Differential Clinicopathological Features in Spontaneous Regression of Melanomas and Melanocytic Naevi

José M. MARTÍN^{1,2}, Isabel PINAZO^{1,2}, Esperanza JORDÁ^{1,2} and Carlos MONTEAGUDO^{2,3} ¹Department of Dermatology, ³Department of Pathology, Hospital Clínico Universitario, and ²School of Medicine, University of Valencia, Valencia, Spain

The aim of this study was to determine the clinical, histological and/or immunohistochemical features that enable differential diagnosis of regression of melanocytic naevi from regression of melanomas. All melanocytic neoplasms with histologically-confirmed regression diagnosed in our hospital between 2002 and 2009 were reviewed retrospectively. Lamellar and delicate fibrosis were associated with melanocytic naevi (p < 0.0001 and p = 0.021, respectively). Compact fibrosis, high vessel density and higher number of granzyme B+ lymphocytes were associated with malignant melanoma (p = 0.011, p = 0.005 and p = 0.013, respectively). Density of inflammatory infiltrate (p = 0.016), vascular proliferation (p = 0.005), epidermal atrophy (p=0.009), rate of apoptosis (p=0.046) and granzyme B immunoreactivity (p = 0.013) was more common in severe-dysplastic naevi and melanomas than in the remaining melanocytic naevi. Logistic regression demonstrates that 5 variables (age, lamellar fibrosis, melanophages, vessel density, and granzyme B immunostaining) would serve to classify appropriately 87% of melanomas among melanocytic lesions with complete regression.

Key words: melanocytic naevi; melanoma; regression.

Accepted Feb 20, 2017; Epub ahead of print Feb 22, 2017

Acta Derm Venereol 2017; 97: 692-697.

Corr: José M. Martín, Servicio de Dermatología, Hospital Clínico Universitario, Avda. Blasco Ibáñez 17, ES-46010 Valencia, Spain. E-mail: Martin. josemaria@gmail.com

Melanoma and melanocytic naevi are among the group of tumours most able to stimulate an immune response. In fact, regression of melanoma is 6 times more frequent than in other malignant neoplasms (1), and while histologically-confirmed complete regression of melanomas is exceptional, partial regression occurs in 10–35% of cases (2).

Several mechanisms are potentially involved in the induction of regression, although an immune mechanism seems to be most consistently associated with this process (2-4).

The essential feature of a melanoma undergoing spontaneous regression is the replacement of tumour cells by a fibrous stroma with varying degrees of inflammation and new blood vessel formation, as well as varying numbers of melanophages, similar to the changes seen in regression of melanocytic naevi (2, 5, 6). From an exclusively histological perspective, it is very difficult to determine the malignant or benign nature of a lesion after complete regression and, in most cases, only the detection of metastasis during clinical follow-up allows this distinction to be made with certainty.

This study attempted to identify clinical, histological and immunohistochemical features that could be helpful in differentiating regression of benign melanocytic lesions from that of melanomas.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This study retrospectively evaluated a variety of clinical, histopathological and immunohistological characteristics of all melanocytic neoplasms with histological regression diagnosed in our hospital over an 8-year period (from 1 January 2002 to 31 December 2009). The specimens were identified from a histological database using the keywords "regression", "melanocytic naevi" and "melanoma".

Regression was accepted when replacement of tumour cells by a fibrous stroma with varying degrees of inflammation, as well as new blood vessel formation and varying numbers of melanophages, were present (**Fig. 1**). None of the lesions evaluated were associated with previous inflammatory or infectious reactions, or previous treatments that could justify the development of regression.

The study included a total of 163 tumour samples: 39 nondysplastic melanocytic naevi, 77 dysplastic naevi, and 47 primary cutaneous melanomas (31 superficial spreading melanomas, 11 lentigo maligna, 2 acral lentiginous melanomas, 2 nodular melanomas, and 1 unclassifiable melanoma).

All samples were fixed in 4% neutral formaldehyde. After embedding in paraffin, 3-µm thick sections were cut and stained with haematoxylin and eosin. To evaluate immunohistochemistry, 6 tissue microarrays (TMA) were built using the MTA-1 (Manual Tissue Arrayer, Beecher Instruments, Inc., Sun Prairie, WI, USA). The most representative areas of each slide were selected for evaluation, especially those with prominent inflammatory infiltrate, and assembled in a new paraffin block.

The following variables were recorded for analysis: (*i*) *Clinical data*: patient age and sex, location of lesion; (*ii*) *Histological data*: diagnosis (melanoma, severe-dysplastic naevi, mild-dysplastic naevi, non-dysplastic naevi); fibrosis: extent (slight, moderate, extensive), type (lamellar, compact, delicate, florid or indeterminate), and location (subepidermal accentuation); mononuclear inflammatory infiltrate: density, type (patched or diffuse) and location (intratumoural or peritumoural); melanophages (density); blood vessel formation (density); tumour cell apoptosis (none, slight, intense); melanocytic loss, (slight, moderate, extensive, total); and epidermal atrophy (present or absent); and (*iii*) *Immunohistochemical data*: immunostaining of lymphocytes was performed with 6 commercial antibodies to CD4 (clone 1F6; Novocastra), CD8 (clone 1A5; Novocastra), CD25 (clone 4C9; Novocastra), FoxP3 (clone 236A/E7; Abcam), and granzyme B (clone GrB-7; Dako).

Advances in dermatology and venereology



Evaluation of variables

A simplification of dysplastic naevi into high and low grades of cytological atypia was made according to other authors (7-9). Severe atypia was considered based on the following criteria: nuclear size 2 times or greater than the keratinocyte nucleus, severe nuclear pleomorphism, vesicular chromatin, prominent and enlarged nucleolus and abundant cytoplasm (9). Quantitative assessments (density of lymphocytes, melanophages and vessels) were evaluated using a $40 \times$ objective, counting the maximum number of cells per high-power field (HPF). For this purpose, the whole slide was reviewed in every case and we selected the most representative areas for each analysed parameter. Qualitative assessments were based on semiguantitative scales, studied as follows: extent of fibrosis and melanocyte loss (slight, present in up to one-third of the horizontal tumour area; moderate, present in up to two-thirds of the area; and extensive, involving two-thirds of the area or more); apoptotic melanocytes (absent; moderate, ≤ 2 apoptotic cells per HPF; intense, >2 apoptotic tumour cells per HPF).

