Actinic Keratosis Diagnosis and Increased Risk of Developing Skin Cancer: A 10-year Cohort Study of 17,651 Patients in Sweden

Ghassan GUORGIS¹, Chris D. ANDERSON², Johan LYTH^{1,3} and Magnus FALK¹ ¹Department of Health, Medicine and Caring Sciences, ²Department of Biomedical and Clinical Sciences, Division of Dermatology, Linköping University, and ³Research and Development Unit in Region Östergötland, Linköping, Sweden

Actinic keratosis is the most common actinic lesion in fair-skinned populations. It is accepted as an indicator of actinic skin damage and as an occasional precursor of squamous cell carcinoma. The aim of this study was to investigate, in a cohort of patients with a diagnosis of actinic keratosis, the relative risk of developing skin cancer during a follow-up period of 10 years. This registry-based cohort study compared a cohort of 2,893 individuals in south-eastern Sweden, who were diagnosed with actinic keratosis during the period 2000 to 2004, with a matched-control cohort of 14,668 individuals without actinic keratosis during the same inclusion period. The subjects were followed for 10 years to identify skin cancer development in both cohorts. Hazard ratios with 95% confidence intervals (95% CI) were used as risk measures. Individuals in the actinic keratosis cohort had a markedly higher risk for all skin cancer forms compared with the control cohort (hazard ratio (HR) 5.1, 95% CI 4.7-5.6). The relative risk was highest for developing squamous cell carcinoma (SCC) (HR 7.7, 95% CI 6.7-8.8) and somewhat lower for basal cell carcinoma (BCC) (HR 4.4, 95% CI 4.1-5.0) and malignant melanoma (MM) (HR 2.7 (2.1-3.6). Patients with a diagnosis of actinic keratosis were found to be at increased risk of developing SCC, BCC and MM in the 10 years following diagnosis of actinic keratosis. In conclusion, a diagnosis of actinic keratosis, even in the absence of documentation of other features of chronic sun exposure, is a marker of increased risk of skin cancer, which should be addressed with individually directed preventive advice.

Key words: skin cancer; actinic keratosis; cohort study; melanoma; squamous cell carcinoma; basal cell carcinoma.

Accepted Apr 21, 2020; Epub ahead of print Apr 21, 2020

Acta Derm Venereol 2020; 100: adv00128.

Corr: Magnus Falk, Department of Health, Medicine and Caring Sciences, Linköping University, SE-581 83, Linköping, Sweden. E-mail: magnus. falk@liu.se

A ctinic keratosis (AK) is a common skin condition caused by long-term exposure to the sun in susceptible individuals. AK typically develops on sunexposed areas, such as the face, neck, balding scalp, chest, shoulders, and the back of arms and hands of mainly Caucasian adults (1–5), presenting as a rough, dry, scaly or crusted lesion which can be skin-coloured or tanned, sometimes with an erythematous base. Clini-

SIGNIFICANCE

Actinic keratosis is a common skin lesion associated with chronic exposure to sun. In most cases actinic keratosis is harmless, but it occasionally transforms into squamous cell carcinoma. This study included 2,893 patients with actinic keratosis, and investigated their 10-year risk of developing skin cancer, compared with a control group of 14,668 patients without actinic keratosis. Patients with actinic keratosis were found to have a more than 5 times increased risk of getting skin cancer. With regard to specific types of skin cancer, this increased risk in patients with actinic keratosis was highest (greater than 7 times higher) for squamous cell carcinoma, greater than 4 times higher for basal cell carcinoma, and almost 3 times higher for malignant melanoma. In conclusion, actinic keratosis is an important indicator of increased risk of skin cancer.

cally, AK is sometimes difficult to differentiate from other benign skin conditions, such as lichenoid keratosis and other benign keratotic lesions (6, 7). AKs are often asymptomatic, but can sometimes be sore or itchy (1, 8). The diagnosis of AK is, in practice, often set clinically, based on its typical appearances, without histopathological confirmation, and the condition is therefore not regularly recorded in pathology databases (4, 8). Data about the prevalence of AK are thus relatively sparse, and originate mostly from Australia and the USA, with only a few studies available from Europe and Asia and no information from Africa and South America (9, 10).

