \((n = 6)\) were established from the excised keloid tissues (33). Intracellular Ca2+ was monitored using Fura-2 AM (34), with ratiometric 340/380 nm fluorescence recorded before and after exposure to the L-type Ca2+ channel agonist, 2μM BayK (Bay K8644, Sigma), or the antagonist, 1 μM verapamil hydrochloride (Isoptin® Injection, Abbott, Australasia). Pre-treatment 340/380 nm fluorescence was assigned a value of 1.0.

**Data analysis**

Keloid recurrence-free survival in the treatment groups was compared using Kaplan-Meier survival curve analysis (log-rank [Mantel-Cox] test and hazard ratio [HR]). Secondary outcomes by treatment in subjects with and without keloid recurrences were analysed by the Wilcoxon sign rank test and side-effects were compared qualitatively. The 340/380 nm fluorescence after exposure to BayK and verapamil hydrochloride was analyzed within patients using the Mann Whitney test; responses between treatment groups were compared qualitatively. GraphPad Prism Ver. 5.0 was used for statistical analysis and the level of statistical significance was \( p < 0.05 \). For full methodological details see Appendix S1.

**RESULTS**

Fourteen subjects (6 females and 8 males) were recruited and followed up for one year or until keloid recurrence from September 2012 to January 2014. The mean age of the subjects was 32.1 years (range 18–53 years) and the mean scar duration was 12 years (range 1–36 years). Subject and keloid characteristics are described in Table SI and photographs illustrating the clinical variability of representative keloid scars are shown in Fig. S1. An interim analysis was performed after the 14 subjects were followed up for 12 months. The flow of subjects and each treated scar half through the study is outlined in Fig. S2. One subject withdrew voluntarily and 4 subjects experienced side-effects (atrophy) in the steroid-treated half of the scar and were withdrawn from the study at that point. The steroid dose was subsequently halved to 1 mg/cm (maximum total dose 5 mg) for new and on-going subjects. No side-effects were observed in the steroid-treated half thereafter. No subjects experienced side-effects in the verapamil half of the scar.

Six subjects had a recurrence of the keloid in the verapamil-treated half of the scar (3 subjects at 2 months post-surgery; 2 subjects at 3 months post-surgery; 1 subject at 6 months post-surgery) and no keloid recurrences were observed in the steroid-treated halves. Three subjects continued in the study to the 12-month follow-up free of keloid recurrence in either half of the scar. Photographs illustrating representative keloids at the time of recurrence or the 12-month follow-up are shown in Fig. S4 (the same keloids as depicted in Fig. S1). Kaplan-Meier survival curve analysis demonstrated a significantly higher recurrence rate in the verapamil-treated half of the scar at 12 months post-surgery (log-rank [Mantel-Cox] test; \( p = 0.01 \)) and a
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higher overall risk of recurrence with verapamil (hazard ratio [HR] 8.44, 95% CI 1.62–44.05). The study was terminated early according to the predetermined stopping guideline (p < 0.05) and the remaining 16 patients were not recruited (Fig. 1).

The secondary scar outcomes by treatment in subjects with and without keloid recurrences are summarised in Fig. 2. At the time of keloid recurrence in the 6 subjects there was a significantly greater median total mVSS score and POSAS score (patient scale) in the half of the scar treated with verapamil (p < 0.05, Wilcoxon sign rank test). There was no significant difference in scar colour between the two treatments at the time of recurrence as measured by MI% and EI%. In the 3 subjects who did not experience keloid recurrence there was no significant difference between the treatments in terms of median mVSS score, POSAS score (patient scale) or colour (pigmentation or vascularity) at the 12-month follow-up.

