Direct and Indirect Effects of Crisaborole Ointment on Quality of Life in Patients with Atopic Dermatitis: A Mediation Analysis


Crisaborole ointment is a nonsteroidal phosphodiesterase 4 inhibitor for the treatment of mild to moderate atopic dermatitis. Using pooled data from two phase 3 studies (NCT02118766/NCT02118792), mediation modeling determined the interrelationship among pruritus, quality of life (QoL), and treatment. Patients aged ≥ 2 years received crisaborole ointment, 2%, or vehicle twice daily for 28 days. QoL measures were Dermatology Life Quality Index (DLQI) (≥ 16 years) and Children’s Dermatology Life Quality Index (CDLQI) (2–15 years). Pruritus was assessed by the Severity of Pruritus Scale (4-point scale from 0 to 3). The indirect effect of crisaborole on QoL mediated through its effect on pruritus was 51% (DLQI model, \( p < 0.05 \)) and 72% (CDLQI model, \( p < 0.05 \)). Direct effect (other effects) on QoL was 49% (DLQI model, \( p < 0.05 \)) and 28% (CDLQI model, \( p > 0.05 \)). Mediation modeling shows that crisaborole affects QoL mostly indirectly through pruritus severity reduction.

Key words: crisaborole; atopic dermatitis; quality of life; mediation; pruritus.

Accepted Mar 21, 2019; E-published Mar 21, 2019
Acta Derm Venereol
Corr: Eric L. Simpson, MD, Oregon Health and Science University, 3303 SW Bond Ave, Portland, OR 97225, USA. E-mail: simpsone@ohsu.edu

Atopic dermatitis (AD) is a chronic inflammatory skin disease characterized by intensely pruritic eczematosus lesions (1, 2). Pruritus is the predominant symptom of AD; approximately 91% of patients with AD report daily pruritus (3). The precise mechanism of AD-associated pruritus is complex and continues to be investigated; however, it is thought to be caused by various inflammatory and noninflammatory stimuli (4). AD-associated pruritus has a significant impact on quality of life (QoL) in children and adults (5), and, as a result, a central goal of treatment is rapid relief of pruritus flares and long-term symptom control (4).

Until recently, initial pharmaceutical treatment of AD involved topical corticosteroids (TCSs) or topical calcineurin inhibitors (TCIs), but there are potential limitations to their use (6–8). TCSs are the mainstay of AD treatment and are effective in treating active inflammatory disease (6–8). However, their broad mechanism of action can lead to adverse effects, such as skin atrophy, particularly with more potent agents. Such adverse effects have resulted in “steroid phobia” or a hesitancy of patients to use these agents (9–11). TCIs reduce body surface area involvement and signs and symptoms of AD; however, efficacy is comparable with that of low- or mid-potency TCSs (6–8). The most common adverse event with TCIs is burning and stinging, which can preclude their use in many patients, especially in children (12). As a result, there is a need for new, effective, nonsteroidal treatments that address inflammation and pruritus.

Crisaborole ointment is a nonsteroidal phosphodiesterase 4 (PDE4) inhibitor for the treatment of mild to moderate AD. Crisaborole, a novel boron-containing molecule approved to treat mild to moderate AD in patients aged ≥ 2 years, reduces pro-inflammatory cytokines via a unique mechanism of action through inhibition of PDE4 (13–15). In 2 identically designed phase 3 clinical studies (AD-301: NCT02118766; AD-302: NCT02118792), crisaborole ointment, 2%, improved global disease severity and all measured signs and symptoms of AD in significantly more patients compared with vehicle; application site pain was the most common treatment-related adverse event (13).

In prespecified and post hoc analyses, crisaborole ointment also reduced the severity of pruritus in significantly more patients compared with vehicle ointment at day 29 and at week 4 of the studies (\( p = 0.005 \) and \( p < 0.001 \), respectively) (13, 16, 17). A qualitative and psychometric analysis of the Severity of Pruritus Scale (SPS), a 4-point rating scale ranging from 0 (“no itching”) to 3 (“bothersome itching/scratching which is disturbing sleep”), used in the phase 3 studies was recently completed and supported the validity of the measure for use in AD (18). Greater mean improvement in QoL was also observed with crisaborole compared with vehicle at day 29 (19).
Mediation modeling has been used in inflammatory diseases and other diseases to establish the contributions of direct and indirect effects of a treatment on an outcome (20–23). A mediation model hypothesizes that the predictor variable, such as a treatment, not only affects the outcome variable (i.e. QoL) directly but also affects the mediator variable (i.e. pruritus), which in turn also affects the outcome variable. The mediator variable can help clarify the nature of the relationship between predictor and outcome variables (22). Because of the potential link between pruritus and QoL, and the significance of pruritus as a cardinal symptom of AD, the purpose of this analysis was to determine, through mediation modeling, the interrelationship among subject-reported pruritus, QoL, and treatment using pooled data from the AD-301 and AD-302 studies.