On the one hand, as is the case for the majority of lesions, a single AK lesion may be completely harmless, with only cosmetic consequences for patients. On the other hand, however, the potential for malignant transformation is well documented; AKs are the most common precursor of invasive SCC (11). Any single AK lesion may have 1 of 3 possible outcomes: it can enter spontaneous remission; remain stable without further progression; or transform over time into in situ or invasive squamous cell carcinoma (SCC) (1, 2, 12). The reported risk of malignant progression of AK is widely variable. A systematic review found that the estimated risk of a single AK lesion becoming malignant ranged between 0.075% and 0.096% per year, or approximately 1% over 10 years, with some estimates as high as 10% over 10 years (2). In another review the risk of progression of AK to invasive SCC varied between 0.025% and 16% per year (12). AK,

and non-melanoma skin cancer (NMSC), are both also risk factors for the development of malignant melanoma (MM); a study in Italy (13) has shown that more than 40% of patients with a previous diagnosis of multiple AKs developed a NMSC or a MM during a follow-up period of 5–11 years. Solid-organ transplanted patients, such as those with heart, lung and kidney transplants, are at specifically increased risk of developing malignancies, including skin cancer and, especially, SCC, due to immunosuppression (14–19). The risk of SCC has been found to increase for those with more than 5 AKs, and the majority of SCCs arise from AKs (2). AKs on sun-exposed body surfaces indicate previous chronic exposure to ultraviolet (UV) radiation, and may, together with other factors, such as age, duration, and skin type. be sufficient to facilitate malignant change. Just as for basal cell carcinomas (BCC) and SCC, the prevalence of AK is typically higher in sun-intense geographical regions, but the specific pathogenic factors associated

with progression of AK to SCC are not clear (20). Although the most common reason for treatment of AK is prevention of malignancy, lesions are also treated for cosmetic purposes and to provide relief from symptoms, such as tenderness or itch (4), and can either be lesion directed, such as curettage and cryotherapy, or field directed, by means of a variety of topical, pharmacological treatments and photodynamic therapy (PDT) (1, 8, 21). Some national guidelines or consensus reports recommend treatment of AK, and subsequent clinical follow-up of treated patients, due to its malignant potential (22, 23), whereas other are less dogmatic (24). Routine obligatory treatment of AK would entail a substantial burden on general practitioners and on dermatological specialist care, which, in many cases, is already strained by the diagnostic process and care of increasing number of cutaneous malignancies (4).

With increasing incidence of skin cancer, research into prevention and early detection measures to counteract its development is important, not least from a primary healthcare perspective. This includes the identification of individuals who are at particular risk of developing skin cancer, among whom patients with AK emerge as an identifiable and potentially important category. The aim of the present study was to investigate, in a cohort of patients with a documented diagnosis of AK, the relative risk of developing skin cancer during a follow-up period of 10 years.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study was approved by the Regional Ethics Review Board in Linköping, Sweden (number 2015/182-31).

The study was a registry-based cohort study on patients resident in the County of Östergötland, south-eastern Sweden, which has a total population of approximately 453,600 inhabitants (2017). As population-based data source, an administrative healthcare registry, The Care Data Warehouse in Östergötland, (CDWÖ)