Types of fibrosis: lamellar (thin collagen bundles forming parallel lamellae with fibroblasts in a linear pattern between); compact (eosinophilic and dense acellular fibroplasia); delicate (extremely thin, randomly arranged collagen bundles, and with very little cellularity); florid fibroplasia (wavy exaggerated fibroplasia forming a horizontally oriented, plaque-like configuration); and indeterminate (fibrosis not classifiable as any one of the previous types). Subepidermal fibrosis was considered when a well-defined band Fig. 1. Histopathological features of regression. (A) Reduction in the number of melanocytic cells and presence of lymphocytic inflammatory infiltrate, melanophages, vascular proliferation, dermal fibrosis and epidermal atrophy. Lamellar fibrosis mainly present in (B) the papillary dermis and (C) the upper reticular dermis. (D) Malignant melanoma with compact fibrosis. (E) Delicate fibrosis, characterized by very thin collagen fibre bundles. (F) Subepidermal fibrosis. (G) Florid fibroplasia with thick homogeneous collagen bundles forming a horizontally oriented, plaque-like configuration. (H) New vessels perpendicular to the epidermis: (I) numerous melanophages in the upper half of the lesion. (J) Dermoepidermal nest of apoptotic melanocytes with satellite lymphocytes. Hematoxylin-eosin: A: ×40; B, C, E, H, I: ×200; D, F: ×100; G: ×20; J: ×400.

of collagen was present just below the epidermal basement membrane (Fig. 1).

Two experienced individuals; a pathologist and a dermatologist (CM and JMM, respectively) simultaneously reviewed the histopathological slides of all cases using a multiheaded microscope blind to clinical information

Immunoreactivity was considered positive when nuclear (FoxP3) or cytoplasmic/membrane (CD4, CD8, CD25 and granzyme B) staining was present (Fig. 2). The maximum number of positive cells per HPF was counted.

Statistical analysis

Data were analysed using SPSS for Windows®, version 18. The γ^2 test was used for qualitative variables, applying the Fisher's exact test when 20% or more of the expected values were <5. Student's t-test was used to compare the means of 2 independent groups, and the analysis of variance (ANOVA) if the qualitative variable included 3 or more categories. The non-parametric Mann-Whitney U-test and Kruskal-Wallis test were used when variables did not comply with normal

criteria. Quantitative variables are presented as mean ± standard deviation (SD), whereas qualitative variables are presented as absolute frequency and percentage. Statistical significance at the 95% confidence interval (95% CI) was accepted. Binary logistic regression was carried out using the forward and backward step-wise method. Inclusion and exclusion criteria of variables in models were performed using the likelihood ratio test, inclusion criterion $p \le 0.05$ and exclusion criterion p > 0.10. From the regression model obtained, a receiver-operating characteristic (ROC) curve was calculated to assess the power of this model in predicting melanoma diagnosis.

RESULTS

We identified 116 of 8,898 excised melanocytic naevi (1.30%; 95% CI: 1.1-1.5), and 47 of 433 malignant melanomas (10.85%; 95% CI: 7.9-13.8) by keyword searching, as described.

Clinical data

The mean age of the patients was 47.1 ± 20.1 years (range 3-87 years). The mean age of the women was 44.0 ± 19.6 years, and 49.5 ± 20.3 years in men. The mean age of



Fig. 2. Positive cytoplasmic membrane immunostaining of lymphocytes for CD8 (A), CD 25 (B), and granzime B (D). Positive nuclear immunostaing of lymphcytes for FoxP3 (C). A: ×400; B, D: ×200; C: ×100.

the patients with non-dysplastic naevi was 37.7 ± 19.9 years, patients with melanomas 60.3 ± 15.5 years, patients with mild-dysplastic naevi 44.0 ± 19.9 years, and patients with severe-dysplastic naevi 43.5 ± 16.8 years (p < 0.0001).

Distribution by sex was almost even in patients with non-dysplastic naevi (51.3% women and 48.7% males), although dysplastic naevi and melanomas were more frequent in males (58.4% and 59.6%, respectively; p = 0.53). There was no correlation between regression features and sex.

The differences relating to location of the distinct types of melanocytic lesions were statistically significant (p=0.027). The most frequent location in all types of lesions was the back, although this site was less common in melanomas (36.1%) than in the remaining lesions (52.5%).