METHODS

Patients and treatments

The data used in the mediation model came from the 2 large phase 3 studies: AD-301 and AD-302 (13). In brief, AD-301 and AD-302 were identically designed, multicenter, randomized, double-blind, phase 3 studies conducted to compare crisaborole with vehicle in the treatment of mild-to-moderate AD. Patients aged ≥ 2 years with mild-to-moderate AD per the Investigator’s Static Global Assessment (ISGA) were randomly assigned to receive either crisaborole, 2%, twice daily or vehicle for 28 days. Both studies were conducted in accordance with Good Clinical Practice Guidelines and local regulatory requirements. The institutional review boards of participating centers approved the study protocols, and all participants provided informed consent.

Mediation modelling

Although research is more likely to look for a correlation between a predictor variable (X) and an outcome variable (Y), mediation in its simplest form is represented by a third variable (M, the mediator), where the predictor X influences the mediator M, which, in turn, influences the outcome Y (i.e. X affects M and then M affects Y). Also included are e1 and e2, which are error terms for Y and M, respectively (Fig. 1) (22). In the current mediation model, the predictor variable was treatment (crisaborole vs vehicle), with severity of pruritus as the mediator variable and QoL as the outcome variable.

Quality of life was measured using the Dermatology Life Quality Index (DLQI) in patients aged ≥ 16 years and the Children’s Dermatology Life Quality Index (CDLQI) in patients aged 2–15 years (Table I) (24, 25). DLQI and CDLQI scores from day 29 were used. Each QoL measure was used in its own mediation model.

Severity of pruritus was assessed using the SPS (Table II), which was administered via electronic diary twice a day, morning and evening, with a recall period of 24 h (13). For consistency with the 1-week recall period of the DLQI and CDLQI, the SPS score used in the analysis consisted of mean SPS scores over week 4 (days 23–29) for every patient. All available data were used, and no imputations of missing data were performed. Vehicle data were included as part of the analysis, along with crisaborole, to provide a measure of the effect of crisaborole beyond that of the vehicle.

p-values, which apply to all patients within the model, represent whether the percentage of the total effect that is direct or indirect is statistically different from 0%.

RESULTS

Patient demographics and disposition

In total, 1,522 patients were included in both studies: 1,016 were randomly assigned to receive crisaborole and 506 were randomly assigned to receive vehicle. There were no significant differences across treatment groups or across studies in baseline demographics and

Table I. Quality of life (QoL) assessment scales and subscales: Dermatology Life Quality Index (DLQI) and Children’s Dermatology Life Quality Index (CDLQI)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Assessment</th>
<th>CDLQI (patients aged 2–15 years)</th>
<th>DLQI (patients aged ≥16 years)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Symptoms and feelings</td>
<td>Severity of symptoms (itch, soreness, pain, stinging)</td>
<td>0–3 points</td>
<td>0–3 points</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personal relationships</td>
<td>Embarrassment or self-consciousness</td>
<td>0–3 points</td>
<td>0–3 points</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Effect on friendships and social interactions (e.g. teasing, bullying, avoidance)</td>
<td>0–6 points</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Effect on friendships, relatives, and/or partner and sex life</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0–6 points</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School/work and holidays</td>
<td>Effect on work/school or vacation time</td>
<td>0–3 points</td>
<td>0–3 points</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leisure</td>
<td>Effect on playing sports and leisure activities</td>
<td>0–3 points</td>
<td>0–3 points</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Wearing different clothes/shoes</td>
<td>0–3 points</td>
<td>0–3 points</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burden of treatment</td>
<td>Treatment burden on daily life</td>
<td>0–3 points</td>
<td>0–3 points</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sleep</td>
<td>Effect on sleep</td>
<td>0–3 points</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daily activities</td>
<td>Influence on clothes worn and daily tasks</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0–6 points</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>Comprehensive assessment of patient QoL</td>
<td>0–30 points</td>
<td>0–30 points</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NA: not applicable.
disease severity (Table III). The mean age between both groups was approximately 12.2 years. Most patients (approximately 86%) were aged 2–17 years. Approximately 55.6% of patients were female. In both groups, distribution by race was approximately 61% white, 28% black, 5% Asian, and 6% other. Most patients (approximately 61%) had moderate AD per ISGA and mean treatable percentage of affected body surface area (%BSA) was approximately 18% (range, 5% to 95%). Among those with available baseline pruritus measurement, approximately 26% had mild, 37% had moderate, and 32% had severe pruritus. Based on previously established severity bands for DLQI and CDLQI (26, 27), the mean baseline DLQI and CDLQI scores indicated that there was, on average, a “moderate effect” of AD on QoL at baseline.

