Efficacy of Apremilast in Patients with Prurigo Nodularis: A Proof-of-concept Study
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Prurigo nodularis (PN) is a chronic skin disease, characterized by severe pruritus and multiple hyperkeratotic nodules often located on the extensor part of the upper and lower extremities (1).

The pathogenesis of PN is unknown; however, various hypotheses have been proposed including: increased level of substance P (a neuropeptide and a well-known mediator of pruritus) in the small nerve fibres in the dermis (2, 3); upregulation of nerve growth factor (NGF) in the dermis, leading to modulation of the small nerve fibres (4); and increased levels of interleukin-31 (IL-31) in the blood (5).

PN is defined as a subtype of chronic prurigo and is considered to be a distinct condition, which may originate in underlying comorbidities, such as atopic dermatitis (AD), neurological or systemic diseases (6).

PN is often burdensome to patients, due to severe pruritus leading to reduced quality of life (QoL), lack of sleep, and negative impact on everyday life (7). The existing treatments include topical corticosteroids, zinc dressing treatment, ultraviolet B (UVB), immunosuppressants, gabapentin, antidepressants, and thalidomide, all with limited effect. Overall, there is a need for effective treatments, as a large part of the existing therapies are unable to reduce disease severity and are associated with a poor safety profile (8).

In clinical trials, treatment with the phosphodiesterase-4 (PDE4) inhibitor (apremilast) has resulted in significant reduction in pruritus in AD and psoriasis (9, 10). The aim of this pilot-study was to evaluate the efficacy of apremilast in patients with PN.

MATERIALS AND METHODS (see Appendix SI1)

RESULTS

A total of 10 patients (5 women) with PN were enrolled in the study. Mean ± SD age was 61.7 ± 10.0 years. All patients had lesions on at least arms and legs and 6 had previously received systemic therapy. Table SI1 shows the patient characteristics.

Seven patients completed the study, one of whom took a 4-week sunny vacation during week 5, thus data from week 4 for this patient was carried forward, although the patient completed the study. Three patients withdrew consent or were excluded before week 16; one at week 11 due to intermittent fever, and 2 at week 10, both due to lack of effect.

Patients experienced only minor or no reduction in pruritus; 3 patients had an improvement in visual analogue scale (VAS) pruritus ≥3 points, with a mean VAS at baseline of 8.7 ± 0.9 to 7.4 ± 2.4 at week 12. Overall, there was no clinical improvement following treatment with apremilast from baseline to the end of the trial, thus none of the 10 patients had an improvement in Physician Global Assessment (PGA) ≥2 points, with a mean PGA at baseline of 3.5 ± 0.5 to 3.2 ± 0.8 at week 12 (Table SI1 and Fig. S1). None of the patients showed an improvement in Patient Global Assessment (PaGA) ≥2 points, with a mean PaGA at baseline of 4.0 ± 0.7 to 4.0 ± 0.9 at week 12. Two patients had an improvement in DLQI ≥4 points, with a mean DLQI at baseline of 11.2 ± 6.8 to 8.8 ± 7.5 at week 12. One patient had an improvement in PSQI ≥3 points, with a mean PSQI at baseline of 11.4 ± 4.9 to 12.0 ± 5.2 at week 12 (Fig. 1). None of the patients had an increase in BDI compared with baseline.

No severe adverse events were observed. For 5 patients an adverse event was registered, with diarrhoea, nausea, and abdominal pain being the most frequent. In one patient recurrent fever was registered.

Cytokines or chemokines were investigated in biopsies from the patients. In 2/6 and 1/6 biopsies from week 12 data were missing for IL-31 and IL-22, respectively. None of the investigated cytokines or chemokines changed significantly during treatment with apremilast; however, a tendency to a decrease in concentrations at week 12 compared with baseline was seen for IL-6, IL-10, IL-31, tumour necrosis factor (TNF)-α, INF-γ, CCL2, and CCL3. The Th17-derived cytokines, IL-17 and IL-22, were almost unchanged (Fig. S2).

Fig. 1. Change in visual analogue scale (VAS) – pruritus, Physician Global Assessment (PGA), Patient Global Assessment (PaGA), Dermatology Life Quality Index (DLQI), and Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index (PSQI) over a period of 16 weeks of apremilast treatment in patients with prurigo nodularis (PN). End of treatment was at week 12. For data from 4 of the 10 patients the last observation was carried forward from week 4 due to drop-outs.
DISCUSSION

This study found that 12 weeks of treatment with apremilast did not reduce pruritus in most patients (70%), thus no improvement was found in QoL or quality of sleep. Furthermore, apremilast was unable to clinically reduce the severity of PN. These results were supported by no significant changes in concentrations of cytokine or chemokine.

In a study by Samrao et al. (9), pruritus in patients with AD was significantly reduced within the first 2 weeks after initiation of apremilast. A rapid reduction in pruritus has also been observed in patients with psoriasis soon after initiation of apremilast (11). In the current study 3 of the 10 patients experienced a reduction in pruritus ≥3 points, which was reported as early as 2 weeks after receiving apremilast; however, no clinical improvement or no significant change in cytokines was seen in these patients.