Table I. Summary of the histological parameters

<table-container>IndexMid atysizeMid atysizeSeverativeManameManameTorusn/%0Man ± SDn/%0Man ± SDn/%0Man ± SDn/%0ManameTorusNormaNormaNormaNormaNormaNormaNormaNormaTorusNormaNormaNormaNormaNormaNormaNormaNormaNormaStandNormaNormaNormaNormaNormaNormaNormaNormaNormaModerateNorma<td< th=""><th></th><th>Melanocytic r</th><th>naevi</th><th></th><th></th><th></th></td<></table-container>		Melanocytic r	naevi							
n (%)Mean ±SDn (%)Mean ±SDn (%)Mean ±SDn (%)Mean ±SDn (%)Mean ±SDp value"Broad Throad Extensive17/39 (43.5)21/52 (43.3)8725 (32.1)14/47 (29.7)0.647 (20.7)0.647 (20.7)0.647 (20.7)0.647 (20.7)0.647 (20.7)0.647 (20.7)0.647 (20.7)0.647 (20.7)0.647 (20.7)0.6001Statensive3/39 (94.6)41/52 (84.5)9/25 (76.1)6/25 (24.1)0.647 (20.7)0.60010.60110.611 (20.7)<		Non-dysplastic		Mild atypia		Severe atypia		Melanomas		
Fibrosis 5.5.5.5.5.5.5.5.5.5.5.5.5.5.5.5.5.5.5.		n (%)	$\text{Mean}\pm\text{SD}$	n (%)	$Mean \pm SD$	n (%)	$\text{Mean}\pm\text{SD}$	n (%)	$\text{Mean} \pm \text{SD}$	<i>p</i> -value
Extent </td <td>Fibrosis</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Fibrosis									
Singht17/39 (43.)21/52 (40.)8/25 (24.)1/4/2 (29.)Moderate17/39 (39.)25/52 (48.)11/25 (42.)23/47 (48.)Type6/23 (12.)6/23 (24.0)10/47 (21.2)Type19/25 (76.)22/47 (46.)<0.0001	Extent									0.664
Moderate Extensive 15/39 (38.4) 25/52 (48.0) 11/25 (44.0) 23/47 (48.9) Extensive 7/39 (17.9) 6/52 (11.5) 6/52 (24.0) 10/47 (21.2) Extensive 31/39 (84.6) 4/52 (84.6) 19/25 (76.0) 2/47 (46.8) <00001	Slight	17/39 (43.5)		21/52 (40.3)		8/25 (32.0)		14/47 (29.7)		
Extensive 7/39 (17.9) 6/52 (11.5) 6/25 (24.0) 10/47 (21.2) Type -	Moderate	15/39 (38.4)		25/52 (48.0)		11/25 (44.0)		23/47 (48.9)		
Type	Extensive	7/39 (17.9)		6/52 (11.5)		6/25 (24.0)		10/47 (21.2)		
Lamelar33/39 (94.6)44/52 (84.6)19/52 (76.0)22/47 (46.8)<<Compact6/39 (15.3)8/52 (15.3)5/25 (20.0)19/47 (40.4)0.0016Delicate3/39 (7.6)0/52 (0)1/25 (4.0)6/47 (12.7)0.0021Florid3/39 (7.6)0/52 (0)0/25 (3.0)0/47 (0)0.0212Compact3/39 (15.3)2/52 (3.2)1/25 (4.0)2/47 (4.2)0.0021Location3/39 (15.3)2/52 (3.2)1/37 (5.2)1/147 (23.4)0.0113Inflammatory infiltrate1/59 (18.6)1/55 (18.6)190.3 ± 104.01/47 (87.2)0.016Type1/59 (18.6)6/52 (11.5)8/25 (32.0)5/47 (10.6)0.023Defines10/39 (25.6)6/52 (11.5)8/25 (26.0)4/47 (57.0)0.033Diffuse10/39 (56.4)2/5/52 (4.0)1/17/25 (68.7)4/47 (57.0)0.033Partimoural2/39 (54.3)2/5/52 (4.0)1/17/25 (68.7)4/47 (57.0)0.033Diffuse10/39 (25.6)4/5/2 (92.2)1/17/25 (68.7)4/47 (57.0)0.033Pentimoural2/39 (56.4)2/5/52 (4.0)1/17/25 (68.7)3/35 (12.9)0.035Partimoural10/39 (26.3)35.9 ± 35.7736.9 ± 27.72/32 (52.9)4/37 (10.9)0.035Pentimoural10/39 (26.5)10/25 (19.2)1/17/25 (68.7)1/17/25 (68.7)1/17/25 (68.7)0.035Partimoural10/39 (26.6)15/52 (28.8)1/17/25 (28.7)1/17/25 (28.7)<	Туре									
Compact Indeterminate6/39 (15.3)8/52 (15.3)7/52 (20.0)19/47 (40.4)0.011Indeterminate0/39 (0)0/52 (0)0/52 (0)0/25 (0.0)6/47 (12.7)0.006Delicate3/38 (7.6)0/52 (3.8)0/25 (0.0)2/47 (0.2)0.012Florid6/39 (15.3)2/52 (3.8)1/25 (4.0)2/47 (4.2)0.013Superficial6/39 (15.3)2/158 (36.2)3/25 (5.1)1/147 (23.4)0.013Inflarmatory inflitzer11/5.9 ±186.071/7.5 ±110.525190.3 ±190.44184.5 ±121.00.013Density35/9.0 ±186.071/7.5 ±110.52/52 (23.0)18/4.7 (87.2)0.0131Density0/39 (26.3)6/52 (28.4)18/25 (23.0)41/47 (87.2)0.01311Location11/39 (25.6)6/52 (28.4)18/25 (23.0)41/47 (87.2)0.0330.039Uarding2/39 (97.4)5/55 (28.6)18/25 (23.0)41/47 (87.2)0.0390.039Pertumoural2/39 (92.3)2/55 (29.4)17/25 (8.0)18/35 (4.4)0.0590.059Welanoptiferation12/39 (29.2)1/32 (29.2)16/32 (29.2)0.0590.0590.059Velanoptiferation11/39 (28.2)7/52 (28.3)15/47 (31.9)15/47 (31.9)15/47 (31.9)15/47 (31.9)15/47 (31.9)15/47 (31.9)15/47 (31.9)15/47 (31.9)15/47 (31.9)15/47 (31.9)15/47 (31.9)15/47 (31.9)15/47 (31.9)15/47 (31.9) </td <td>Lamellar</td> <td>33/39 (84.6)</td> <td></td> <td>44/52 (84.6)</td> <td></td> <td>19/25 (76.0)</td> <td></td> <td>22/47 (46.8)</td> <td></td> <td><0.0001</td>	Lamellar	33/39 (84.6)		44/52 (84.6)		19/25 (76.0)		22/47 (46.8)		<0.0001
Inderminate0/59 (0)0/52 (0)1/25 (A)6/47 (12.7)0.006Delicate3/39 (7.6)0/52 (0)0/25 (0)0/25 (0)0/47 (0.7)0.108Florid6/39 (15.3)2/57 (3.8)1/25 (A)2/47 (4.2)0.108Location	Compact	6/39 (15.3)		8/52 (15.3)		5/25 (20.0)		19/47 (40.4)		0.011
<table-container>belicate3/39 (7.6)0/52 (0)0/25 (0)0/47 (0)0.021Flord6/39 (15.3)2/52 (3.8)1/25 (4.0)2/47 (4.2)0.013Superficial6/39 (15.3)2/158 (3.2)3/25 (5.1)1/147 (23.4)0.013Inflarmatory infitzer3/25 (15.2)3/25 (5.1)1/147 (23.4)0.013Density9/39 (74.3)159 ±18.0717.5 ±11.0219.3 ±104.08.5 ±12.100.13Diffuse1/39 (25.6)4/52 (8.4)18/25 (72.0)4/147 (87.2)0.033Diffuse1/39 (25.6)6/52 (1.5)8/25 (32.0)5/47 (10.6)0.633Location1/25 (26.8)17/25 (68.0)2/447 (51.0)0.633Location4/52 (52.4)17/25 (68.0)2/447 (51.0)0.633Location4/52 (52.4)17/25 (68.0)2/447 (51.0)0.633Location4/52 (52.4)17/25 (68.0)38.7 ±32.675.2 ± 5.5Meangemee5538.7 ±32.675.2 ± 5.50.633Location510/52 (52.4)10/52 (52.0)15/47 (31.6)1.5 ± 1.5 ±</table-container>	Indeterminate	0/39 (0)		0/52 (0)		1/25 (4.0)		6/47 (12.7)		0.006
<table-container>Field6/39 (15.3)2/52 (3.8)1/25 (4.0)2/47 (4.2)0.108Location</table-container>	Delicate	3/39 (7.6)		0/52 (0)		0/25 (0)		0/47 (0)		0.021
Location Superfixed Informatory infitier159.013/25 (5.1)11/47 (23.4)9.000Pensity9.0159.01 (20.6)17.5 ± 10.52.519.3 ± 10.44.5 ± 12.100.016Pensity9.03 (74.3)159.0 ± 10.615.2 5 (20.6)18/25 (7.0.0)14/47 (87.2)0.013Diffuso0.03 (20.6)6.5 ± 20.618/25 (7.0.0)5.4 ± 10.7 (87.0.0)0.043Location10.3 ± 20.5 (20.6)17.2 5 (20.6)5.4 ± 10.7 (20.6)0.043Untratinophages21/35 (5.4)17.2 5 (20.6)2.4 ± 10.7 (20.6)0.025Velanophages10.2 ± 1	Florid	6/39 (15.3)		2/52 (3.8)		1/25 (4.0)		2/47 (4.2)		0.108