Fibroblasts were cultured from 7 keloids: 5 that recurred, 2 that did not recur. Functional assessment of L-type Ca\(^{2+}\) channels in these cells showed that there was a significant influx of Ca\(^{2+}\) after 20 min exposure to the L-type Ca\(^{2+}\) channel agonist [BayK(-)] in 4/7 subjects (Fig. 3A). There was no relationship observed between the response to BayK(-) and keloid recurrence, with the 340/380 nm fluorescence levels in fibroblasts from keloids which did recur nested within 340/380 nm fluorescence levels in fibroblasts from keloids which did not recur. There was no reduction in baseline 340/380 nm fluorescence levels with exposure to verapamil in any of the cultured keloid fibroblasts (Fig. 3A).

Keratinocytes were also cultured from 6 of the 7 keloids above: 4 that recurred, 2 that did not recur. Functional assessment of L-type Ca\(^{2+}\) channels in keratinocytes showed that there was a significant influx of Ca\(^{2+}\) after 20 min exposure to the L-type Ca\(^{2+}\) channel agonist [BayK(-)] in the same 4 subjects which showed a significant influx of Ca\(^{2+}\) in fibroblasts (Fig. 3B). Again, there was no correlation observed between the response to BayK(-) and keloid recurrence, with the 340/380 nm fluorescence levels in keratinocytes from keloids which recurred overlapping with the 340/380 nm fluorescence levels in keratinocytes from keloids which did not recur.

DISCUSSION

This double-blind randomized controlled study had a paired split-scar design enabling a precise comparison between two different treatments within the same patient. Verapamil, an L-type Ca\(^{2+}\) channel antagonist, was not as effective as triamcinolone for the prevention of keloid recurrence after surgical excision at the dose tested (0.5 mg/cm linear scar, at monthly intervals for 4 doses). The exploratory in vitro experiments did not demonstrate L-type Ca\(^{2+}\) channel blocking activity in keloid fibroblasts or keratinocytes by 1 mM verapamil.

Human skin fibroblasts have previously been shown to express the cardiac L-type Ca\(^{2+}\) channel subtype (36). However, early studies on the characterization of ion channels in human dermal fibroblasts have suggested that the expression of Ca\(^{2+}\) channels is not constitutive in human fibroblasts (37). In our study, matched fibroblasts and keratinocytes cultured from a subset of keloids demonstrated significant L-type Ca\(^{2+}\) channel activity in vitro in response to the agonist BayK(-).
However, the response to BayK(−) did not fit the pattern of the clinical response to verapamil and 1 mM verapamil did not reduce basal intracellular Ca2+ levels in any of the keloid fibroblasts or keratinocytes.

Previously reported in vitro studies of the anti-fibrotic activity of verapamil do not provide direct evidence that the effects are specifically due to antagonism of L-type Ca2+ channel activity of verapamil do not provide direct evidence that the observed changes were mediated through L-type Ca2+ channels. For example, Boggio et al. (21) measured Ca2+ levels and phenotypic changes in human skin fibroblasts after in vitro treatment with verapamil for 2 days, but these experiments did not measure Ca2+ influx in real time or provide evidence to support whether the observed changes were mediated through L-type Ca2+ channels.

No previous studies have directly compared verapamil and corticosteroid for prevention of keloid recurrence after surgical excision. It is challenging to make a direct comparison of the results of this study with previous studies due to differences in the dose of verapamil, frequency of application, surgical technique, follow-up interval and outcome measures (definition of recurrence). Copcu et al. (27) (single-arm study of verapamil) used W-plasties or split-thickness skin grafts in all subjects undergoing keloid excision and the classification of scar outcome at 2 years was subjective. A final outcome of poor/very poor was reported in only 3/21 subjects (1.4%); an outcome of moderate/poor/very poor was reported in 10/21 subjects (48%). A single-arm study using verapamil as an adjunct to ear lobe keloid excision (26) found a 48% keloid recurrence rate with verapamil. D’Andrea et al. (28) in a randomised control trial (using topical silicone in the control group) reported a 46% keloid recurrence rate with excision and verapamil. Taken together, these studies and the study described in this paper do not support verapamil as an effective adjunct to surgical excision of keloids.

