Itch in Patients with Acute Heart Failure
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Itch is a common and distressing symptom occurring not only in dermatological conditions but also in chronic systemic diseases (1). In heart failure (HF) – which is a complex clinical syndrome – underlying pathophysiologies, course of the disease, coexisting comorbidities and medications – all tend to predispose patients to developing itch (2–5). Only a few studies investigated the occurrence of itch in HF, reporting a wide prevalence ranging from 10–40% (2–5). However, these studies used inconsistent definitions and different tools for itch evaluation, and included heterogeneous HF populations (2–5). Therefore, we set-up the prospective study among patients with acute HF, using standardized methods for itch assessment in order to investigate the prevalence of itch and explore the potential underlying causes.

METHODS

Study population
The study population consisted of patients hospitalized with a diagnosis of acute HF (Heart Failure, as assessed by NYHA class III and IV on admission), prospectively included into the registry that runs in our institution. Inclusion criteria were: age ≥18 years; acute HF as the primary cause for hospitalization (6); patient’s written agreement to participate. Exclusion criteria for this analysis included: mental status changes preventing the patient from performing a detailed assessment of itch (see below); known severe renal or liver disease; history of chronic dermatological disease. After inclusion, detailed information on demographics, clinical history, comorbidities, previous therapies and physical findings was recorded. Standard laboratory assessment was performed using methods applied in our laboratory. The local ethics committee approved the protocol (consent No KB-406/2015) and all subjects gave written informed consent.

Evaluation of itch
Itch assessment was performed in all patients once they were clinically stable and before hospital discharge using the following instruments: the visual analogue scale (VAS), numerical rating scale (NRS), and the 4-item Itch Questionnaire (7–10). Patients were asked to assess itch during the last 3 days. Various clinical features of itch, including exact location, quality and descriptors and the most common factors responsible for its aggravation or alleviation, were assessed (10). Dermatology Life Quality Index (DLQI) was applied to assess the impairment in quality of life, related to itch (11).

Statistical analysis
Continuous variables with a normal distribution were presented as means ± standard deviation (SD), variables with skewed distribution as medians with upper/lower quartiles, categorized variables as numbers and percentages. The statistical significance of the differences between groups was assessed using: t-test, Mann-Whitney U-test or Chi² test, where appropriate. The associations between variables were tested using univariable and multivariable logistic regression models. The p < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. Statistical analyses were performed using the STATISTICA 12 (StatSoft, Inc, Tulsa, OK. 74104, USA).

RESULTS

Of 84 patients enrolled, 63 (75%) were men, with the mean age of 66 ± 13 years. Baseline clinical and laboratory characteristics of the study population are presented in Table SI1.

Fourteen patients reported history of itch (prevalence 17%): in 11 patients (79%) itch occurred during the last 3 days, whereas 3 (21%) reported a history of itch before the current hospital admission. We found the following characteristics in patients reporting itch: more severe HF symptoms on admission, need for inotropic drugs during the hospital stay, more frequent use of the novel oral anticoagulants before admission, higher serum bilirubin level (p < 0.05 in all comparisons) (Table SI1).

Characteristic of itch
In the majority (n=10, 71%) of patients itch was limited to a certain skin area, mostly lower and upper limbs (n=7, 50%). Four (29%) patients reported itch of the lower legs and feet, which coincided with bilateral oedema. Six patients (43%) experienced itch on a daily basis, 7 (50%) reported it as appearing a few times per week, 1 (7%) few times per month. Most frequently patients described itch-related sensations as tingling (n=7, 50%), tweaking (n=4, 29%), burning (n=3, 21%) or stinging (n=2, 14%). Itch was reported as being predominantly annoying (n=9, 64%) or burdensome (n=7, 50%). Itch was responsible for problems with falling asleep in 6 (43%) patients (none reported use of hypnotics). We did not observe any specific factors responsible for either aggravation or alleviation of itch: only 2 (14%) patients linked itch exacerbation with sweating and hot water; 3 (21%) its alleviation with cold water. None of the patients have ever received any specific therapy for this symptom.

The mean ± SD severity of itch measured with VAS was 4.4 ± 2.8 points (mild–moderate in 10 (71%), severe
These are the new findings of our study: itch was a mode inversely related to NYHA class on admission (those with NYHA class III had higher values vs NYHA class IV). Treatment with angiotensin converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitor was related to the higher values of NRS andVAS ($p<0.05$) and that 4-item Itch Questionnaire scores was inversely related to NYHA class on admission (those with NYHA class III had higher values vs NYHA class IV). All others correlations were not significant.

**DISCUSSION**

These are the new findings of our study: itch was a mode inversely related to NYHA class on admission (those with NYHA class III had higher values vs NYHA class IV). Treatment with angiotensin converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitor was related to the higher values of NRS and VAS ($p<0.05$) and that 4-item Itch Questionnaire scores was inversely related to NYHA class on admission (those with NYHA class III had higher values vs NYHA class IV). All others correlations were not significant.

To the best our knowledge, this is the first prospective study, investigating specifically the prevalence of itch among patients with acute HF, in whom we obtained detailed characteristics and applied a comprehensive, validated methodology to characterize itch. The prevalence of itch in our population is lower than that recently reported by other authors (2–5), however, they all studied patients with stable chronic HF, and either did not specifically study itch but rather symptom-burden in HF (5) or used only a posted questionnaire to assess itch (2).

Older age, comorbidities such as chronic kidney disease (CKD), liver dysfunction or diabetes mellitus – can all contribute to the development of itch in HF (1, 12, 13). In our study we observed neither age nor CKD being related to the higher prevalence of itch. It may be explained by relatively young patients and mild–moderate severity of CKD in our population, whereas CKD-related itch mainly affects those at the advanced/dialysis-stage of the disease (13). Patients who reported itch had elevated bilirubin level, but did not differ in liver enzymes (AST, ALT) or albumin levels, which may confirm cholestatic origin of this sensation in acute HF.

Some of the cardiovascular medications used in HF patients – such as ACE inhibitors, angiotensin receptor blockers or statins are known to cause itching (14), while loop diuretics, administered transdermally, inhibit histamine-induced itching (15). Interestingly, in our study, only the use of novel oral anticoagulants was more frequent in patients reporting itch; we are not aware of any previous studies reporting such an association.

Itch severity was reported as mild–moderate, there was no specific factor responsible for its alleviation/aggravation and itch intensity did not correlate with impairment in the quality of life. As HF patients suffer from multiple symptoms and have an already poor quality of life, itch itself may not have a relevant clinical impact.

In summary, our prospective study, on patients with acute HF, found itch as a moderately frequent symptom, which can be associated with HF severity, medications and comorbidities. To date, prevalence of itch in HF was not widely addressed in the literature and our material presents the complexity of this issue, therefore the results should be treated with caution.
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