Superficial6/39 (15.3)21/58 (36.2)3/25 (5.1)11/47 (23.4)0.013Inflarmatory inflitterDensity9150.0 ± 160.017.5 ± 10.090.3 ± 190.047.5 ± 120.018.5 ± 120.00.013TypePatched2/39 (74.3)46/52 (84.8)18/25 (72.0)41/47 (87.2)0.013Diffuso10/90 (25.0)6/52 (12.0)8/25 (20.0)6/27 (10.0)0.038Caction112/39 (56.4)2/5/52 (48.0)17/25 (68.0)2/4/47 (51.0)0.398Pertundural2/39 (56.4)2/5/52 (48.0)17/25 (68.0)2/4/47 (51.0)0.398Velanophages12/39 (56.4)2/5/52 (48.0)17/25 (68.0)2/4/47 (51.0)0.398Velanophages112.4 ± 5.3736.9 ± 27.538.7 ± 36.047.450.0 ± 5.00.21Velanophages112.4 ± 5.4712.6 ± 5.22514.3 ± 5.4750.0 ± 5.00.210.20Velanophages112.4 ± 5.4712.6 ± 5.214.5 ± 5.414.5 ± 5.00.20	Location									
InductionImage: Second Sec	Superficial	6/39 (15.3)		21/58 (36.2)		3/25 (5.1)		11/47 (23.4)		0.013
Density 39 159.0±180. 77 117.5±110. 25 190.3±100. 47 184.5±120. 0.016 Type - 10.00 -	Inflammatory infiltrate									
Type 94,99 (74.3) 46,52 (88.4) 18/25 (72.0) 41/47 (87.2) 0.131 Diffuse 0/39 (25.6) 6/52 (11.5) 8/25 (32.0) 5/47 (10.6) 0.433 Location 11/125 (68.0) 17/25 (68.0) 5/47 (10.6) 0.398 Pertumoural 22/39 (56.4) 25/52 (48.0) 17/25 (68.0) 24/47 (51.0) 0.398 Pertumoural 36/39 (92.3) 49/52 (94.2) 23/25 (92.0) 46/47 (97.8) 0.639 Watanow 1 49/52 (94.2) 23/25 (92.0) 46/47 (97.8) 0.639 Watanow 1 9 35.9 ±35.1 7 36.9 ±27.7 25 38.7 ±32.6 47.4 52.0 ±55.4 0.421 Vacture proliferation 1 12.4 ±5.3 77 12.6 ±5.2 25 14.3 ± 5.4 47 16.8 ± 6.9 0.005 Vacture proliferation 1 10/52 (51.9) 10/25 (40.0) 17.47 (31.9) 10.25 0.025 0.025 0.025 0.025 0.025 0.025 0.025 0.025 0.025 0.025 0.025 0.025 0.025 0.025 0.025 0.025 0	Density	39	159.0 ± 186.0	77	117.5 ± 110.5	25	190.3 ± 190.4	47	184.5 ± 121.0	0.016
Patched 29/39 (74.3) 46/52 (88.4) 18/25 (72.0) 41/47 (87.2) 0.131 Diffuse 10/39 (25.6) 6/52 (11.5) 8/25 (32.0) 5/47 (10.6) 0.043 Location 17/25 (68.0) 17/25 (68.0) 24/47 (51.0) 0.398 Peritumoural 2/39 (56.4) 25/52 (48.0) 17/25 (68.0) 24/47 (51.0) 0.398 Peritumoural 36/39 (92.3) 49/52 (94.2) 23/25 (92.0) 46/47 (97.8) 0.398 Velanophages 2 23/25 (92.0) 46/47 (97.8) 0.639 Velanophages 3 35.9±35.1 7 36.9±27.7 25 38.7±32.6 47 52.0±55.4 0.401 Velanophiges 7 36.9±27.7 25 14.3±5.4 47 16.8±6.9 0.005 Velanoptiferation 7 12.6±5.2 25 14.3±5.4 47 16.8±6.9 0.005 Velanoptiferation 10/39 (25.6) 27/52 (51.9) 8/25 (32.0) 15/47 (31.9) 0.025 Velanoptiferation 13/39 (28.2) 10/52 (20.2) 10/25 (40.0) 0.472 (0.1) 0.472 (0.1) 0.472 (0.1) 0.472 (0	Туре									
Diffuse 10/39 (25.6) 6/52 (11.5) 8/25 (32.0) 5/47 (10.6) 0.043 Location Intratumoural 22/39 (56.4) 25/52 (48.0) 17/25 (68.0) 24/47 (51.0) 0.398 Peritumoural 36/39 (92.3) 49/52 (94.2) 23/25 (92.0) 24/47 (91.0) 0.398 Velanophages 36/39 (92.3) 49/52 (94.2) 23/25 (92.0) 47.5 52.0 ± 55.4 0.241 Velanophages 5 38.7 ± 32.6 47.5 52.0 ± 55.4 0.241<	Patched	29/39 (74.3)		46/52 (88.4)		18/25 (72.0)		41/47 (87.2)		0.131
Location 2/39 (56.4) 25/52 (48.0) 17/25 (68.0) 24/47 (51.0) 0.398 Peritumoural 63/9 (92.3) 25/52 (48.0) 17/25 (68.0) 24/47 (51.0) 0.398 Velanophages 36/9 (92.3) 35.9 ±35.1 77 36.9 ±27.7 25 38.7 ±32.6 47 52.0 ±55.4 0.241 Velanophages 7 36.9 ±27.7 25 38.7 ±32.6 47 52.0 ±55.4 0.241 Vascular proliferation 7 12.6 ±5.2 25 14.3 ±5.4 47 16.8 ±6.9 0.005 Velanoptic fos 7 12.6 ±5.2 10/25 (32.0) 14.3 ±5.4 47 16.8 ±6.9 0.005 Silght 10/39 (25.6) 27/52 (51.9) 8/25 (32.0) 15/47 (31.9) 10.25 10.25 10.25 10.25 10.25 10.25 10.25 10.25 10.25 15.47 (31.9) 10.25 10.2	Diffuse	10/39 (25.6)		6/52 (11.5)		8/25 (32.0)		5/47 (10.6)		0.043
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Location									
Peritumoural 36/39 (92.3) 49/52 (94.2) 23/25 (92.0) 46/47 (97.8) 0.639 Melanophages Density 39 35.9±35.1 77 36.9±27.7 25 38.7±32.6 47 52.0±55.4 0.241 /ascular proliferation J 12.4±5.3 77 12.6±5.2 25 14.3±5.4 47 16.8±6.9 0.005 Welanocytic loss J 10/39 (25.6) 27/52 (51.9) 8/25 (32.0) 15/47 (31.9) 10.25 10.25 0.001 0.002 Moderate 15/39 (38.4) 10/52 (19.2) 10/25 (40.0) 17/47 (36.1) 11.24 10.25 10.25 0.047 (01) 11.24 10.25 <td< td=""><td>Intratumoural</td><td>22/39 (56.4)</td><td></td><td>25/52 (48.0)</td><td></td><td>17/25 (68.0)</td><td></td><td>24/47 (51.0)</td><td></td><td>0.398</td></td<>	Intratumoural	22/39 (56.4)		25/52 (48.0)		17/25 (68.0)		24/47 (51.0)		0.398
Melanophages Second	Peritumoural	36/39 (92.3)		49/52 (94.2)		23/25 (92.0)		46/47 (97.8)		0.639
Density 39 35.9±35.1 77 36.9±27.7 25 38.7±32.6 47 52.0±55.4 0.241 /ascular proliferation Density 39 12.4±5.3 77 12.6±5.2 25 14.3±5.4 47 16.8±6.9 0.005 Velanocytic loss 0.245 27/52 (51.9) 8/25 (32.0) 15/47 (31.9) 0.025 Slight 10/39 (28.6) 10/52 (19.2) 10/25 (40.0) 17/47 (36.1) 14.54 47 0.005 0.025 Katensive 11/39 (28.2) 10/52 (19.2) 10/25 (40.0) 17/47 (36.1) 14.54 16.8±6.9 0.026 Total 3*/39 (7.6) 0/52 (0) 0/25 (0) 0/47 (0) 16.8±6.9 0.026 Absent 26/39 (66.6) 36/52 (59.2) 10/25 (40.0) 0/47 (0) 16.9±6.9 0.047 (0) 16.9±6.9 0.047 (0) 16.9±6.9 0.047 (0) 16.9±6.9 0.047 (0) 16.9±6.9 0.047 (0) 16.9±6.9 0.047 (0) 16.9±6.9 0.047 (0) 16.9±6.9 0.047 (0) 16.9±6.9 0.047 (0) 16.9±6.9 16.9±6.9 16.9±6.9 16.9±6.9 16.9±6.9 16.9	Melanophages									
Ascular proliferation Security proliferation 39 12.4 ± 5.3 77 12.6 ± 5.2 25 14.3 ± 5.4 47 16.8 ± 6.9 0.005	Density	39	35.9 ± 35.1	77	36.9 ± 27.7	25	38.7±32.6	47	52.0 ± 55.4	0.241