was used. The registry consists of administrative records of all publicly produced healthcare utilization in the county, including inpatient and outpatient care for all medical specialties, covering more than 95% of the total healthcare utilization in the county, from 1998 onwards (25). All patients aged 18 years or over who were clinically or histopathologically diagnosed with AK (ICD-10 code L57.0), during a 5-year time-period from January 2000 to December 2004, were identified from the registry as the AK cohort. The date of diagnosis of AK was set as the patient's index date. Patients were included independently of the number of lesions or form of treatment, and were only included once, even if they were treated on more than one occasion. Patients were excluded if they had a previous history of skin cancer (in the form of MM (ICD-10 code C43.0-C43.9), SCC (ICD-10 code: C44.0S-C44.9S) or BCC (ICD-10 code: C44.0D-C44.9D)) prior to the study baseline, according to data from the national Swedish Cancer Register. The Swedish Cancer Register contains data on MM and SCC from January 1958 and on BCC from January 2004.

As a control cohort, up to 5 matched individuals without a diagnosis of AK during the inclusion period 2000 to 2004 were identified in the CDWÖ. As in the study cohort, individuals with a previous history of skin cancer were excluded based on data from the national Swedish Cancer Register. Remaining cases were included in the control cohort, frequency-matched by age, sex and index year. The corresponding index date was used to set the start date for the matched controls.

During a follow-up period from baseline to 2014, data from the national Swedish Cancer Register was used to identify whether the participants had been diagnosed with MM, SCC or BCC. Each individual was monitored from the index date until diagnosis with skin cancer, or until they were omitted due to loss to follow-up, death, or until the end of the study in 2014. Events of MM, SCC and BCC were identified for each cohort.

Statistical analysis

A χ^2 test was used to analyse categorical data, and an independent t-test to analyse continuous data. The Kaplan–Meier method was used to estimate the cumulative incidence for patients and controls for different endpoints (1: all skin cancers; 2: SCC; 3: BCC; 4: MM), and multivariable Cox regression, with the same endpoints as listed above, to determine the hazard ratios (HR) and 95% confidence intervals (95% CI) between patients and controls. To investigate whether the HRs between patients and controls varied according to sex and age, the sex- and age-specific HRs were calculated for each endpoint and the *p*-values for the interaction with group were presented. All Cox models were adjusted for age and sex.

RESULTS

The median follow-up time was 10.6 years (range 0.1-14.99 years). Follow-up was stopped if the person had an event. Consequently, if there were fewer events in a group, then the follow-up time would be longer in that group. Patients in the AK cohort were thus followed for a shorter time compared with the control cohort 9.6 (0.1–14.99) and 10.7 (0.1–14.99) years, respectively.

Eligible study individuals consisted of 3,422 individuals in the AK cohort and 17,110 individuals in the non-AK control cohort. A history of prior skin cancer was an exclusion criterion. In the AK cohort 439 individuals (12.8%) were excluded, whereas only 332 (1%) individuals were excluded from the control cohort. After

ActaL

Actinic keratosis	and	skin	cancer risk	3/6

Identified patien	ts with a diagnosis
of actinic kerato	sis (<i>n</i> =3,422)
	Five matched (age, sex and year of diagnosis) controls per patient added (controls: <i>n</i> =17,110)
	Exclusions: Skin cancer before date of inclusion (patients: <i>n</i> =439 and controls <i>n</i> =332) Controls related to patients with previous skin cancer <i>n</i> =2,110
Final number o	*
controls to be a	nalysed (nationts:

controls to be analysed (patients: n=2,983, controls: n=14,668)

Fig. 1. Flow chart of the distribution of study participants, by means of patients with actinic keratosis and matched controls.

exclusion of individuals with a previous history of skin cancer the AK cohort comprised 2,983 patients and the control cohort comprised 16,778 individuals. If an AK patient was excluded due to previous skin cancer, its identified matched controls were also excluded, unless they matched another AK patient with fewer than 5 identified controls (85 of 2,110 excluded controls were re-matched to the AK cohort). In the analysable material, 2,983 individuals remained in the AK group and 14,668 in the control group (Fig. 1).

