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Sir,

Females report skin disease more often than males (1–3), and female gender is a predisposing factor to allergic contact dermatitis because of gender differences in exposure patterns (4–6). No significant differences in quality of life (QoL) scores have been reported between males and females with allergic contact dermatitis, but females report a higher degree of emotional distress (7, 8) and a higher degree of discomfort from eczema than males (3). Recent data on QoL in patients with hand eczema showed that QoL was equally affected in males and females, although disease severity was higher in males (9). The negative cosmetic influence of dermatitis may traditionally be expected to cause more distress in females, and was recently reported to do so in female patients with atopic dermatitis (10).

Data on treatment of allergic contact dermatitis is limited, and differences between males and females with respect to treatment are not well described. Although the clinical experience is that females are more familiar with and more willing to use topical treatments, this has not been investigated or documented. The aim of this study was to compare treatment patterns among males and females with respect to conventional therapy. A second aim was to compare treatment patterns among healthcare workers and non-healthcare workers.

Methods

The present study is based on a questionnaire survey among patients with contact allergy to preservatives and/or fragrances in consumer products. Questions were formulated based either on previously used questions or on interviews with patients with allergic contact dermatitis (11).

A preliminary questionnaire, which consisted of 95 questions, was tested in a pilot group of 10 out-patients (4 men and 6 women, age range 26–65 years, mean 48 years). The relevance and understanding of each question in the completed questionnaire were discussed with the participants. Categories were added or removed, ambiguous questions were omitted or changed, which left 83 questions in the final questionnaire.

The questionnaire included questions about the duration, location and severity of the eczema. Severity was assessed by the patient on a 100-mm visual analogue scale (VAS), with numbers from 0 to 10. The exact wording of the question was: “How would you grade your eczema on a scale from 0 to 10?”, where 0 = no eczema and 10 = severe eczema. Marks placed between numbers were removed, ambiguous questions were omitted or changed, which left 83 questions in the final questionnaire.

Results

A total of 485 patients were available for the study. Of these, 382 (109 men and 273 women) responded to the questionnaire; an overall response rate of 78.8%. Ten questionnaires (2.1%) were returned with address unknown. Seven patients (1.4%) declined to participate for various reasons. Eighty-six patients (17.7%) did not respond. The mean age among respondents was 48 (range 19–65) years. Non-respondents were slightly younger, but not statistically significantly (mean age 46, range 20–65 years). No significant differences between respondents and non-respondents were found regarding distribution of gender, allergies and recruitment centres.

Contact allergies (preservatives and fragrances), eczema duration, frequency of eruptions within the last year and current eczema in males and females are given in Table I. A significantly higher proportion of females...
than males were allergic to both preservatives and fragrances (4% vs. 16%, \( p = 0.001 \)). One-third of the patients had eczema debut within the last 3 years. No significant difference was found between males and females with respect to disease duration, recruitment centres or eczema severity (Table I).

Eczema was located on the hands in 78% of females and 73% of males (not significant). Face eczema was more frequent in females than in males (41% vs. 28%, respectively, \( p = 0.021 \)), but otherwise there was no gender-related difference regarding eczema localization. Females reported work-related problems significantly more frequently than males (\( p = 0.005 \)), and more females were employed in high-risk occupations (\( p = 0.001 \)) (Table I).

Data on treatment of eczema is given in Table II. Females reported that they used topical steroids significantly more often than males (\( p = 0.004 \)), and 13% of females had never used topical steroids, compared with 27% of males. With respect to moisturizers, 80% of all females reported frequent/occasional use of moisturizers, while this was reported by 73% of males (not significant). One percent of females and 6% of males reported that they never used any kind of treatment (\( p = 0.028 \)).

Table III shows data for healthcare workers (26 males and 105 females) vs. non-healthcare workers with respect to treatment. No differences were found between healthcare workers and the rest of the patients.

**DISCUSSION**

This study is the first to describe gender differences in the use of conventional therapy in patients with allergic contact dermatitis. The data shows that females use topical steroids significantly more often than males, and that more males than females leave their eczema untreated, and this observation has not previously been documented.

An important factor known to influence use of treatment is disease severity, which in the present study was assessed by the patient as VAS score and number of eruptions. No significant difference was reported between females and males with respect to severity. In a previous study comparing doctor-assessed to patient-assessed severity, a tentative agreement between objective and self-rated evaluation was found (13), since the patient-assessed severity takes into consideration the patient’s distress and discomfort from the disease, which the objective assessment does not. More females seem to be emotionally distressed from dermatitis than males (7, 8), and this could eventually lead to a higher degree of severity reported in the female group. The fact that...
this was not found in the present study further supports
the hypothesis that the increased use of steroids among
females was not due to increased severity of eczema.

Another important factor influencing treatment may
be the location of the eczema, since hand eczema may
call for more frequent treatment than eczema located
elsewhere. However, no gender-related difference with
respect to frequency of hand eczema was found in the
present study. Female gender has previously been re-
ported as an important factor influencing frequent use
of complementary and alternative medicine (14), and
the present data indicates that females are more active
in using conventional treatment too. Although females
may be more interested in alternative medicine and more
frequent users of such products, this does not generally
seem to influence use of topical steroids in a negative
way. Contact dermatitis is known to cause a higher degree
of discomfort and emotional distress in females than in
males (3, 7, 8), and the negative cosmetic influence of
the disease seems to be of more importance for females
(10). This may explain the increased treatment activity
in females. In the present study work-related eczema and
high-risk occupations were predominant in females, indi-
cating a generally higher degree of exposure in females,
which may also lead to increased treatment activity.

While females with healthy skin traditionally use
moisturizers for cosmetic purposes, this tradition does
not extend to males. A Norwegian study of healthy people
with no skin diseases found that 83% of females and 23%
of males used moisturizers every day, respectively (15).
This traditional pattern for use of moisturizers probably
makes it easier for females to use topical therapy.

Contact dermatitis is a chronic disease, and presence
of contact allergy indicates a poor prognosis (16). This
explains the disease activity found in patients in the
present study months and years after diagnoses. Use of
topical treatment of eczema in patients with a professional
relationship to the healthcare system has not previously
been investigated. The expectation would be an increased
level of information and acceptance of use of topical
steroids in this group, and therefore increased use of
treatment. However, our data indicates that use of topical
steroids and use of moisturizers do not differ between
patients with and without a professional relationship to
the healthcare system. This may lead to speculation that
other factors than just increased level of information are
important for use of conventional treatment.

The strength of the present study is the high response
rate together with the detailed information about the
participants with respect to disease as well as treatment
habits. The study has focused on patients with contact
allergies to preservatives and fragrances, since these al-
gergies may influence use of topical treatment due to the
presence of relevant contact allergens in the products,
since these allergies occur with similar frequency in
females and males with contact dermatitis, and since
they are occurring with increasing frequency in the ge-
neral population too. The weakness of the study is that
the data is questionnaire-based, and that information
about eczema severity is assessed by the patient. No
attempt was made to judge the relevance of the contact
allergies, and a possible influence of other diagnosed
contact allergies influencing patients’ treatment habits
was not taken into consideration.
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