For the mediation model, 266 patients were included in the DLQI analysis and 1,112 patients were included in the CDLQI analysis.

### Table II. Severity of Pruritus Scale

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>No itching</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Mild</td>
<td>Occasional, slight itching/scratching</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>Constant or intermittent itching/scratching which is not disturbing sleep</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Severe</td>
<td>Bothersome itching/scratching which is disturbing sleep</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Reprinted from (13), Copyright 2016, with permission from Elsevier.

### Table III. Baseline demographics and disease characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Crisaborole n=1,016</th>
<th>Vehicle n=506</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, years, mean (range)</td>
<td>12.3 (2–79)</td>
<td>12.1 (2–79)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age group, %</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2–6 years</td>
<td>33.0</td>
<td>33.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7–11 years</td>
<td>28.7</td>
<td>28.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12–17 years</td>
<td>24.3</td>
<td>24.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥ 18 years</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>13.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex, %</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>44.3</td>
<td>44.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>55.7</td>
<td>55.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethnicity, %</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic or Latino</td>
<td>19.7</td>
<td>20.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Hispanic or Latino</td>
<td>80.3</td>
<td>80.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race, %</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Indian or Alaskan Native</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>5.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black or African American</td>
<td>28.1</td>
<td>27.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>60.7</td>
<td>60.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISGA, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild (2)</td>
<td>393 (38.7)</td>
<td>193 (38.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate (3)</td>
<td>623 (61.3)</td>
<td>313 (61.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severity of pruritus*, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None (0)</td>
<td>35 (3.9)</td>
<td>19 (4.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild (1)</td>
<td>229 (25.4)</td>
<td>119 (27.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate (2)</td>
<td>331 (36.7)</td>
<td>167 (37.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe (3)</td>
<td>308 (34.1)</td>
<td>136 (30.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatable %BSA, mean±SD</td>
<td>18.3±18.02</td>
<td>18.1±17.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>5–95</td>
<td>5–90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDLQI, n (mean±SD)</td>
<td>797 (9.3±5.99)</td>
<td>403 (9.0±6.02)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DLQI, n (mean±SD)</td>
<td>192 (9.7±6.29)</td>
<td>92 (9.3±6.55)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Pruritus severity was reported by patients or parents/caregivers and was measured using a 4-point scale of none (0), mild (1), moderate (2), and severe (3). Baseline severity was determined using a single measurement.

%BSA: percentage of affected body surface area; CDLQI: Children’s Dermatology Life Quality Index; DLQI: Dermatology Life Quality Index; ISGA: Investigator’s Static Global Assessment; SD: standard deviation.

### Mediation models

For both adults and children, the indirect effect through itch had a sizable influence and, at the same time, was more prominent for children than for adults. The indirect effect for adults was about half (51.4%) the total effect of treatment on DLQI ($p=0.0272$), whereas the indirect effect for children was about three-fourths (72.4%) the total effect of treatment on CDLQI ($p<0.0001$).

The direct effect, which represented all other effects of crisaborole on QoL other than pruritus, was less than half (49% [DLQI-based model; $p=0.0365$] and 28% [CDLQI-based model; $p=0.0701$]) of the total, or overall, effect of the active treatment on QoL (Figs 2 and 3).

### DISCUSSION

Quality of life is one of the most important aspects of AD that patients use to judge treatment response (28). From a broader perspective, chronic pruritus of any cause has been associated with impaired QoL and emotional well-being in population studies (29). In AD, pruritus can disrupt sleep, particularly in children, resulting in impaired functioning and worsening QoL (30, 31).
particular, pruritus has resulted in decreased motionless
time asleep, decreased sleep duration, and decreased
sleep quality (30), which in turn results in impaired
functioning, increased irritability, and psychological
problems (30). Pruritus also contributes to depression,
agitation, changes in eating habits, and difficulty con-
centrating (30).

The current findings in the presented mediation model
indicate that crisaborole affects QoL mostly indirectly
through reduction in the severity of pruritus (i.e. intensity,
scratching, and sleep dimensions) as opposed to directly
through other effects of treatment, such as reduction in
the inflammation and clinical signs of AD. Interestingly,
the indirect path in the CDLQI-based model was more
pronounced, possibly because of differences in item
composition of the 2 QoL questionnaires (Table I). For
instance, the CDLQI includes sleep as a component (25),
which is highly affected by pruritus (30), whereas the
DLQI does not.

Mediation models are helpful in that they are used
to identify and explain the mechanism that underlies
observed relationships between predictors and outcomes
by including a third mediator variable – in essence, by
narrowing the causation of an outcome (22, 32–34). Mo-
dern approaches to mediation have been inspired by the
work of Wright, in the year 1921 (35), who developed the
path analysis method, which started to become popular
in psychological studies in 1986 (36).