The characteristics of the study cohort of patients with AK and the matched controls are shown in Table I. There was a somewhat greater proportion of women (56.3%), and a higher representation of individuals within the age interval 70-79 years, representing 55.9% of our population. The lack of statistically significant differences between the 2 groups regarding sex, age and year of inclusion confirmed that the controls were successfully matched to the patients.

ble II shows the cumulative incidences of SCC, and CMM in the 2 cohorts, and also the HR for each

Patients/controls

2,983

14,668

Events n (%)

1,007 (33.8)

1,062 (7.2)

Table I. Characteristics of t	e patients	in the	actinic	keratosis
cohort and the control cohort				

	Patients	Controls	<i>p</i> -value
All patients, n (%)	2,983 (100)	14,668 (100)	
Sex, n (%)			0.97
Men	1,304 (43.7)	6,417 (43.7)	
Women	1,679 (56.3)	8,251 (56.3)	
Age, n (%)			0.95
< 60 years	618 (20.7)	3,071 (20.9)	
60-69 years	697 (23.4)	3,449 (23.5)	
70-79 years	926 (31.0)	4,576 (31.2)	
≥ 80 years	742 (24.9)	3,572 (24.4)	
Age, mean (SD)	70.0 (12.5)	69.8 (12.4)	0.55
Year of inclusion, n (%)			1.00
2000	731 (24.5)	3,607 (24.6)	
2001	715 (24.0)	3,527 (24.0)	
2002	529 (17.7)	2,592 (17.7)	
2003	516 (17.3)	2,517 (17.2)	
2004	492 (16.5)	2,425 (16.5)	
Controls per patient, n (%)			-
≤3	101 (1.3)	-	
4	160 (5.4)	-	
5	2785 (93.4)	-	
Number of controls, mean (SD)	4.92 (0.34)	-	-

SD: standard deviation.

diagnosis. As seen, the AK cohort had a higher risk for all 3 cancer forms than did the control cohort. Patients with AK had 5.1 (95% CI: 4.7–5.6) times higher risk of developing some form of skin cancer within 10 years compared with the control group, i.e. individuals without AK. The difference was significant for all types of skin cancer, but most pronounced for SCC.

Table III shows the HRs for each of the different skin cancer types, as well as for all skin cancers. The HR of developing BCC was significantly lower for women than for men ($p_{\text{interaction}} < 0.001$). The age group ≤ 59 years had the highest HR of developing SCC ($p_{\text{interaction}} < 0.01$) and BCC ($p_{\text{interaction}} < 0.01$) compared with the other age groups.

DISCUSSION

The most important result of this study is the finding of a strong relationship between a diagnosis of AK and

5-year

19 (18-21)

3.1 (2.8-3.4)

p-value

< 0.0001

ereolog	Table BCC and
and venered	Table II. Ha with 14,668
Advances in dermatology	Skin cancer ^a * Patients Controls Squamous cel Patients Controls Basal cell carc Patients Controls Cutaneous ma Patients Controls ^a Squamous ce 124 controls v BCC+SCC+CM CI: confidence

II. Hazard ratio and 5- and 10-year cumulative incidence of skin cancer^a in 2,983 patients with actinic keratoses (AK) compared 1,668 matched (age, sex and year of diagnosis) controls

Hazard ratio (95% CI)

5.1 (4.7-5.6)

1.0 (ref)

Squamous cell carcin	oma					
Patients	2,983	561 (18.8)	7.7 (6.7-8.8)	< 0.0001	9.5 (8.5-11)	17 (16-19)
Controls	14,668	355 (2.4)	1.0 (ref)		0.9 (0.8-1.1)	2.4 (2.1-2.7)
Basal cell carcinoma						
Patients	2,983	626 (21.0)	4.4 (4.1-5.0)	< 0.0001	11 (10-12)	21 (20-23)
Controls	14,668	692 (4.7)	1.0 (ref)		2.0 (1.8-2.2)	5.1 (4.7-5.5)
Cutaneous malignant	: melanoma					
Patients	2,983	86 (2.9)	2.7 (2.1-3.6)	< 0.0001	1.2 (0.8-1.6)	2.6 (1.9-3.2)
Controls	14,668	142 (1.0)	1.0 (ref)		0.3 (0.2-0.4)	0.9 (0.7-1.1)

trols with the following distribution: BCC+SCC=200 (patients), 91 (controls), BCC+CMM=16 (patients), 21 (controls), SCC+CMM=12 (patients), 9 (controls), C+CMM=19 (patients), 3 (controls). idence interval.

