The Number of Diagnostic Features in Patients with Atopic Dermatitis Correlates with Dryness Severity

Sir,

Atopic dermatitis (AD) is a common multifactorial disease that seems to affect around 5–15% of children from industrialized countries (1–3). Dermatologists have little difficulty in most cases in making a firm diagnosis, but the diagnostic criteria for AD proposed by Hanifin & Rajka in 1980 (4) represented a major step forward in ensuring some degree of uniformity of AD subjects in subsequent clinical studies. The criteria contain the presence of 3 out of 4 basic features plus 3 or more minor features of 23 suggested (Table I).

There have also been many different attempts to measure and record AD disease activity, but there is no general agreement about which techniques should be used (5). A new system for scoring of dryness, recently proposed by Serup (6), follows some of the principles of the PASI score for the overall assessment of psoriasis. This study was designed to illustrate if the number of minor diagnostic features present in patients with AD was correlated to the severity of skin dryness measured by the new scoring technique.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Patients and AD diagnosis

Fifty patients with atopic dermatitis known to the Dermatology Department in Uppsala were recruited (38 women and 12 men), with a mean age of 32 years (range 18–55 years). All were Caucasians. A majority of the patients treated their disease mainly with topical corticosteroids and moisturizers daily or occasionally.

The patients were examined by a dermatologist who recorded a positive or negative response to the Hanifin & Rajka criteria (4) (Table I) on a standard record form. Not all of the minor criteria were examined by the dermatologist. Some of the information (e.g. minor feature nos 3 and 4) were taken from the patient journal or from the patient medical history (no. 12). If no information on these features could be obtained, no further examination of the patient was performed.

Scoring of dryness

The dermatologist also made a clinical assessment of dry skin/irritation in accordance with a newly proposed system for dry skin and ichthyosis, a system which combines intensity of clinical signs and extent of body surface affected. The degrees of scaling, roughness, redness and cracks (fissures) were scored on a categorical scale on five levels, 0–4, where 0 is absent and 4 is extreme. The entire body was examined and the area involved in 4 body regions was determined (head and neck, 10% of total area, upper extremities; 20% of total area, trunk, 30% of total area, and lower extremities; 40% of total area). The sum of the severity scores was multiplied by the area affected in percent in each body region, and by summarizing these figures the dry skin area and severity index (DASI) is obtained (maximum 1600 points).

RESULTS

Clinical examination of atopic patients showed a positive relationship between the number of minor features in the diagnosis of AD and the severity of the dryness. In Fig. 1 the regression

![Fig. 1. Linear regression of the number of minor features and DASI score (n=50). Spearman non-parametric correlation coefficient is 0.44 (p=0.0015).](image-url)
Treatment of Acne Vulgaris with Colchicine

Sir,

During the treatment with colchicine of patients with Behçet’s disease and Familial Mediterranean Fever, we noticed that two patients who also had acne vulgaris showed a significant improvement of their acne without receiving any other medication. This observation led us to carry out a trial to investigate the effectiveness of colchicine in acne vulgaris. According to our knowledge, there is no reported case of the use of colchicine for the treatment of acne in the medical literature. Twenty-two patients (14 women, 8 men, age range 17–38 years) with acne resistant to antibiotic treatment were treated with colchicine. In all cases but one, the acne had started at an early age. The skin manifestations included comedon, pustules and nodules. Four cases had nodular cystic acne and 2 had acne conglobata. A daily dose of 1 mg colchicine was given for a duration of 2 months. All patients improved by up to 70%, and this improvement was more marked in those with cystic nodular acne with severe inflammation. No significant side effects of colchicine were observed. No other treatments were given. Most patients showed a relapse after the colchicine was stopped. The only exceptions to this were two patients, one with Behçet’s disease and one with Familial Mediterranean Fever, whose colchicine treatment had to be continued. They are still in remission.

It is not clearly known how colchicine exerts its anti-inflammatory effect. However, colchicine prevents the recruitment of PMN cells, interferes with microtubular functions, inhibits the expression of adhesion molecules and prevents the migration of white blood cells across vessel walls. Considering the increasing number of reports regarding the numerous resistant organisms caused by overuse of antibiotics, it seems logical that anti-inflammatory drugs like colchicine should be considered as a new replacement for classic antibiotic treatment of acne. This treatment could then be continued with local anti-acne medication. We are currently studying alcohol-based colchicine solution for local use.
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