Density 39 12.4±5.3 77 12.6±5.2 25 14.3±5.4 47 16.8±6.9 0.005 Velanocytic loss 0/39 (25.6) 27/52 (51.9) 8/25 (32.0) 15/47 (31.9) 0.005 Slight 10/39 (25.6) 27/52 (51.9) 8/25 (32.0) 15/47 (31.9) 16.8±6.9 0.005 Moderate 15/39 (38.4) 10/52 (19.2) 10/25 (40.0) 17/47 (36.1) 16.8±6.9 0.005 Total 3*/39 (7.6) 0/52 (0) 0/25 (0) 0/47 (0) 16.8±6.9 0.005 Apoptosis of melanocytes 36/52 (69.2) 12/25 (48.0) 20/47 (42.5) 0.005 Moderate 7/39 (17.9) 14/52 (26.9) 10/25 (40.0) 19/47 (40.4) 16.8±6.9 Intense 6/39 (15.3) 2/52 (3.8) 3/25 (12.0) 19/47 (40.6) 16.9±6 Figlearmal atrophy 12/52 (48.0) 3/25 (12.0) 19/47 (40.6) 16.9±6 Present 2/39 (61.5) 38/52 (73.0) 10/25 (40.0) 21/47 (44.6) 10.0±6 Present	/ascular proliferation									
Melanocytic loss 0.029 Slight 10/39 (25.6) 27/52 (51.9) 8/25 (32.0) 15/47 (31.9) Moderate 15/39 (38.4) 10/52 (19.2) 10/25 (40.0) 17/47 (36.1) Extensive 11/39 (28.2) 15/52 (28.8) 7/25 (28.0) 15/47 (31.9) Total 3*/39 (7.6) 0/52 (0) 0/25 (0) 0/47 (0) Apoptosis of melanocytes 0.047 (0) 0.047 (0) Apoptosis of melanocytes 0.047 (0) 0.047 (0) Apoptosis of melanocytes 0.047 (0) 0.047 (0) Apoptosis of melanocytes 0.045 (0.0) 0.047 (0) Apoptosis of melanocytes 0.052 (0.0) 0.052 (0.0) 0.047 (0) Intense 6/39 (15.3) 36/52 (69.2) 12/25 (48.0) 20/47 (42.5) 0.046 Intense 6/39 (15.3) 36/52 (26.9) 3/25 (12.0) 8/77 (10.4) 0.059 Absent 24/39 (61.5) 38/52 (73.0) 10/25 (40.0) 21/47 (44.6) 0.009 Present 15/39 (38.4) <	Density	39	12.4 ± 5.3	77	12.6 ± 5.2	25	14.3 ± 5.4	47	16.8 ± 6.9	0.005
Slight 10/39 (25.6) 27/52 (51.9) 8/25 (32.0) 15/47 (31.9) Moderate 15/39 (38.4) 10/52 (19.2) 10/25 (40.0) 17/47 (36.1) Extensive 11/39 (28.2) 15/52 (28.8) 7/25 (28.0) 15/47 (31.9) Total 3*/39 (7.6) 0/52 (0) 0/25 (0) 0/47 (0) Apoptosis of melanocytes 0.046 Absent 26/39 (66.6) 36/52 (69.2) 12/25 (48.0) 19/47 (40.4) Intense 6/39 (17.9) 14/52 (26.9) 10/25 (40.0) 19/47 (40.4) Epidermal atrophy 0.009 Absent 24/39 (61.5) 38/52 (73.0) 10/25 (40.0) 21/47 (44.6) Present 15/39 (38.4) 14/52 (26.9) 15/25 (60.0) 26/47 (48.9)	Melanocytic loss									0.029
Moderate 15/39 (38.4) 10/52 (19.2) 10/25 (40.0) 17/47 (36.1) Extensive 11/39 (28.2) 15/52 (28.8) 7/25 (28.0) 15/47 (31.9) Total 3*/39 (7.6) 0/52 (0) 0/25 (0) 0/47 (0) Apoptosis of melanocytes 0.046 Absent 26/39 (66.6) 36/52 (69.2) 12/25 (48.0) 19/47 (40.4) Intense 6/39 (17.9) 14/52 (26.9) 10/25 (40.0) 19/47 (40.4) Epidermal atrophy 2/52 (3.8) 3/25 (12.0) 8/47 (17.0) Epidermal atrophy 0.009 Absent 24/39 (61.5) 38/52 (73.0) 10/25 (40.0) 21/47 (44.6) Present 15/39 (38.4) 14/52 (26.9) 15/25 (60.0) 26/47 (48.9)	Slight	10/39 (25.6)		27/52 (51.9)		8/25 (32.0)		15/47 (31.9)		
Extensive 11/39 (28.2) 15/52 (28.8) 7/25 (28.0) 15/47 (31.9) Total 3*/39 (7.6) 0/52 (0) 0/25 (0) 0/47 (0) Appotosis of melanocytes 0.046 Absent 26/39 (66.6) 36/52 (69.2) 12/25 (48.0) 20/47 (42.5) Moderate 7/39 (17.9) 14/52 (26.9) 10/25 (40.0) 19/47 (40.4) Intense 6/39 (15.3) 2/52 (3.8) 3/25 (12.0) 8/47 (17.0) Epidermal atrophy C0009 Absent 24/39 (61.5) 38/52 (73.0) 10/25 (40.0) 21/47 (44.6) Present 15/39 (38.4) 14/52 (26.9) 15/25 (60.0) 26/47 (48.9)	Moderate	15/39 (38.4)		10/52 (19.2)		10/25 (40.0)		17/47 (36.1)		
Total 3*/39 (7.6) 0/52 (0) 0/25 (0) 0/47 (0) Apoptosis of melanocytes 0.046 Absent 26/39 (66.6) 36/52 (69.2) 12/25 (48.0) 20/47 (42.5) Moderate 7/39 (17.9) 14/52 (26.9) 10/25 (40.0) 19/47 (40.4) Intense 6/39 (15.3) 2/52 (3.8) 3/25 (12.0) 8/47 (17.0) Epidermal atrophy 0.009 Absent 24/39 (61.5) 38/52 (73.0) 10/25 (40.0) 21/47 (44.6) Present 15/39 (38.4) 14/52 (26.9) 15/25 (60.0) 26/47 (48.9)	Extensive	11/39 (28.2)		15/52 (28.8)		7/25 (28.0)		15/47 (31.9)		
Apoptosis of melanocytes 0.046 Absent 26/39 (66.6) 36/52 (69.2) 12/25 (48.0) 20/47 (42.5) Moderate 7/39 (17.9) 14/52 (26.9) 10/25 (40.0) 19/47 (40.4) Intense 6/39 (15.3) 2/52 (3.8) 3/25 (12.0) 8/47 (17.0) <i>pridermal atrophy</i> 0.009 Absent 24/39 (61.5) 38/52 (73.0) 10/25 (40.0) 21/47 (44.6) Present 15/39 (38.4) 14/52 (26.9) 15/25 (60.0) 26/47 (48.9)	Total	3*/39 (7.6)		0/52 (0)		0/25 (0)		0/47 (0)		
Absent 26/39 (66.6) 36/52 (69.2) 12/25 (48.0) 20/47 (42.5) Moderate 7/39 (17.9) 14/52 (26.9) 10/25 (40.0) 19/47 (40.4) Intense 6/39 (15.3) 2/52 (3.8) 3/25 (12.0) 8/47 (17.0) Epidermal atrophy 0.009 Absent 24/39 (61.5) 38/52 (73.0) 10/25 (40.0) 21/47 (44.6) Present 15/39 (38.4) 14/52 (26.9) 15/25 (60.0) 26/47 (48.9)	Apoptosis of melanocytes									0.046
Moderate 7/39 (17.9) 14/52 (26.9) 10/25 (40.0) 19/47 (40.4) Intense 6/39 (15.3) 2/52 (3.8) 3/25 (12.0) 8/47 (17.0) Epidermal atrophy 0.009 Absent 24/39 (61.5) 38/52 (73.0) 10/25 (40.0) 21/47 (44.6) Present 15/39 (38.4) 14/52 (26.9) 15/25 (60.0) 26/47 (48.9)	Absent	26/39 (66.6)		36/52 (69.2)		12/25 (48.0)		20/47 (42.5)		
Intense 6/39 (15.3) 2/52 (3.8) 3/25 (12.0) 8/47 (17.0) Epidermal atrophy 0.009 Absent 24/39 (61.5) 38/52 (73.0) 10/25 (40.0) 21/47 (44.6) Present 15/39 (38.4) 14/52 (26.9) 15/25 (60.0) 26/47 (48.9)	Moderate	7/39 (17.9)		14/52 (26.9)		10/25 (40.0)		19/47 (40.4)		
Decemped atrophy 0.009 Absent 24/39 (61.5) 38/52 (73.0) 10/25 (40.0) 21/47 (44.6) Present 15/39 (38.4) 14/52 (26.9) 15/25 (60.0) 26/47 (48.9)	Intense	6/39 (15.3)		2/52 (3.8)		3/25 (12.0)		8/47 (17.0)		
Absent 24/39 (61.5) 38/52 (73.0) 10/25 (40.0) 21/47 (44.6) Present 15/39 (38.4) 14/52 (26.9) 15/25 (60.0) 26/47 (48.9)	Epidermal atrophy									0.009
Present 15/39 (38.4) 14/52 (26.9) 15/25 (60.0) 26/47 (48.9)	Absent	24/39 (61.5)		38/52 (73.0)		10/25 (40.0)		21/47 (44.6)		
	Present	15/39 (38.4)		14/52 (26.9)		15/25 (60.0)		26/47 (48.9)		

Significant values (p < 0.05) are indicated with bold.

www.medicaljournals.se/acta

Table II. Summary of the immunohistochemical results for CD4, CD8, FoxP3, CD25, and Granzyme B

	Melanocytic naevi												
	Non-dysplastic		Mild-atypia			Severe-atypia			Melanomas				
	n	Mean	Typical deviation	n	Mean	Typical deviation	n	Mean	Typical deviation	n	Mean	Typical deviation	<i>p</i> -value
CD4	34/150 ^a	65.39	24.31	51/150	60.84	17.70	22/150	56.59	20.60	44/150	59.55	19.55	0.302
CD8	34/150 ^a	29.61	24.31	51/150	34.16	17.70	22/150	38.86	20.64	44/150	35.45	19.55	0.277
FoxP3	34/152	5.63	4.74	51/152	6.59	6.03	22/152	6.24	5.12	44/152	8.55	6.05	0.122
CD25	34/152	6.50	5.93	51/152	7.54	5.70	22/152	7.00	5.09	44/152	7.77	5.39	0.671
Granzyme B	34/152	2.56	2.51	51/152	2.86	2.70	22/152	3.74	2.30	44/152	5.70	5.90	0.013

^aTwo cases were lost for immunohistochemistry because the remaining tissue was scarce. Significant values (p < 0.05) are indicated with bold.

Histological data

Table I summarizes the histological findings.

Lamellar fibrosis was found in 84.6% of non-dysplastic and mild-dysplastic naevi, in 76% of severe-dysplastic naevi, and in only 46.8% of melanomas (p < 0.0001). In contrast, compact fibrosis predominated in melanomas (40.4%), and was found in only 15.3% of non-dysplastic and mild-dysplastic naevi, and in 20% of severe-dysplastic naevi (p=0.011). Delicate fibrosis was exclusively present in non-dysplastic melanocytic naevi (7.7%; p=0.021). Indeterminate fibrosis was almost exclusively found in melanomas (12.7%; p=0.006). Subepidermal fibrosis was 7 times less frequent in severe-dysplastic naevi than in mild-dysplastic naevi (p=0.013).

Melanophage density was very high in melanomas in comparison with the remaining lesions. Vessel density correlated with the degree of dysplasia of the lesions, and was highest in melanoma (p=0.005). Apoptosis was lower in non-dysplastic naevi in comparison with the remaining lesions (p=0.046). Epidermal atrophy was more common in severe-dysplastic naevi and melanomas (p=0.009).

Immunohistochemical data

Table II summarizes the immunohistological findings.

The number of granzyme B⁺ cells correlated with the degree of atypia (p=0.013). CD4⁺ T cells were more numerous than CD8⁺ cells in all types of melanocytic lesions. In melanocytic naevi, the density of CD8⁺ T lymphocytes correlated with the histological increase in dysplasia.

Lymphocyte immunostaining with FoxP3 was higher in melanomas than in melanocytic naevi, and also more abundant in dysplastic naevi than in non-dysplastic naevi,

Table III. Results of multiple logistic regression analysis to predict melanoma diagnosis

	β	OR	95% CI	<i>p</i> -value
Age	0.048	1.049	1.023-1.075	< 0.0001
Lamellar fibrosis	-1.505	0.222	0.086-0.572	0.002
Melanophages	0.012	1.012	0.999-1.024	0.062
Vessels	0.096	1.100	1.016-1.191	0.019
Granzyme B	0.136	1.146	1.014-1.295	0.029

Constant of model β 0: -4.719; R2 Nagelkerke: 0.453; OR: odds ratio; CI: confidence interval

Significant values (p < 0.05) are indicated with bold.

although these differences were not statistically significant. CD25 immunostaining presented greater similarity between the different types of melanocytic lesions.

Logistic regression analysis

Table III presents the logistic regression analysis associated with melanoma diagnosis, and Fig. 3 shows the ROC curve obtained from the logistic regression model. This curve indicates that the prediction model, which includes 5 variables (age, lamellar fibrosis, melanophages, vessel density, and granzyme B immunostaining), would correctly classify 87% (95% CI: 81.1-92.9) of melanoma lesions with complete regression.

DISCUSSION

This study found several differential features related to the regression of malignant melanomas and melanocytic naevi. With regard to clinical variables, in patients with melanoma, the mean age was 17 years higher than in patients with dysplastic naevi, and 23 years higher than



Fig. 3. Receiver-operating characteristic (ROC) curve to predict melanoma diagnosis. Area under ROC curve: 0.870 (95% CI: 0.811-0.929). p < 0.0001. Typical error under non-parametric suppose 0.030.

in patients with non-dysplastic naevi. Male sex has been found to increase the risk of having multiple melanocytic naevi and melanoma in some studies (10, 11). In our series, dysplastic naevi and melanomas with regression were more frequent in males (58.4% and 59.6%, respectively), although the differences did not reach statistical significance.

Melanocytic lesions with regression present several changes related with the immune attack ("active or destructive phase"), such as lymphocytic infiltration, apoptosis or melanophages; and with tissue repair ("late or reparative phase"), such as angiogenesis, fibrosis or epidermal atrophy (2, 6, 12, 13).

In our series, the density of the inflammatory infiltrate was higher in severe-dysplastic naevi and melanomas. Immunophenotypic characterization of the cells found in the inflammatory infiltrates demonstrates that CD4⁺ T lymphocytes are predominant in all types of melanocytic lesions though CD8⁺ T-cell density in melanocytic naevi correlates in our series with the degree of dysplasia. Moreover, a progressive increase in granzyme B⁺ cells also correlated with the degree of atypia. With regard to tumour infiltrating lymphocytes, which express FoxP3, a marker for regulatory T cells (Tregs), which may favour melanoma progression (14, 15), we found the highest density in melanomas. Moreover, it was also higher in dysplastic naevi compared with non-dysplastic naevi.

The highest rate of apoptosis was also found in melanoma, although it was only 5.4% higher than that of severe-dysplastic naevi.

Regression in melanoma is also associated with intense melanophagia. In fact, nodular melanosis (presence of numerous melanophages) is considered an essential finding in histological regression of melanomas (1, 16). In our series, melanophage density was much higher in melanomas than in melanocytic naevi, and melanophagia was one of the parameters found by multivariate analysis to be among the most powerful in distinguishing between naevi and melanoma.

These lesions also show collagen alterations due to the host stromal response. As shown in this study, in regression areas, several (sometimes mixed) types of collagen alterations can be found. In contrast to suggestions by other authors (17, 18), there were no significant differences in the extent of fibrosis between the different types of melanocytic lesions. However, the type of fibrosis did seem to correlate with the degree of histological atypia. Lamellar fibrosis is a common finding in melanocvtic naevi with regression, and was shown by the multivariate analysis to be the most useful feature for differentiating melanocytic naevi from melanoma, in that absence of lamellar fibrosis would support melanoma diagnosis. In addition, subepidermal location of fibrosis could be helpful in differentiating between melanoma with regression (23.4%) and severe-dysplastic naevi with regression (5.1%; p=0.013).

www.medicaljournals.se/acta

New vessel formation increased correlating with the degree of dysplasia of the lesions. It is an essential feature for both melanoma progression and regression, and some authors have reported higher microvascular density in the late stage of regression compared with non-regressive areas (internal control) of regressive melanomas (19, 20).

Epidermal atrophy has been found, particularly in severe-dysplastic melanocytic naevi and in melanomas. In dysplastic naevi with regression it could help to differentiate between severe- and mild-grade dysplastic naevi (found in 60% and 26.9%, respectively).

Explanations for regression within melanocytic tumours have largely focused on immunological mechanisms, and the histological finding of numerous lymphocytes is thought to be indicative of such mechanism (1). Moreover, the presence of tumour-specific cytotoxic T cells in the peripheral blood and lymph nodes of melanoma patients has also been well established.

The biological significance of regression in melanoma has been much debated in the literature. Although, in some cases, the immune response may facilitate the selection of the more aggressive clones (14, 15, 20–23), most recent studies tend to consider regression to be a protective mechanism (2, 21, 24).

Various tumour antigens expressed in melanomas are recognized by cytotoxic T lymphocytes (21-23). The most frequently detected group of antigens in patients with melanoma (and the most immunogenic) are melanocytic differentiation antigens, such as Melan-A/MART-1 (the most common), gp 100, and tyrosinase (25). The genes encoding these antigens are expressed not only in melanomas, but also in normal melanocytes (26). The fact that multiple primary malignant melanomas arising in the same patient are not clonal in origin suggests that other tumour-associated antigens are unique for each primary melanoma and might not contribute to an immune response activation (4, 27).

Finally, these findings of similar features of regression between severe dysplastic naevi and melanomas (density of inflammatory infiltrate (p=0.016), vascular proliferation (p=0.005), epidermal atrophy (p=0.009), rate of apoptosis (p=0.046) or granzyme B immunoreactivity p=0.013) support the concept that severe dysplastic naevi are closer to melanomas than to mild-dysplastic naevi (28, 29).

