Refractory Pemphigoid with Autoantibodies to Both BP230 and Laminin gamma1
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Bullous pemphigoid (BP) is characterized by autoantibody production against BP180, one of the structural proteins in the dermal–epidermal junction of the skin (1). Patients with BP can also have circulating autoantibodies against BP230, one of the plakin family proteins localized in the inner plaque of the hemidesmosomes (2).

Several molecules other than BP180 constituting the dermal–epidermal junction have been identified as autoantigens in BP and other types of pemphigoid (1). For instance, anti-p200 (or anti-laminin γ1) pemphigoid is a distinct autoimmune subepidermal blistering disorder characterized by circulating autoantibodies against lamin γ1, a 200-kDa glycoprotein localized to the basement membrane zone (BMZ) of the skin (3).

We hereby report a rare case of a Japanese patient with pemphigoid resistant to high-dose corticosteroid and oral colchicine, who had circulating autoantibodies against both BP230 and laminin γ1 but not BP180.

CASE REPORT

A 50-year-old Japanese man first noticed itchy erythematous papules on his trunk and extremities. He was referred to us because of his recalcitrant clinical course, which was suggestive of prurigo chronica multiformis. He had been treated with a potent topical corticosteroid and oral antihistamines with a favorable clinical course. However, 4 years later, his eruption intensified rapidly with the development of multiple itchy erythematous patches and vesicles, and severe itching began to interfere with his sleep. Eventually, combination therapy of oral cyclosporine and prednisolone 40 mg daily (Fig. S21), followed by oral prednisolone 40 mg daily (Fig. S2a). Because of the refractory clinical course with corticosteroid therapies alone, we added diaminodiphenyl sulfone 50 mg daily and colchicine 1.5 mg daily, with only slight beneficial effect. Frequent recurrences of erythema with severe itching continuously interfered with his sleep. Eventually, combination therapy of oral cyclosporine and colchicine 1.5 mg daily was prescribed.

The patient was initially treated with intravenous methylprednisolone pulse therapy (1,000 mg daily, for 3 consecutive days) followed by oral prednisolone 40 mg daily (Fig. S2a). Because of the refractory clinical course with corticosteroid therapies alone, we added diaminodiphenyl sulfone 50 mg daily and colchicine 1.5 mg daily, with only slight beneficial effect. Frequent recurrences of erythema with severe itching continuously interfered with his sleep. Eventually, combination therapy of oral cyclosporine and colchicine 1.5 mg daily was prescribed.

![Fig. 1. Clinical, histopathological and immunofluorescence findings. (a,b) Multiple erythematous papules and vesicles on the trunk and extremities. (c,d) Subepidermal blister formation with many eosinophils and neutrophils [hematoxylin and eosin stain; original magnification (c) x40, (d) x200]. (e) Direct immunofluorescence shows a linear deposit of IgG on the basement membrane zone (x100). (f) Indirect immunofluorescence using 1M NaCl split skin detects circulating IgG class autoantibodies reacting to both the epidermal and dermal sides (x100).](https://www.medicaljournals.se/acta/content/abstract/10.2340/00015555-3294)
azathioprine with an additional round of intravenous methylprednisolone pulse therapy was required to fully control the disease activity and reduce the BPDAI score to 0 at hospital day 88 (Fig. S2). A gradual tapering of oral prednisolone, cyclosporine and azathioprine was performed with a favorable clinical course. Ten months after initiating therapy, he had no recurrence with oral prednisolone 12.5 mg daily and cyclosporine 30 mg daily.

**DISCUSSION**

Circulating anti-BP230 autoantibodies are detected in 50–60% of BP patients (5). No correlation has been observed between disease activity and serum levels of anti-BP230 autoantibodies. Thus, the pathogenic role of anti-BP230 autoantibodies is still unclear, mainly because of their intracellular localization (6). In rare cases of BP with autoantibodies against BP230, no circulating anti-BP180 autoantibodies are detected (4, 7, 8). These patients, whose condition is referred to as “BP230-type BP”, tend to show a milder clinical course (7, 8). Our patient, who was diagnosed as having both anti-p200 pemphigoid and BP230-type BP, showed an active inflammatory phenotype with resistance to potent corticosteroid therapy.

Anti-p200 pemphigoid presents with BP-like urticarial erythematous patches or plaques with tense blisters, vesicles and erosions, occasionally associated with pre-existing psoriasis (3, 9). A male predominance has been observed, with the M/F ratio ranging from 1.8 to 2.1. The median age has been reported to range from 72 to 82 years (9, 10). Histopathology of the affected skin shows dense neutrophilic infiltrates in the papillary dermis. About 25% of patients with anti-laminin γ1 pemphigoid have concomitant autoantibodies against BP180, BP230, laminin 332, and type VII collagen (9). Two pemphigoid patients with autoantibodies to both BP230 and laminin γ1 have previously been reported (11, 12). Patients with anti-p200 pemphigoid could have a more heterogeneous clinical course than previously suspected (10).

In indirect immunofluorescence using 1M NaCl-split-skin, our patient exhibited reactions on both the epidermal and dermal sides, which are considered to represent the reactivities with BP230 and laminin γ1, respectively. However, our patient lacked circulating anti-BP180 autoantibodies, which are generally considered pathogenic in BP. In an ex-vivo model using cryosections of human skin and leukocytes of healthy controls, the sera from patients with anti-p200 pemphigoid were reported to accumulate leukocytes to the dermal–epidermal junction by binding between its Fc region and Fcγ receptor, leading to dermal–epidermal separation (13). In our patient, as shown in Fig. 1d, many eosinophils and neutrophils were bound to the dermal side of the subepidermal blisters, where anti-laminin γ1 autoantibodies should be bound. Taking these results together, we consider that anti-laminin γ1 autoantibodies, rather than anti-BP230 autoantibodies, may have been the cause of the blister formation in the present case. Anti-BP230 autoantibodies might be produced as an epiphenomenon by intermolecular epitope spreading, as a result of continuous antigen exposure to the host immune system after the initial tissue injury caused by anti-laminin γ1 autoantibodies (14, 15). However, anti-BP230 autoantibodies might also have relatively weak pathogenic effects (8).

In conclusion, anti-laminin γ1 autoantibodies, with add-on effects by anti-BP230 autoantibodies, may have induced the recalcitrant clinical course with resistance to corticosteroid therapy in our patient. Combination therapy of immunosuppressants and high-dose corticosteroids including two rounds of intravenous methyl-PSL pulse therapy was required to fully control the disease activity.
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