The pathogenesis of PN is poorly understood, and only a few molecular mediators of PN have been identified, it is possible that apremilast is unable to suppress the key molecules mediating PN. Apremilast is a PDE-4 inhibitor, which increases the intracellular level of cyclic adenosine monophosphate. The anti-pruritic effect of apremilast in AD and psoriasis may be explained by the modulation of a wide range of proinflammatory mediators, such as IL-22, IL-23, IL-31, IFN-γ, TNF-α and an upregulation of the anti-inflammatory mediator IL-10 (9, 11). In many pruritic skin diseases IL-31 is thought to induce pruritus, and it has also been found to be expressed in PN lesions (12, 13). A tendency was found towards a reduction in the concentration of IL-31; however, this was not significant. In agreement, IL-31 may not be as important in PN, as described earlier (5). This is supported by a recent trial by Zhong et al. (14), showing that IL-31 was expressed significantly more in patients with PN who have a history of AD compared with patients with PN and no history of AD.

This study found that IL-10, an anti-inflammatory cytokine, was decreased, although this was not significant. This is in line with a trial by Schaefer et al. (15), in which patients with psoriatic arthritis were treated with apremilast and a decrease in IL-10 was observed during the initial 16 weeks of treatment despite amelioration of arthritis symptoms.

The strengths of this study were: this is the first trial to evaluate the efficacy of apremilast in a group of patients with moderate to severe PN. The efficacy of apremilast was evaluated using both clinical parameters and by measuring changes in expression of cytokines.

Study limitations include: this was a small study with only 10 patients, 3 of whom did not complete the trial. Furthermore, the study was designed as an unblinded proof-of-concept study with no placebo-controlled group.

In conclusion, this study found that 12 weeks of treatment with apremilast did not reduce pruritus in a majority of patients with PN, with no improvement in QoL or sleep. Furthermore, the clinical severity of PN and expression of cytokines were unchanged with this treatment.
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**SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Patients**

Patients with PN were recruited from the Department of Dermatology and Allergy, Herlev and Gentofte Hospital, University of Copenhagen, Denmark. Inclusion criteria were age above 18 years, clinically verified moderate-to-severe PN, inadequate response to local anti-inflammatory treatment and to UV therapy. Pregnant or lactating women, patients with serious infections or active psychiatric diseases were not allowed to participate in the study.

Study approval was obtained from the Danish Data Protection Agency (int. ref. HGH-0212-58-004, I-Suite 05785), the Danish Medicines Agency (ref. 2017020398, EudraCT no. 2016-003018-29), and the research ethics committees of the Capital Region of Denmark (ref. H-17003973). The study was conducted according to the Declaration of Helsinki and was registered at ClinicalTrials.gov (ref. ID. NCT03576287).

**Treatment**

Enrolled patients were treated with apremilast, 30 mg twice daily for 12 weeks. As recommended, dose-titration was used for the initial 6 days. At week 16, a follow-up visit was performed to monitor any relapse. Use of topical or systemic anti-inflammatory treatment for 2 and 4 weeks prior to baseline was prohibited, and also was not allowed during the trial.

**Definition of efficacy and safety assessment**

The primary objective of this 16-week phase II study was to evaluate the efficacy of 12 weeks’ treatment of apremilast in patients with PN using the visual analogue scale (VAS) pruritus score (range 0–10). Secondary endpoints were to evaluate the efficacy of apremilast using Physician Global Assessment (PGA, range 0–4), Patient Assessed Global Assessment (PaGA, range 0–5), QoL using Dermatology Life Quality Index (DLQI, range 0–30), and Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index (PSQI, range 0–21).

Responders were considered as those receiving the minimally important difference/minimally clinically important difference at week 12 compared with baseline, defined as a difference in VAS pruritus ≥ 3 points, in PGA ≥ 2 points, in PaGA ≥ 2 points, in DLQI ≥ 4 points, and in PSQI ≥ 3 points (S1–3).

In addition, a secondary endpoint was to evaluate changes in expression of cytokine and chemokine detected by real-time quantitative polymerase chain reaction (RT qPCR) analyses. Safety was assessed using Beck’s Depression Inventory (BDI, range 0–63) score. All efficacy and safety parameters were monitored at weeks 0, 2, 4, 12 and 16.

**RNA purification and RT qPCR**

To investigate the efficacy of apremilast on the immune system, biopsies from lesional skin were taken at baseline, weeks 4 and 12, and at each time-point analysed for RT qPCR of IL-6, IL-10, IL-17, IL-22, IL-31, IFN-γ, TNF-α, CCL2, and CCL3 mRNA expression.