Actal

Cumulative incidence (95% CI)

10-year

33 (31-35)

7.6 (7.1-8.0)

	Skin cancer ^a *		SCC		BCC		СММ	
	HR (95% CI)	$p_{_{\rm Interaction}}$	HR (95% CI)	$p_{_{\mathrm{Interaction}}}$	HR (95% CI)	$p_{_{\mathrm{Interaction}}}$	HR (95% CI)	$p_{_{\mathrm{Interactio}}}$
Overall	5.1 (4.7-5.6)		7.7 (6.7-8.8)		4.4 (4.1-5.0)		2.7 (2.1-3.6)	
Sex		< 0.01		0.56		< 0.001		55
Men	5.8 (5.1-6.6)		8.0 (6.6-9.7)		5.4 (4.7-6.4)		3.0 (2.0-4.3)	
Women	4.6 (4.1-5.2)		7.4 (6.1-8.9)		3.8 (3.3-4.4)		2.5 (1.7-3.7)	
Age		0.03		< 0.01		0.01		0.78
≤59 years	6.9 (5.5-8.6)		16 (10-26)		6.9 (5.3-9.1)		2.3 (1.3-4.1)	
60-69 years	4.5 (3.8-5.4)		6.0 (4.6-8.0)		4.3 (3.5-5.4)		3.2 (2.0-5.2)	
70-79 years	4.9 (4.2-5.6)		7.3 (5.9-9.1)		4.1 (3.5-4.9)		2.5 (1.5-4.0)	
≥80 years	5.4 (4.5-6.5)		7.9 (6.1-10)		4.1 (3.2-5.2)		3.2 (1.6-6.3)	

Table III. Hazard ratios, stratified by sex and age, for the incidence of skin cancer^a in 2,983 patients with actinic keratoses (AK) compared with 14,668 matched (age, sex and year of diagnosis) controls

^aSquamous cell carcinoma (SCC), basal cell carcinoma (BCC) and cutaneous malignant melanoma (CMM). *Multiple skin cancer types where present in 247 patients and 124 controls with the following distribution: BCC+SCC=200 (patients), 91 (controls), BCC+CMM=16 (patients), 21 (controls), SCC+CMM=12 (patients), 9 (controls), BCC+SCC+CMM=19 (patients), 3 (controls).

HR: hazard ratio; CI: confidence interval.

development of skin cancer. Patients with AK were found to have a 5 times higher risk of developing some form of skin cancer during 10 years' follow-up compared with patients in the control cohort. This was more prominent for patients with AK aged \leq 59 years at inclusion, for which the HRs for developing BCC and SCC were particularly high (6.9 and 16, respectively). Another notable finding was that the exclusion criteria "previous skin cancer" was applied for 12.8% of the AK group, but for only 1% of the control group, indicating the general association of AK with both keratinocyte and melanocyte malignancy.

Study limitations and strengths

This study has some limitations. Firstly, the diagnoses are based on registration in the local registry (CDWÖ), which, although it covers 95% of all cases reported in patients' medical records (25), does not provide data on probable under-reporting of AK. Under-reporting is likely, not only because an unknown number of patients probably never seek medical help for their AK, but also because the diagnosis is, in most cases, set clinically, and not by histopathology, hence it is not certain that the diagnosis is always correct. In addition, an AK may be discovered as a secondary diagnosis, in which case the likelihood of its registration as a diagnosis can be expected to be lower than for a primary diagnosis. Finally, it is important to consider that the results of this study do not refer to patients with AK in general, but only to those who choose to seek healthcare.

