Sir,

Merkel cell carcinoma (MCC) is an uncommon neuroendocrine malignant neoplasm whose origin has not been well documented. Clinically, it presents as a rapidly enlarging nodule, usually located in the head and neck area of patients older than 60 years of age (1, 2). Little is known about the association with other malignant skin diseases, although there have been some reports of metachronous MCC and basal cell carcinoma, in situ or infiltrating squamous cell carcinoma and malignant melanoma (2–5).

Suggested causes or risk factors for the association with Bowen’s disease include chronic exposure to arsenic or other harmful chemicals, and excessive radiation (4). We present here two cases of MCC associated with in situ invasive squamous cell carcinoma, respectively.

CASE REPORTS

Case 1. An 86-year-old woman was referred to hospital complaining of a 10-cm tumour located on her left forearm. It was a painless, hard, well-circumscribed, non-ulcerated mass, which had progressively enlarged for the last 2 months. Physical examination showed no other abnormalities and routine blood analyses were normal. The patient did not report contact with chemical agents nor had she been chronically exposed to arsenic or other harmful chemicals, and excessive radiation (4).

Formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded tissue from both cases were sectioned and routinely stained with haematoxylin and eosin (HE). Immunohistochemistry was performed on selected paraffin-embedded tissue with the Dako REAL™ EnVision™ Detection System, Peroxidase/DAB+, Rabbit/Mouse (Dako, Glostrup, Denmark), using an automated immunostainer (TechMate™ 500 plus, Dako). The following primary antibodies were evaluated: pancytokeratin (AE1/AE3, Dako, 1/20), cytokeratin 20 (CK20, Dako, 1/20), neuron-specific enolase (NSE, Dako, 1/20), Chromogranin A (CGA, Novocastra, Newcastle, UK, 1/50), Synaptophysin (SPH, Biogenes, San Ramon, USA, 1/100), Thyroid Transcription Factor 1 (TTF-1, Dako 1/20) and CD117 (c-kit, pharmDx, Dako).

Histopathological examination showed dermal nests of small and medium-sized, round, basophilic cells arranged in a trabecular or diffuse pattern, extending deeply through the dermis to the subcutaneous fat (Fig. 1A). At greater magnification the tumour cells were seen to be uniform, with little cytoplasm and round nuclei with finely granulated chromatin and single, inconspicuous nucleoli. In some sections, the keratinocytes located in the overlying epidermis were atypical in appearance, with hyperchromatic nuclei and pleomorphism affecting the full thickness of the epidermis. Occasionally, dyskeratotic cells and areas of pagetoid appearance were also noted (Fig. 1B).

The dermal tumour cells were strongly positive for neuron-specific enolase and synaptophysin, and moderately positive for CGA, CD117, AE1/AE3, and CK20, showing a dot-like pattern, but negative for TTF1 and CK7. The neoplastic epithelial cells were negative for NSE, SPH, CGA, CD117, CK7, TTF1 and CK20, but strongly positive for AE1/AE3. On the basis of the histopathological and immunohistochemical findings, the diagnosis of MCC associated with Bowen’s disease was established. No other treatments were given and the patient died 2 years later of an unrelated disease.

Case 2. A 75-year-old man was referred to our hospital because of a left retroauricular nodular lesion. He reported rapid growth for the last 5 months. The lesion was an exophytic, irregular mass, extensively ulcerated, approximately 5.5 cm in width. No previous history of trauma or surgical procedure was available. The lesion was excised with wide margins.

Histopathological examination showed that the neoplasm had two completely different areas (Fig. 2A–B). Most of it corresponded to a solid proliferation of small and medium-sized cells arranged in nests and ribbons or diffusely throughout the whole dermis and the subcutaneous fat. Nuclei were uniform, with fine chromatin and inconspicuous nucleoli, while cytoplasm were scanty. The second component of the tumour was formed by atypical squamous cells located both in the epidermis and superficial dermis, with an infiltrative pattern. A regional lymph node was resected, but metastatic cells were not demonstrated.

Immunohistochemical study showed diffuse staining of the small cells for AE1/AE3, CK20, with “dot-like” pattern, CK7, NSE, CGA, SPH and CD117, but not for TTF1. Keratinizing atypical cells also stained for AE1/AE3, but not for CK20, CK7, NSE, CGA, SPH, TTF1 and CD117. These morphological and immunohistochemical findings were consistent with the diagnosis of MCC associated with invasive squamous cell carcinoma.

Two months after initial surgery, the patient presented with unilateral enlarged cervical lymph nodes and was admitted to left cervical radical lymphadenectomy. Metastatic cells were present in one out of the seven lymph nodes removed and also in the left parotid gland. One month later, a nodular lesion appeared on the scar of the previous excision and it was removed again. The only neoplastic component demonstrated in the recurrences was that of the MCC. The patient is alive and free of disease 9 months after his last surgery.

DISCUSSION

The origin of cutaneous MCC has not yet been elucidated. Although the most commonly accepted hypothesis is an origin from the Merkel cell, a mechanoreceptor located at the basal layer of the epidermis, some authors propose a pluripotential cell as the origin of this type of tumour (5–7). Merkel cells share some similitudes with MCC cells, but also some differences: the whorl- or plaque-like arrangements of intermediate filaments (CK20 or neurofilaments) of MCC cells is not seen in normal Merkel cells (7). Additionally, if this cutaneous cell were a precursor of MCC, a higher number of tumours with an intraepidermal component would be expected, but these have been reported in only 9–18%
of MCCs (6, 8–10). The possibility of considering MCC arising from a pluripotential stem cell is supported by the association between MCC and other neoplasms and by the divergent differentiation (neuroendocrine, squamous, adnexal and melanocytic) described in some MCC (5–7). Immunohistochemical studies have shown staining for a variety of antibodies, but reactivity with neuroendocrine markers and a dot-like staining for CK 20 are most characteristic (1). This pattern has been well documented in our two cases, clearly demonstrating that we are dealing with MCC. Recent immunohistochemical studies have described the diagnostic utility of new markers, such as the CD117, with potential relevance in the management of the neoplasm; CD117 was positive in our two cases and in most MCC, but these neoplasms do not contain activating mutations in exons 9, 11, 13 or 17 of Kit gene (11).

What makes our cases remarkable is the presence of what seems to be a completely different second neoplasm. Histopathological examination and immunohistochemistry clearly demonstrate that both patients have two different but synchronous neoplasms arising in the same place. In case 1, squamous cell carcinoma is exclusively located in the epidermis while MCC is restricted to the dermis, without continuity between both neoplasms. Although in case 2 the two neoplasms are closely related in the dermis, there is no transition between them, and they seem to be two clearly different tumours. MCC has already been reported in association with other cutaneous neoplasms, usually squamous cell carcinoma, invasive or intraepidermal, but also sweat gland tumours, actinic keratosis or basal cell carcinoma (2–4, 7). This association may be synchronous or metachronous and when both neoplasms appear synchronously they are usually separated by a “Grenz zone” with only occasional cases showing both neoplasms intermingled (12). Although the absence of transition between the two neoplasms favours no common origin from a pluripotential epithelial cell, the presence of squamous or glandular differentiation in some MCC (13), the location of both neoplasms in sun-exposed skin, and the demonstration of the same mutations in ras and p53 genes in some cases (14), could point in the opposite direction.

Although the induction of a second neoplasm by the first tumour cannot be excluded, the most probable ex-
planation is that we are dealing with a collision tumour, either coincidental or because they share some common aetiopathogenic agents or risk factors that have not yet been identified (2–4, 6, 7, 15).
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