All skin biopsies were immediately transferred to RNAlater stabilization solution. After 24 h at 5°C they were stored at −80°C until RNA purification. RNA extraction, cDNA synthesis, and RT qPCR analysis were undertaken by Eurofins Genomics Europe Genotyping A/S, Aarhus, Denmark. Total cellular RNA was extracted and purified from skin biopsies from baseline, week 4 and week 12, using the automated process on a QIAxymon SP robot using the QIAxymon RNA kit (QIAGEN, Hilden, Germany). cDNA synthesis was performed by means of the High-Capacity cDNA Reverse Transcription Kit (Applied Biosystems; ThermoFisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) using 100 ng total RNA. A specific target amplification was performed using a pool of the TaqMan assays: IL-6: Hs00174131_m1; IL-10: Hs00961622_m1; IL-17: Hs00174383_m1; IL-22: Hs01574154_m1; IL-31: Hs01098710_m1; IFN-γ: Hs00989291_m1; TNF-α: Hs00174128_m1; CCL3: Hs00234142_m1; CCL2: Hs00234140_m1; RPLP0: Hs99999902_m1; PPIA: Hs99999904_m1; TBP: Hs99999910_m1 (ThermoFisher Scientific), which were also used in the subsequent qPCR. The pre-amplified cDNA and the assays were loaded on a 48×48 dynamic array and run under standard conditions on the Fluidigm BioMark (Department of Molecular Medicine, Aarhus University Hospital, Aarhus, Denmark) system according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Data were analysed using Fluidigm BioMark software version 4.1.3 with linear (derivative) baseline correction and the user (detectors) method for Ct threshold settings. Mean Ct values were calculated from the raw data, along with assay standard curve linearity and amplification efficiency. mRNA levels were determined using the relative quantification method (2^(-ΔΔCt)). An algorithm was used (NormFinder, Department of Molecular Medicine, Aarhus University Hospital, Aarhus, Denmark) for the validation of stability of the 3 candidate reference genes (S4). Based on this algorithm, PPIA and RPLP0 were chosen as reference genes.

**Statistical analysis**

Data from all included patients were evaluated and analysed. For patients who dropped out before end-of-trial, last observation was carried forward.

A statistical power calculation was not performed, as this was a proof-of-concept study to determine the anti-pruritic efficacy of apremilast in patients with PN. Descriptive analyses were presented as means ± standard deviations (SD).

Cytokine and chemokine concentrations at weeks 4 and 12 were compared with baseline concentrations by use of Wilcoxon signed-rank test. Concentration of IL-31 at week 4 and week 12 was compared with baseline concentration by use of Mann–Whitney test due to missing data for IL-31. Values of p<0.05 were considered significant.

Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS (version 22.0.0.0) and GraphPad Prism version 6.07 (GraphPad Software, La Jolla, CA, USA).
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Fig. S1. Clinical photographs from a representative patient (number 7): baseline (left), week 12 (right).

Fig. S2. Change in expression of cytokine and chemokine over 12 weeks, mRNA expression in skin biopsies shown as $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$. Data are shown as means and standard deviations. Black dots represent individual data from patients. IL: interleukin; TNF-α: tumour necrosis factor-α; IFN: interferon.
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### Table SI. Demographic characteristics of patients with prurigo nodularis (PN) ($n=10$)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pat. No.</th>
<th>Age, years</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Duration of PN, years</th>
<th>Affected areas</th>
<th>Previous treatments</th>
<th>Comorbidities</th>
<th>VAS</th>
<th>PGA</th>
<th>PaGA</th>
<th>DLQI</th>
<th>PSQI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Arms, legs</td>
<td>UVB, topical/systemic corticosteroids, methotrexate, gabapentin, capsaicin</td>
<td>Chronic pain, allergic rhinitis</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>Arms, legs</td>
<td>PUVA, topical/systemic corticosteroids, calcineurin inhibitors, SSRI, mycophenolate, thalidomide, occlusion with zinc</td>
<td>Chronic pain, rosacea</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>Arms, legs, trunk</td>
<td>PUVA, topical/systemic corticosteroids, azathioprine thalidomide</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Arms, legs</td>
<td>UVB, topical/systemic corticosteroids, occlusion with zinc</td>
<td>Hypercholesterolaemia, intermittent claudication, angina pectoris</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Arms, legs, trunk</td>
<td>UVB, topical/systemic corticosteroids, antihistamine</td>
<td>Hypercholesterolaemia, epilepsy</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Arms, legs, trunk</td>
<td>UVB, topical/systemic corticosteroids</td>
<td>Hypercholesterolaemia, type 2 diabetes</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Arms, legs, trunk</td>
<td>UVB, topical corticosteroids</td>
<td>Latent tuberculosis</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Arms, legs, trunk, genitals</td>
<td>UVB, topical/systemic corticosteroids, capsaicin</td>
<td>Hypercholesterolaemia, hypertension</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>Arms, legs</td>
<td>UVB, topical corticosteroids methotrexate</td>
<td>Contact allergy</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>Arms, legs, trunk, genitals</td>
<td>UVB, topical corticosteroids, antihistamines, methotrexate, azathioprine</td>
<td>Sarcoidosis, chronic pain, migraine</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:**
- **VAS:** visual analogue scale; **PGA:** Physician Global Assessment; **PaGA:** Patient Global Assessment; **DLQI:** Dermatology Life Quality Index; **PSQI:** Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index; **UVB:** ultraviolet B; **PUVA:** psoralen plus ultraviolet A; **SSRI:** selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor.