Antimicrobials are widely used in topical formulations as preservatives or as therapeutically active agents. Photosensitization by such compounds has not yet been studied systematically. To identify possible phototoxic properties, antimicrobials (benzyl alcohol, bronopol, chloracetamide, clioquinol, diazolidinyl urea, ethylenediamine dihydrochloride, formaldehyde, glutaraldehyde, imidazolidinyl urea, sodium benzoate, propylene glycol) were evaluated in vitro by means of a photohaemolysis test using suspensions of human erythrocytes. Irradiations were performed with UVA- and UVB-rich light sources. In the presence of bronopol or clioquinol, there was photohaemolysis up to 78.1% or 48.5% with UVA and up to 100% or 34.3% with UVB, respectively. The phototoxic effect depended on the concentration of the compounds and the UV doses administered. None of the other substances tested caused significant photohaemolysis. It is concluded that bronopol and clioquinol exert phototoxic effects in vitro and thus might also cause photosensitization when used on the skin. The clinical significance of this has to be established by further work.
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Photohaemolysis test

The test was done as described previously (9). Briefly, suspensions of human erythrocytes or correspondingly prepared erythrocyte-free samples were incubated with the test substances at concentrations of $10^{-3}$ mol/l for 1 h at 37°C. Both substance-free erythrocyte samples (blanks) as well as samples containing the test substances (including erythrocyte-free controls) were exposed to 0, 10, 20, 30, 40, 50, 75 or 100 J/cm² UVA (UVASUN 5000) or to 0 (0), 400 (0.16), 800 (0.32), 1600 (0.64), 2400 (0.96) or 3200 (1.28) mJ/cm² UVB (J/cm² UVA) from the TL 20W/12 light bulbs. During irradiation, samples were kept in a shaking bath at 37°C; 100% haemolysis was obtained by exposure of the erythrocytes to distilled water. After an incubation period of 30 min in the dark supernatants were recovered by centrifugation. After a further incubation for 15 min with Drabkin’s solution (Sigma), haemolysis was determined by reading of absorbance at 550 nm with an MR 700 Microplate reader (Dynatech, Denkendorf, Germany). Haemolysis was calculated on the basis of the absorbance data according to the formula:

$$\text{Haemolysis (%)} = 100 \times \frac{\text{test sample} - \text{blank} - \text{erythrocyte-free sample}}{\text{total haemolysis} - \text{blank}}$$

In order to exclude equivocal results, only haemolysis >5% was regarded to be a meaningful positive finding. Results are given as median of three independent experiments performed with erythrocytes from three different donors.

RESULTS

Tert-butylhydroquinone, chlorhexidine digluconate and phenylmercuric acetate induced significant haemolysis (>15%) without UV irradiation at a concentration of $10^{-3}$ mol/l. These substances were not further tested for phototoxic effects.

With exposure to the UVASUN 5000 lamp, bronopol as well as clioquinol, each at the 1 mM concentration, caused a UV dose-dependent haemolysis up to 78.1% and 48.5%, respectively (Fig. 1a). At 1 mM, both compounds also induced UV dose-dependent haemolysis upon irradiation with the TL 20W/12 light bulbs, reaching 100% with bronopol and 34.3% with clioquinol (Fig. 1b). All other compounds tested for phototoxicity did not yield haemolysis >5%.

It can be seen from Fig. 1 that 75 J/cm² of UVA and 2 J/cm² together with 1 mM clioquinol caused 25–30% haemolysis, whereas these UV doses together with 1 mM bronopol caused 65–90% haemolysis. This UVA and UVB exposure is equivalent, as an example, to 4 h of irradiation (2 h before and 2 h after local noon) for Central Europe (Munich) on 15 June with average cloudless conditions (16).

DISCUSSION

Clioquinol and bronopol exerted prominent phototoxic effects in this in vitro assay, photohaemolysis occurring...
with exposure to both UVB- and UVA-rich sources. The action spectrum in the UVB range is of particular interest, as the majority of photosensitizers are active in the UVA range.

Clioquinol is a quinoline compound that has antibacterial and antifungal properties. It is chemically related to the quinolones among which there are many phototoxic compounds (9). Clioquinol is used in topical preparations to treat skin infections such as infected eczema or athlete’s foot and can also be present in eye or ear preparations. Positive patch test reactions to clioquinol were noted at a frequency of 0.7% in the study (17). Delayed contact allergy to a number of contact allergens, including clioquinol, was more frequent in patients with photosensitivity dermatitis/actinic reticuloid than in a comparison group (18). It may be speculated that the phototoxic action of clioquinol could be related to the development of these conditions.

Bronopol is widely used as a preservative in cosmetics and toiletries. Allergic contact dermatitis to bronopol has been reported, the sensitization rate being about 0.5% (19, 20). Previously, phototoxic or photoallergic properties of bronopol were said to have been ruled out experimentally (21). These results are in contrast to our findings. However, in the studies done by Raab (21) aqueous solutions of bronopol were exposed to a UV source and only changes of the absorption curves before and after irradiation were used as indication of photoeffects. Evidently, this procedure is insufficient to determine biological effects.

Our data suggest that possible photosensitizing effects of common contactants should be studied systemically. A further step should be to assess the clinical relevance of findings obtained by in vitro tests. Particularly, it would be important to study the relation of results obtained in the photohaemolysis tests to effects on DNA and on organisms in vivo. Nevertheless, the current knowledge that clioquinol and bronopol are phototoxic agents can increase our awareness in clinical practice, where photosensitivity reactions to topical antimicrobials may be underestimated.

As a systematic search for phototoxic compounds is quite often ‘successful’ (22–24), such substances seem quite abundant. However, acute phototoxic reactions are not very frequent. Nonetheless photosensitization below the threshold of overt skin disease may enhance chronic photodamage, which is primarily characterized by ‘photoaging’ and photocarcinogenesis (7). As the latter has become an ever-increasing health problem, the suggested association between exposure to ‘common’ phototoxic compounds and enhanced photocarcinogenesis demands further studies.
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