Severe Drug-induced Pneumonitis Associated with Minocycline and Nicotinamide Therapy of a Bullous Pemphigoid

Sir,

Minocycline hydrochloride, a semisynthetic tetracycline derivative, continues to be one of the most frequently used antibiotics. Long-standing familiarity with minocycline has established its relative safety (1). However, minocycline has few but severe side effects that are not widely known, but should be recognized early as drug-related (2). We describe a fatal case with probable minocycline-induced pneumonitis during the treatment of a bullous pemphigoid.

CASE REPORT

An 84-year-old man was evaluated for a purpuric, erythematous vesiculo-bullous eruption of 2 months' duration. Physical examination revealed crusted, erythematous, slightly indurated macules, vesicles on erythematous bases, and ulcers mainly on the neck, chest and flexor forearms. A chest X-ray taken at that time was normal. A skin biopsy including the results of direct immunofluorescence was interpreted as a bullous pemphigoid (BP). Therapy was initiated with nicotinamide 600 mg daily and minocycline hydrochloride 200 mg daily.

After 15 days, he suddenly developed high fever, a dry cough and dyspnea. Auscultation of the chest revealed scattered fine cracks over the upper- and mid-zones of both lungs. The leukocyte count was 14,200/mm³ with 2% eosinophils. The C-reactive protein was 14.6 (normal = <0.3). Chest X-ray showed a bilateral interstitial pattern, which was marked in the upper- and mid-zones of both lungs. Severe hypoxemia (paO₂ = 28.7 mmHg) was present when the patient breathed room air. Findings of serologic testing for viral disease (cytomegalovirus, Epstein-Barr virus) and cultures from sputum and blood were negative.

As pneumonitis due to an adverse drug reaction was suspected, both nicotinamide and minocycline were discontinued. Despite treatment, the chest roentgenograms showed diffuse and confluent involvement in the bilateral fields (Fig. 1a). Lymphocyte stimulation tests for nicotinamide and minocycline were inconclusive. Nine days after onset of pneumonitis, the patient died. An autopsy was performed. The specimens obtained from the lung showed diffuse damage to the epithelium and endothelium of the alveolar walls. Macrophages, type II pneumocytes and red blood cells were conspicuous in the alveolar spaces, and lymphocytes and plasma cells were observed within the interstitial spaces. Hyaline membranes were formed along the surface of the alveolar ducts. Neither granuloma formation nor eosinophil infiltration was observed (Fig. 1b).

DISCUSSION

Since 1986, the combination therapy of nicotinamide and tetracycline (or minocycline) has been reported to be effective in the treatment of BP (3). However, more serious and rare adverse effects due to minocycline have been identified, including pneumonitis, hepatotoxicity, Sweet’s syndrome, drug-induced lupus, serum sickness-like reaction, and hypersensitivity syndrome (2). Single cases and a small series of patients with pneumonitis have been reported, mostly in Japan. Almost all of the published cases were characterized by high fever, dry cough, chest pain, dyspnea, and pulmonary infiltrates on the chest roentgenogram, sometimes with eosinophilia in the blood within 4 weeks after administration of the drug.

Pneumonitis due to minocycline in most cases is considered to be caused by allergic reaction (4). Thus, the outcome of minocycline-induced pneumonitis is usually favourable. Shirahama et al. (5) reported an 83-year-old man who developed pneumonitis after 12 days of treatment with minocycline at 200 mg daily for BP. The symptoms resolved after minocycline was discontinued. Whether pneumonitis in our case was caused by allergic reaction or cytotoxic reaction is unclear. However, there was a definite association between the respiratory symptoms and administration of minocycline and nicotinamide therapy. To our knowledge, this is the only reported fatal case of minocycline-associated with pneumonitis. According to the literature, 3 patients died as a result of minocycline toxicity, 1 of fulminant hepatic failure (6), 1 of myocarditis (7) and 1 of pancytopenia (8).
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Delayed Pressure Urticaria Causing Obstruction of Urinary Flow

Sir,

Delayed pressure urticaria (DPU) is a condition in which red, itchy and sometimes painful cutaneous swellings occur at sites where prolonged pressure has been applied to the skin. Swellings occur from 30 min – 9 h after pressure application, and individual lesions resolve within 12 – 72 h.

CASE REPORT

A 51-year-old man presented to a specialist urticaria clinic with a 5-year history of pressure related weals. He gave no history of spontaneous wealing. Six to 12 hours after vigorous weight-bearing exercise, the soles of his feet would become itchy, swollen and painful. He noticed similar symptoms affecting his hands several hours after heavy lifting or manual work. Tight-fitting clothes would likewise cause swelling and wealing at underlying sites (e.g. at the waistline, socks, and shoes). In addition to these classical symptoms of pressure urticaria, he volunteered problems following sexual activity with his wife. Three to 4 hours following sexual intercourse, he would, on each occasion, suffer severe swelling and wealing of the skin over the base, shaft and prepuce of his penis. During this time, attempts at micturition resulted in dribbling and severe impediment of urinary outflow. In parallel with the swelling of penile tissue, his urinary symptoms would spontaneously resolve within 24 – 48 h and not recur if sexual contact was avoided.

Clinical examination was only possible between episodes of genital swelling. This only revealed linear areas of discrete swellings at his waistline and circumscribed weals on the soles of his feet, whilst genital-urinary examination was normal. Application of pressure to the patient’s back with a calibrated, spring-loaded dermatographometer at 100 g/mm² for 70 s resulted in the development of erythematous indurated papules at 6 h, confirming the diagnosis of delayed pressure urticaria (1).

DISCUSSION

The pathogenesis of delayed pressure urticaria has yet to be fully elucidated. However, histology of lesional skin shows an infiltrate of neutrophils in early weals, with mononuclear cells, neutrophils and eosinophils in later weals (2). Decreased number of stainable mast cells in pressure induced sites of DPU has suggested that mast cell degranulation is a factor in the development of these weals (3). Release of cytokines such as interleukin-6 from affected skin (4) into the circulation may account for the associated malaise, pyrexia and increased erythrocyte sedimentation rate in some patients with DPU.

Dyspareunia and vulvodynia have previously been described in people suffering physical urticaria, and are now being recognized in association with dermographism and delayed pressure urticaria (5 – 6). From a recent survey of urticaria patients attending our specialist clinic, we have found that obstruction of urinary flow is a rare problem amongst the male population with delayed pressure urticaria. However, a patient with hereditary angioedema did report similar swellings and urinary difficulties.
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