Previous studies on intralesional treatment of keloid scars without excision (24, 25) concluded that verapamil was effective, but slower than triamcinolone, with injections every 3 weeks. While this supports our finding that verapamil is not equivalent to triamcinolone, the reporting of a final positive outcome with flattening of all keloid scars with verapamil treatment contrasts with the more negative interpretation of the results of the present study. Prevention of recurrence of keloid scarring after surgical excision may require more aggressive therapy than intralesional treatment of an existing keloid scar.

Differences between the patient samples in the studies (ethnicity, Fitzpatrick skin type, familial disposition to keloid scars, size, location and duration of keloid), study design, protocol and study end points may also have contributed to the different results between studies and differences in interpretation of the results.

A key strength of this study is the paired split-scar design, with each patient their own control. This strategy overcomes the problems of large variation in keloid characteristics between subjects, which limits the interpretation of the results of previous clinical studies. The study design also included precise control of the verapamil dose per linear cm of scar. The scar assessor and subject were blinded to the treatments, which supports the ability to achieve unbiased assessment. Scar height, a key element of the primary end point, was measured with the help of calibrated silicone strips making this assessment more objective. None of the previous studies (in vitro or in vivo) have directly measured L-type Ca2+ channel activity and intracellular Ca2+ flux in keloid fibroblasts. The inclusion of Ca2+ studies, such as those conducted here, is an important step in building an evidence base for the proposed biological effects of verapamil in the treatment of keloids.

The study had limitations which impact on the conclusions that can be drawn. While the clinical study had a double-blind design (subjects and assessors blinded to treatments) the surgeon administering the study injections was not blinded due to the different physical properties of the two injected solutions. For ethical reasons (to minimise poor outcomes for subjects participating in this study), the definition of recurrence (study end point) used here was conservative. Similarly, the study stopping guideline was conservative ($p<0.05$) and the final sample size was small. The long-term outcome of the
response to this dose of verapamil after keloid excision cannot be deduced from this study. The study sample was heterogeneous with respect to the anatomical location of the keloid, and the subjects’ sex, age and ethnicity, which may have contributed to the variability in results. Three subjects did not experience keloid recurrence in either of the intervention arms. Due to ethical considerations it was not possible to add a non-intervention placebo arm in the study design (due to the high risk of recurrence), and it is possible that these keloid scars may not have recurred even without treatment.

The in vitro studies also had several limitations which influence the interpretation of the findings. The cells for the Ca²⁺ studies were only cultured from a subset of the keloids and therefore may not be representative of the whole group. Furthermore, excising the tissue and culturing the cells may have caused alterations in expression and/or localisation of the Ca²⁺ channels. While the use of Fura-2 is widely considered the standard for quantitative intracellular Ca²⁺ measurements (38), we did not calibrate the Ca²⁺ levels in our experiments, nor did we directly demonstrate the presence of L-type Ca²⁺ channels in the cells through the use of immunohistochemistry. In measuring the L-type antagonist activity of verapamil in keloid-derived cells a single concentration of verapamil was tested (1 µM) and dose response was not explored.

In conclusion, intrasional verapamil is safe but not as effective as triamcinolone in the prevention of early keloid recurrence after surgical removal. The data do not support the use of verapamil as a steroid sparing adjunct therapy for surgical management of keloid scars. It is possible that increasing the dose or frequency of injection could improve the effectiveness of verapamil as an adjunct to surgical excision, but this needs further study. Patient compliance with more frequent injections would pose a problem. From the exploratory in vitro experiments conducted it was not possible to conclude whether a clinical response to verapamil treatment was linked to modulation of intracellular Ca²⁺. These in vitro studies are a starting point and further work is required to confirm the presence and subtype of Ca²⁺ channels in keloid fibroblasts and keratinocytes, the role of these channels in keloid disease pathogenesis and the mechanism of action of verapamil in relation to its reported efficacy as an intrasional keloid treatment.
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