Another limitation is that registration of BCC was not initiated before January 2004, i.e. after the start of our inclusion period; hence, cases of BCC may also have been under-reported in both cohorts. An additional limitation is that the ICD coding mixes all subtypes of SCC and MM. In cases of uncertainty during the diagnostic process, the physician registers the non-specific diagnosis code "other and non-specified skin changes" before having the histopathological answer. The actual frequency with which the histopathology report resulted in a revision of the initial diagnosis set at the time of clinical examination is unknown. Neither does the data collection allow for determination of whether there were multiple (or single) AKs or whether solar lentigines or actinic elastosis (common other features of "actinically damaged skin") were present. Nor is it possible to draw any conclusions about possible connections between sites of AK, possible treatment modality and subsequent skin cancer development, which prohibits any interpretation of the possible malignification of an individual AK.

An important strength of the study is that it includes healthy individuals in the control group, i.e. not only those who had had contact with healthcare. This is an advantage, since these are, as a group, closer in character to a general population. Another strength is that this is a full population-based study, based on a whole county, thus eliminating selection biases. The 10-year follow-up interval may be discussed and may have been extended, but we think that it is a reasonably long time period for this age group and sample size of individuals to present with a sufficient incidence of skin malignancies, and to detect and compare differences with the control cohort. Overall, and despite the limitations mentioned above, we believe that this relatively large cohort study provides novel and important information concerning the risk of skin cancer development in patients with AK.

The HR for patients with AK to develop SCC was much higher (approximately double) than the corresponding HRs for MM and BCC, possibly because there is a stronger relationship between AK and SCC in addition to the general effect of chronic sun exposure. Regarding the proportion of patients with AK developing any form of skin cancer (SCC; BCC; MM), the current study showed this to be somewhat lower (33.8%) than in an Italian study by Dika et al. (13) (41.5%), even though we had a longer follow-up period. This may be due to possible discrepancies in documentation of skin cancer diagnosis in the different registries used for the studies, or other selection biases, but it cannot be excluded that the Italian population, for some reason, may have a higher risk of developing skin cancer. It is likely that the difference Acta Dermato-Venereologica

dvances in dermatology and venereolog

in insolation between the study populations lies behind this difference in outcome, although this requires further research. There was a female dominance in our AK cohort (56%, see Table I), a finding that is in contrast with that of previous studies (1–3). On the other hand, men had a higher risk of developing skin cancer compared with women (Table III). This is in concordance with other studies (1–3, 26). We also noticed that women had significantly lower HRs for developing BCC compared with men (3.8 vs. 5.4).

The localization of AK lesions and developed skin cancers were not specified in our study, since we wanted to assess the relative risk of developing skin cancer in general, unrelated to the body location of a specific lesion. Neither did we have access to information about the treatments received by the patients with AK and its possible effect on individual risk of developing skin cancer from the specific (or other co-existing) lesion treated. The considerable differences in risk levels for cancer development from a single AK (from 0.025% to 16% per year), shown in previous studies (12), is probably related to the fact that the studies were conducted in different modalities. Also, in our study the median follow-up time of patients with AK was shorter than that of controls, due to a larger number of events.

This study has some bearing on the logic of management issues in patients with AK. Whilst the current study has little to add to the question of the fate of an individual with AK, the results support the consensus of opinion that the likelihood of progression is extremely small. On the other hand, the fact that a clinical diagnosis of AK has been made is clearly associated with an increased risk for future (or present) skin cancer at an undetermined site in the same patient. Although not evaluated in our study, histopathological features of AK can, as described previously (27), be correlated with the risk of developing other cutaneous neoplasms. Thus, we regard AK as a marker of chronic sun exposure over the patient's lifetime, increasing the risk of skin cancer, rather than AKs per se being dangerous. In conclusion, this calls for the provision of structured prevention information for patients even with their first AK, and of providing information on early detection. A consequence of that is the necessity for the healthcare systems to meet the patient's need for repeated examination as required. The question of whether a planned follow-up should be provided requires further discussion, since healthcare resources are not unlimited and efforts may be best put into making the routines for "as required" examinations more effective. There is currently no existing technology or prognostic markers that allow clinicians to distinguish between individual lesions of AK that will resolve, remain stable, or progress to invasive disease, thus the treatment (or not) of an AK lesion is left to an individual, clinical management decision.

Conclusion

In conclusion, patients for whom a clinical diagnosis of AK has been set have a considerably higher risk of developing SCC, BCC or MM compared with sex- and age-matched controls, within 10 years from diagnosis. Although an individual AK is usually considered a harmless skin lesion, with a considerable rate of spontaneous resolution and with progression to SCC as a relatively rare event, the results of this study illustrate an opportunity to use the identification and documentation of AKs, even without documentation of other manifestations of solar damage, as motivation for the targeted provision of appropriate skin cancer prevention information. This may be an important and achievable part of our efforts to reduce the incidence and burden of skin cancer.

The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

REFERENCES

- Chetty P, Choi F, Mitchell T. Primary care review of actinic keratosis and its therapeutic options: a global perspective. Dermatol Ther 2015; 5: 19–35.
- Dodds A, Chia A, Shumack S. Actinic keratosis: rationale and management. Dermatol Ther 2014; 4: 11–31.
- Schmitt JV, Miot HA. Actinic keratosis: a clinical and epidemiological revision. An Bras Dermatol 2012; 87: 425–434.
- Flohil SC, van der Leest RJ, Dowlatshahi EA, Hofman A, de Vries E, Nijsten T. Prevalence of actinic keratosis and its risk factors in the general population: the Rotterdam Study. J Invest Dermatol 2013; 133: 1971–1978.
- Moy RL. Clinical presentation of actinic keratoses and squamous cell carcinoma. J Am Acad Dermatol 2000; 42: 8–10.
- Maor D, Ondhia C, Yu LL, Chan JJ. Lichenoid keratosis is frequently misdiagnosed as basal cell carcinoma. Clin Exp Dermatol 2017; 42: 663–666.
- Kulberg A, Weyers W. Regressing basal-cell carcinoma masquerading as benign lichenoid keratosis. Dermatol Pract Concept 2016; 6: 3.
- Dirschka T, Gupta G, Micali G, Stockfleth E, Basset-Séguin N, Del Marmol V, et al. Real-world approach to actinic keratosis management: practical treatment algorithm for office-based dermatology. J Dermatolog Treat 2017; 28: 431–442.
- Eder J, Prillinger K, Korn A, Geroldinger A, Trautinger F. Prevalence of actinic keratosis among dermatology outpatients in Austria. Br J Dermatol 2014; 171: 1415–1421.
- Green AC. Epidemiology of actinic keratosis. Curr Probl Dermatol 2015; 46: 1–7.
- Fernández-Figueras MT, Carrato C, Sáenz X, Puig L, Musulen E, Ferrándiz C, Ariza. Actinic keratosis with atypical basal cells (AK I) is the most common lesion associated with invasive squamous cell carcinoma of the skin. J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol 2015; 29: 991–997.
- 12. Glogau RG. The risk of progression to invasive disease. J Am Acad Dermatol 2000; 42: 23–24.
- Dika E, Fanti PA, Misciali C, Vaccari S, Crisman G, Barisani A, et al. Risk of skin cancer development in 672 patients affected by actinic keratoses. Ital Dermatol Venereol 2016; 151: 628–633.
- Krynitz B, Edgren G, Lindelöf B, Baecklund E, Brattström C, Wilczek H, Smedby KE. Risk of skin cancer and other malignancies in kidney, liver,heart and lung transplant recipients 1970 to 2008 – a Swedish population-based study. Int J Cancer 2013; 132: 1429–1438.
- Brewer JD, Colegio OR, Phillips PK, Roenigk RK, Jacobs MA, van de Beek D, et al. Incidence of and risk factors for skin cancer after heart transplant. Arch Dermatol 2009; 145:

1391-1396.

