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Sun-induced Pustular Dermatosis of the Scalp – A New Variant of Erosive Pustular Dermatosis of the Scalp?
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Erosive pustular dermatosis of the scalp is a rare skin disease predominantly diagnosed in the elderly (1, 2). Until today its aetiology is unknown, but it seems to be associated with atrophied skin secondary to actinic damage, surgery, or local trauma (3). This chronic and generally unremitting condition is predominantly characterised by varying degrees of sterile pustules, lakes of pus, erosions, and yellowish crusts, predominantly observed in areas of alopecia (3, 4). Cultures are normally negative and histological findings are relatively non-specific (4). Various other cutaneous diseases have to be considered as differential diagnosis like tinea, IgA pemphigus, pustular psoriasis, cicatricial pemphigoid, folliculitis decalvans, perifolliculitis abscedens et suffodiens and various bacterial and fungal infections (4). Due to its chronic behaviour, long-term treatment is required, consisting mainly of potent topical steroids. Other treatment regimens include topical tacrolimus, topical retinoids, calcipotriol, or systemic therapy with retinoids and zinc sulphate (2, 5). Interestingly, photodynamic therapy has been described as a causative factor and as treatment as well (6, 7). However, sun-induced erosive pustular dermatosis of the scalp in middle-aged men has never been reported in the literature. To the best of our knowledge, we report the first case of an acute pustulosis of the scalp in a middle-aged male patient after a sunburn.

CASE REPORT

A 44-year-old man presented with merging pustules and lakes of pus on his entire scalp, both ears, and single lesions on his neck (Fig. 1A). These lesions were restricted to an area of a sunburn, which the patient had acquired 4 days earlier. To relief sunburn symptoms he had used topical Biafin® emulsion (Johnson&Johnson, Palomba, Italy). With the appearance of singular pustules the patient used topical hydrocortisone ointment [Sinotrat® 0.5%; (Bracco, Milano, Italy)] for one day. Despite this treatment, there was an explosive increase in pustules and the patient suffered from systemic symptoms, such as malaise, fever, and chills. His dermatologist treated these symptoms with oral amoxicillin 3 g/day and topical gentamycin, however this lead to worsening of the condition (Fig. 1a). One day later he was admitted to our clinic. Apart from a history of hypertension, which was treated with an angiotensin converting enzyme blocker and a combination of a betablocker and thiacid diuretic, he was otherwise healthy. Evaluation of the blood chemistry showed elevation of the C-reactive protein (CRP) up to 139.3 mg/l (normal range: < 5 mg/l). PCR analysis revealed no infection with either herpex simplex virus or varizella zoster virus, and bacteriological evaluations were also negative. Histopathological sections revealed a subcorneal pustular dermatosis, slight spongiosis of the underlying epidermis, and a moderately dense lymphohistiocytic infiltrate with neutrophils within the upper dermis. In some areas the stratum corneum and granular layer were lacking. A periodic acid schiff stain was negative for fungal elements. Direct and indirect immunofluorescence did not reveal any evidence of an autoimmune bullous dermatosis. We immediately started treatment with 200 mg daily of doxycyclin and 500 mg of paracetamol to treat the fever. Octenidin dihydrochlorid dressings were applied topically. Under this therapy we observed a rapid and immediate impro-

Fig. 1. Merging pustules and lakes of pus as well as yellowish crusts at the scalp of a 44-year-old man suffering from sun-induced erosive pustulosis of the scalp (A). After systemic therapy with antibiotics a complete regression of sun-induced erosive pustulosis of the scalp could be observed (B).
vement and complete resolution of all lesions after 3 days (Fig.
1b). To test photosensitivity, a minimal erythema dose (MED)
was tested and was found to be normal in UVA and UVB range.
Further, an epicutaneous test was performed including standard
series, other ingredients, preservatives, scents and fragrances,
using the test series from the German Contact-Allergy Group.
Furthermore, a photo-patch test was performed and was negative.

DISCUSSION

Erosive pustular dermatosis of the scalp is a rare in-
flammatory skin disease predominantly diagnosed in
the elderly, affecting more often females than males,
with a ratio of 2:1 (1, 2). Its aetiology is unknown, but
it seems to be associated with atrophied skin secondary
to actinic damage, surgery, or local trauma (3). To the
best of our knowledge, we herein present the first case
of an acute pustulosis of the scalp in a middle-aged
male patient after a sunburn.

Although its origin is not clearly understood, poten-
tial influencing factors have been suggested previously.
Cutaneous atrophy, likely due to actinic damage, is
present in nearly all reported cases (2). Several reports
emerged, associating erosive pustulosis of the scalp
with tissue trauma or various skin conditions, like her-
pes zoster, cryotherapy, surgical events, photodynamic
therapy (PDT), or laser therapy (2, 8, 9). The disease
is characterised by pustulosis or lakes of pus, erosive
areas, and crusts on the scalp, the forehead, or temples
(1). Histological findings of erosive pustular dermatosis
consist of parakeratotic and orthokeratotic scales, atrophy
of the epidermis, and an inflammatory infiltrate, which
may eventually lead to scarring alopecia (2). The diag-
nosis of an erosive pustular dermatosis of the scalp is
reached after careful exclusion of others (1). Therefore,
the authors recommend to perform a biopsy, direct and
indirect immunofluorescence, as well as bacterial and
fungal analyses of the purulent material, in order to rule
out other diseases.

In the reported case, we could exclude the following
conditions: (i) Pustular psoriasis was excluded by histo-
logical analysis (4); (ii) IgA pemphigus and cicatricial
pemphigoid were excluded by histology, as well as
direct and indirect immunofluorescence; (iii) Folliculitis
decalvans and perifolliculitis abscedens et suffodiens
could be excluded clinically and histologically, as no peri-
or intrafollicular inflammatory reaction nor adnex-
ocentricity was detectable; (iv) In addition, we found no
evidence of an autoimmune blistering dermatosis, such
as the neutrophil rich variant of bullous pemphigoid;
(v) Bacterial or fungal infections could be excluded by
adequate negative cultures. We could exclude (vi)
that the thiazide diuretic, as a potential photosensitiser,
played an active role in the development of the pustu-
les, because the MED was found to be normal and not
decreased in the UVA and UVB range during intake of
this drug. Further, we excluded (vii) type IV sensitivity
using a broad epicutaneous test (10) and a photo-allergic
reaction to the most common photo-allergens and the
patient’s own substances, including Biafin® emulsion,
by a negative photo-patch test. Biafin® emulsion con-
tains purified water, liquid paraffin, ethylene glycol
monostearate, stearic acid, propylene glycol, paraffin
wax and avocado oil among others, which might have
had a photosensitising effect. However, it was applied
in order to treat sunburn some hours after the sunbath
and is therefore unlikely to act as a photosensitiser.
But the texture of the Biafin® emulsion might be an
influencing factor. Similar reactions to this case report
are seen in patients after photodynamic therapy of the
scalp, predominantly in those, who apply fatty topicals
after PDT (author’s observation (FJL)). Therefore it is
possible that this eruption might be some kind of photo-
dynamic reaction based in combination with inadequate
topical ointment. (viii) Further, the medication per se
might have caused a pustular form of drug reaction;
however, the medication was continued and no similar
reactions reappeared.

After careful exclusion of all above-mentioned condi-
tions and diseases, we believe that our patient suffered
from a sun-induced pustular dermatosis of the scalp
which in the clinical context is most likely a special
form of erosive pustular dermatosis of the scalp.

REFERENCES

pustular dermatosis of the scalp. J Pak Med Ass 2012; 62:
2. Patton D, Lynch PJ, Fung MA, Fazel N. Chronic atrophic
eroded dermatosis of the scalp and extremities: A recha-
acterization of erosive pustular dermatosis. J Am Acad
3. Broussard KC, Berger TG, Rosenblum M, Murase JE. Erosi-
ve pustular dermatosis of the scalp: A review with a focus on
4. Allevato M, Clerc C, del Sel JM, Donatti L, Cabrera H,
Juarez M. Erosive pustular dermatosis of the scalp. Int J
5. Seez M, Rodriguez-Martín M, Sidro M, Carnerero A, Gar-
cia-Bustinduy M, Noda A. Successful treatment of erosive
pustular dermatosis of the scalp with topical tacrolimus.
6. Eleftheriou LI, McEntee TJ, Stratman EK. Aminolevulnic
acid photodynamic therapy in the treatment of erosive
pustular dermatosis of the scalp. Arch Dermatol 2011;
147: 1368–1370.
7. Guarneri C, Vaccaro N. Erosive pustular dermatosis of the
scalp following topical methylaminolaevulinate photody-
of the scalp and nonscalp. J Am Acad Dermatol 2007; 57
A chronic recalcitrant dermatosis developed upon CO2 laser