Conclusion

In cases with complete regression of a melanocytic lesion, absence of lamellar fibrosis, together with a high density of melanophages, blood vessels, and granzyme B⁺ lymphocytes, as well as an increasing age of the patient would support a diagnosis of melanoma.

Using the equation obtained from the final logistic model, the probability of correctly diagnosing melanoma in a completely regressed lesion would be between 81.1% and 92.9%.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This study was supported by grants PROMETEO II/2015/009 from Generalitat Valenciana, Spain; PI13/02786 from Instituto de Salud Carlos III, Spain; and FEDER European funds.

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

REFERENCES

- High WA, Steward D, Wilbers CH, Cockerell CJ, Hoang MP, Fitzpatrick JE. Completely regressed primary cutaneous malignant melanoma with nodal and or visceral metastases: A report of 5 cases and assessment of the literature and diagnostic criteria. J Am Acad Dermatol 2005; 53: 89–100.
- Requena C, Botella-Estrada R, Traves V, Nagore E, Almenar S, Guillen C. Problems in defining melanoma regression and prognostic implication. Actas Dermosifilogr 2009; 100: 759–766.
- Ceballos P, Barnhill R. Spontaneous regression of cutaneous tumors. Adv Dermatol 1993; 8: 229–261.
- Orlow I, Tommasi Dv, Bloom B, Ostrovnava I, Cotignola J, Mujumdar U, et al. Evaluation of the clonal origin of multiple primary melanomas using molecular profiling. J Invest Dermatol 2009; 129:1972–1982.
- Nagore E. Monteagudo C, Pinazo MI, Botella-Estrada R, Oliver V, Bañuls J, et al. Protocol proposal for the histological report of the primary tumor in patients with cutaneous melanoma from the task force for cutaneous melanoma of the Valencian Community. Actas Dermosifiliogr 2007; 98: 459–465.
- Kang S, Barnhill RL, Mihm MC Jr, Sober AJ. Histologic regression in malignant melanoma: an interobserver concordance study. J Cutan Pathol 1993; 20: 126–129.
- Arumi-Uria M, McNutt S, Finnerty B. Grading of atypia in nevi: correlation with melanoma risk. Mod Pathol 2003; 16: 764–771.
- Pozo L, Naase M, Cerio R, Ianes A, Diaz-Cano SJ. Critical analysis of histological criteria for grading atypical (dysplastic) melanocytic nevi. Am J Clin Pathol 2001; 115: 194–204.
- Weinstock MA, Barnhill RL, Rhodes AR, Brodsky JL. Reliability of the histopathologic diagnosis of melanocytic dysplasia. The dysplastic nevus panel. Arch Dermatol 1997; 133: 953–958.
- Sinikumpu SP, Huilaja L, Jokelainen J, Auvinen J, Tionen M, Tasanen K. Association of multiple melanocytic nevi with education, skinburns and sun vacations. A northern Finland birth cohort 1966 study with 46 years follow-up. Acta Derm Venereol 2017; 97: 219–224.
- Haenssle HA, Mograby N, Ngassa A, Buhl T, Emmert S, Schön MP, et al. Association of patients risk factors and frequency of nevus-assocaited cutaneous melanoma. JAMA Dermatol 2016; 152: 291–298.
- 12. Paredes BE. Regression in malignant melanoma. Definition, etiopathogenesis, morphology and differential diagnosis.

Pathologe 2007; 28: 453-463.

- Barr RJ. The many faces of completely regressed malignant melanoma. Pathology 1994; 2: 359–370.
- Hodi FS, Dranoff G. The biologic importance of tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes. J Cutan Pathol 2010; 37 (Suppl. 1): 48–53.
- Mourmouras V, Fimiani M, Rubegni P. Evaluation of tumourinfiltrating CD4+CD25+FOXP3+ regulatory T cells in human cutaneous benign and atypical naevi, melanomas and melanoma metastases. Br J Dermatol 2007; 157: 531–539.
- Ng SH, Chave TA. Tumoral melanosis as a manifestation of a completely regressed primary melanoma with metastases. Br J Dermatol 2006; 155: 627–628.
- Ko CJ, Bolognia JL, Glusac EJ. "Clark/dysplastic" nevi with florid fibroplasia associated with pseudomelanomatous features. J Am Acad Dermatol 2011; 64: 346–351.
- Cesinaro CM. Clinico-pathological impact of fibroplasias in melanocytic nevi: a critical revision of 209 cases. APMIS 2012; 120: 658–665.
- Barnhill RL, Frandey K, Levy MA, Mihm MC Jr, Hyman B. Angiogenesis and tumor progression of melanoma. Quantification of vascularity in melanocytic nevi and cutaneous malignant melanoma. Lab Invest 1992; 67: 331–337.
- 20. Da Costa HO, Sotto MN, Valente NY, da Silva LF, Sanches JA Jr, da Silva AM, et al. Microvascular lymphatic density analysis in cutaneous regressive and nonregressive superficial sprading melanomas using the lymphatic marker D2-40. Am J Dermatopathol 2011; 33: 669–674.
- Ribero S, Osella-Abate S, Sanlorenzo M, Savoia P, Astrua C, Cavaliere G. Favourable prognostic role of regression of primary melanoma in stage I-II AJCC patients. Br J Dermatol 2013; 169: 1240–1245.
- 22. Boon T, Old LJ. Cancer tumor antigens. Curr Opin Immunol 1997; 9: 681–683.
- Kawakami Y, Rosenberg SA. Human tumor antigens recognized by T-cells. Immunol Res 1997; 16: 313–339.
- Martin JM. Ruiz-Sauri A, San-Miguel T, Jorda E, Monteagudo C. Telomeric lenght heterogeneity influences spontaneous regression of malignant melanoma. J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol 2016; 30:e223–e224.
- 25. Van den Eynde BJ, van der Bruggen P. T cell defined tumor antigens. Curr Opin Immunol 1997; 9: 684–693.
- Gattoni-Celli S, Cole DJ. Melanoma-associated tumor antigens and their clinical relevance to immunotherapy. Semin Oncol 1996; 23: 754–758.
- Vogelstein B, Papadopoulos N, Velsulescu VE, Zhou S, Diaz LA Jr, Kinzler KW. Cancer genome landscapes. Science 2013; 339: 1546–1558.
- Shain AH, Yeh I, Kovalyshyn DO, Shiradan A, Talevich E, Gagnon A, et al. The genetic evolution of melanoma from precursor lesions. N Engl J Med 2015; 373: 1926–1936.
- Husain EA, Mein C, Pozo L, Blanes A, Diaz-Cano SJ. Heterogeneous topographic profiles of kinetic and cell cycle regulator microsatellites in atypical (dysplastic) melanocytic nevi. Mod Pathol 2011; 24: 471–486.