- Sundbom P, Hubbert L, Armeryd T, Karlsson MM, Lindén M, Anderson CD. The place of skin cancer screening in heart transplant recipient follow-up protocols: a case series. Enliven Arch 2014; 1: 1.
- Geusau A, Dunkler D, Messeritsch E, Sandor N, Heidler G, Rödler S, et al. Non-melanoma skin cancer and its risk factors in an Austrian population of heart transplant recipients receiving induction therapy Int J Dermatol 2008; 47: 918–925.
- Bouwes Bavinck JN, Euvrard S, Naldi L, Nindl I, Proby CM, Neale R, et al. Keratotic skin lesions and other risk factors are associated with skin cancer in organ-transplant recipients: a case-control study in The Netherlands, United Kingdom, Germany, France, and Italy. J Invest Dermatol 2007; 127: 1647–1656.
- Wallingford SC, Russell SA, Vail A, Proby CM, Lear JT, Green AC. Actinic keratoses, actinic field change and associations with squamous cell carcinoma in renal transplant recipients in Manchester, UK. Acta Derm Venereol 2015; 95: 830–834.
- Foote JA, Harris RB, Giuliano AR, Roe DJ, Moon TE, Cartmel B, Alberts DS. Predictors for cutaneous basal- and squamouscell carcinoma among actinically damaged adults. Int J Cancer 2001; 95: 7–11.
- 21. Thai KE, Fergin P, Freeman M, Vinciullo C, Francis D. A prospective study of the use of cryosurgery for the treatment of actinic keratosis. Int J Dermatol 2004; 43: 687–692.
- 22. Werner RN, Stockfleth E, Connolly SM, Correia O, Erdmann R, Foley P, et al. Evidence- and consensus-based (S3) Gui-

delines for the Treatment of Actinic Keratosis – International League of Dermatological Societies in cooperation with the European Dermatology Forum – short version. J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol 2015; 29: 2069–2079.

- Paoli J, Ahnlide I, Boman T, Heilborn J, Nielsen K, Person B, et al. Swedish Society of Dermatological Surgery and Oncology Guidelines for treatment of SCC & BCC. 2016. [SDKOs guidelines for treatment of epithelial cancer and basal cell cancer. Available from: https: //www.pdf.nu/getfile_p.asp ?id=21524&key=e7d39b4d&d29e1cbe556cf37017768568 844bb00bf1570e87ccbe4cd6efbcd7a. Accessed at Nov 14 2019. (in Swedish).
- 24. de Berker D, McGregor JM, Mohd Mustapa MF, Exton LS, Hughes BR. British Association of Dermatologists' guidelines for the care of patients with actinic keratosis 2017. Br J Dermatol 2017; 176: 20–43.
- Andersson D, Magnusson H, Carstensen J, Borgquist L. Co-morbidity and health care utilisation five years prior to diagnosis for depression. A register-based study in a Swedish population. BMC Public Health 2011; 11: 552.
- Xiang F, Lucas R, Hales S, Neale R. Incidence of nonmelanoma skin cancer in relation to ambient UV radiation in white populations, 1978–2012 empirical relationships. JAMA Dermatol 2014; 150: 1063–1071.
- Pandey S, Mercer SE, Dallas K, Emanuel PO, Goldenberg G. Evaluation of the prognostic significance of follicular extension in actinic keratoses. J Clin Aesthet Dermatol 2012; 5: 25–28.