Conventional logistic and linear regression analyses
are suited to examine the effect or association of a gi-
gen predictor on an outcome (possibly controlling for
other predictors or covariates). A single linear regression
model is equipped, for example, to study the effect of
treatment, along with possibly baseline demographic
and clinical variables, on DLQI. But such a model is
not suited or intended to assess the interrelationship
of 3 or more variables simultaneously (e.g., treatment,
DLQI, and itch) because it is restricted to quantifying
the relationship between only one pair of variables at a
time (e.g., treatment-DLQI as one pair and itch-DLQI as
another pair); it does not account for the effect of (say)
treatment on itch.

In addition, for a postbaseline mediator (such as
postbaseline itch), the single regression model becomes
mis-specified (e.g., as an explanatory variable, treatment,
affects another explanatory variable, postbaseline itch,
as well as the outcome such as DLQI). To address these
limitations, 2 regression models need to be fit simulta-
neously, resulting in a mediation model that is suited
to assessing the interrelationship of variables simulta-
nously to quantify the direct effect of a predictor (e.g.,
treatment) on outcome (e.g., DLQI) and the indirect
effect of the same predictor on the same outcome through
the mediator (e.g., itch).

Our research question is not concerned with the effect
of treatment on DLQI per se (or the effect of itch on
DLQI), which is what a single linear regression model
would produce; our research does not center on how much
treatment improves QoL (as measured by DLQI). Instead,
mediation modeling was used to understand what part of
the treatment effect on QoL is mediated via improvement
in itch and thus to understand the mechanism of action
of crisaborole as it relates to itch and QoL.

Therefore, a mediation model resolves the issue of mo-
del misspecification and partitions the overall treatment
effect on DLQI into a proportion of which is direct and
the remaining proportion of which is indirect through
itch. The effect of the treatment on itch can be correctly
accounted for by adding an additional equation and
solving 2 equations simultaneously. Moreover, a media-
tion model is flexible enough to have multiple mediators
(not just one) to target the need of a research question
requiring more than 3 variables based on a conceptual
framework grounded in theory or a clinical rationale.

As has been previously reported, crisaborole reduces
disease severity (as measured by global assessment of
clinical signs and by affected %BSA) and pruritus se-
verity and improves QoL (13). Through this mediation
analysis, it can be seen that the improvements in QoL
may be primarily a result of the effect of crisaborole on
pruritus.

Data from few studies have been published to attempt
to identify which treatment effect is most relevant to a
patient’s QoL. A study conducted to explore chronic pru-
ritus conditions did suggest a greater correlation between
pruritus severity and QoL, as opposed to an association
between age, sex, or origin of pruritus on QoL (37). The
results from our mediation analysis add to the evidence
that pruritus is one of the most important symptoms of
AD, and improvement in this cardinal symptom led to
relevant QoL improvement, more so than improvement
in the clinical signs of the disease alone.

**Limitations**

Limitations of this analysis include the post hoc nature
of the mediation model, which was conducted using data
from 2 already completed clinical studies. Additional
studies are necessary to substantiate these results. In
addition, the study population consisted of those with
mild-to-moderate AD based on the ISGA; the majority
had moderate AD at baseline, although 32% had severe
pruritus at baseline based on the SPS. It is unclear how
inclusion of patients with severe AD would affect the
results observed. Our mediation model also assumes
no unmeasured confounding between predictor and
outcome, predictor and mediator, and mediator and
outcome. The first 2 of these potential confounder pairs
are addressed by the randomized study design, and we
have no evidence to show that the third confounder pair
is violated. The conclusions drawn from this analysis
are based on a postulated relationship; the mediation
model is plausible and clinically rational because associations between pruritus and QoL have been described previously (4, 38, 39). Another limitation is that our model does not test other specific potential mediators that could possibly help refine the model, such as sleep or affected %BSA. Regarding the QoL scales used, CDLQI has been validated for use in patients aged 4–16 years, and the current analysis is based on a population that includes patients as young as 2 years. However, another analysis of the subgroup of patients aged 4–15 years from the phase 3 crisaborole studies demonstrated that the change in CDLQI was comparable to that seen in the cohort of patients aged 2–15 years (40), and the CDLQI had been used to assess 238 patients who were aged 2–3 years. Finally, although not necessarily a limitation of the analysis itself, the SPS has been primarily used in clinical trials and is largely unknown by most clinicians, but it was recently validated (18).

Conclusion

As shown in this mediation model, crisaborole improves QoL by reducing the severity of pruritus. Future studies could test other measurable mediators to further refine the model.
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