Check for updates

SHORT COMMUNICATION

French Teledermatologists: Activity and Motivations Prior to the COVID-19 Pandemic

Mathieu BATAILLE^{1,2}, Emmanuel MAHÉ³, Valérie DORIZY-VUONG⁴, Charbel SKAYEM⁵, Anne DOMPMARTIN⁶, Marie-Aleth RICHARD⁷, Jean FRIEDEL⁸, Florence OTTAVY⁹, Marie-Sophie GAUTIER¹⁰, Priscille CARVALHO¹¹, Tu Anh DUONG^{5,12*} on behalf of the Groupe de Télé-Dermatologie & e-Santé de la Société Française de Dermatologie (TELDES)

¹Department of Dermatology, Hôpital Saint-Vincent de Paul, GHICL, Lille, ²Department of Internal Medecine, Centre Hospitalier de la Région

¹Department of Dermatology, Hôpital Saint-Vincent de Paul, GHICL, Lille, ²Department of Internal Medecine, Centre Hospitalier de la Région de Saint-Omer, Saint-Omer, ³Department of Dermatology, Hôpital Victor Dupouy, Argenteuil, ⁴Department of Dermatology, CHU Bordeaux, Hôpital Saint-André, Bordeaux, ⁵Department of Dermatology, Hôpital Henri Mondor, 51 av du maréchal de Lattre de Tassigny, FR-94000 Créteil, ⁶Department of Dermatology, Centre Hospitalier Universitaire Côt de Nacre, Caen, ⁷Department of Dermatology, AP-HM, Centre Hospitalier Universitaire La Timone, Marseille, ⁸Private Office, Châlons-sur-Saône, ⁹URPS-ML Corse, Ajaccio, ¹⁰Private Office, Joinville-le-Pont, ¹¹Department of Dermatology, Centre Hospitalier Universitaire Charles-Nicolle, Rouen, and ¹²Chaire Avenir Santé Numérique, Equipe 8 IMRB U955, INSERM, Créteil, France. E-mail: tu-anh.duong@aphp.fr
Accepted May 19, 2021; Epub ahead of print May 24, 2021

During the COVID-19 pandemic, dermatologists were urged to postpone non-urgent visits and to use telemedicine to maintain access and continuity of care (1–3). France enacted telemedicine coverage in September 2018 for teleconsultation, i.e. live-and-interactive (LI) videoconferencing teledermatology (TD), and in February 2019 for teleexpertise or store & forward (S&F) (4–6). Prior to the COVID-19 pandemic this study reviewed the profile, activity, and motivations of dermatologists practicing TD after its coverage, using a comprehensive survey.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

From April to June 2019, a web survey was released using the newsletter of the Société Française de Dermatologie. The first questions distinguished dermatologists practicing TD, those willing to implement TD in their practice, those with unstructured and informal TD activity, and those with no declared activity. Questions regarding dermatologists' profiles included their age group and workplace; those regarding TD included: the practice model, the tool used, the type of referrals and referring physicians, the number of expertise provided, the organization of TD within their regular activity, and post-TD organization. The profile activity and motivation of dermatologists having a regular ongoing TD activity were analysed and compared with those expecting to start a TD activity. Qualitative variables were expressed as frequencies and percentages. Categorical variables were compared using a χ^2 test. All tests were 2-tailed, $p \le 0.05$ indicated statistical significance. This study was exempted from an Institutional

RESULTS

review board.

Among the 3,132 dermatologists contacted, 362 (12%) responded. Most of the respondents had an unstructured and informal TD practice (n=198, 55%), 68 (19%) reported a regular TD activity, 47 (13%) planned to start one, and 49 (13%) never practiced TD. Dermatologists practicing TD were mostly female (female:male=2), aged \geq 46 years old (n=49, 72%), working in private practice (n=39, 57%), and had a TD practice for less than 2 year (n=32, 49%). Most of the responders practiced S&F TD (n=53, 82%) vs LI (n=19, 31%) and had \leq 5 TD activities/ week (n=49, 75%), used a public platform (n=37,

60%) or a encrypted secured professional e-mail (n=17, 27%). TD activity was performed as an add-on to their regular work (n=43.66%) sometimes during non-working hours (n=27, 42%), and rarely during specific dedicated time (n=13, 20%). At the start, most tele-dermatologists (n=42, 62%) worked in funded programmes, mainly financed by public institutions (n=35, 83%). Half of them declared the activity as sustainable (n=36, 55%). In comparison with dermatologists already practicing TD, those expecting to develop it were significantly younger, practicing in hospital, starting without funds, and less interested in working for institutions for elderly people (Table SI¹). They expected their TD activity to fall within their existing planning, for less than 1 h/week and entail more than 6 requests/week. Concerning the implementation of TD, dermatologists with a TD project planned to use specific tools, not necessarily on smartphones (Table SI¹). Comparing the expectations of both groups showed that dermatologists with a TD project were significantly less concerned with increasing or organizing care pathways, yet more concerned with organizing dedicated post-TD visits (Table I).

https://www.medicaljournals.se/acta/content/abstract/10.2340/00015555-3836

Table I. Utility and expectations regarding teledermatology (TD) for dermatologists practicing and with the perspective of practicing TD

	Practicing TD n = 68 n (%)	Perspective of practicing TD n = 47 n (%)	<i>p</i> -value
Follow-up by TD	28 (41)	12 (26)	< 10 ⁻³
Post-TD dedicated visits Utility of TD	37 (54)	32 (68)	< 10 ⁻³
Time saving	18 (26)	1 (2)	$< 10^{-3}$
Triaging	33 (49)	12 (26)	< 10 ⁻³
Preparing in-person visit	14 (21)	8 (17)	< 10 ⁻³
Expertise for limited group	21 (31)	10 (21)	< 10 ⁻³
Formalized unformal activity Expectations	27 (40)	12 (25)	< 10 ⁻³
Increase access to the dermatologists	48 (71)	16 (34)	$< 10^{-3}$
Skin tumour screening	33 (41)	15 (32)	$< 10^{-3}$
Management of emergencies	34 (50)	14 (30)	$< 10^{-3}$
Management of elderly patient's skin conditions	48 (71)	19 (41)	$< 10^{-3}$
Decrease unnecessary travel	44 (61)	19 (40)	$< 10^{-3}$
Cost-saving	18 (26)	6 (12)	< 10 ⁻³

DISCUSSION

Despite the financial incentives, dermatologists with a regular TD activity remained marginal in France in 2019. Most of the survey respondents practiced an unstructured and informal TD activity. Interestingly, dermatologists planning to start TD, were, in contrast to pioneers, less concerned about dermatology care organization and expected this activity to be scheduled and integrated into their regular activity. However, the visual characteristics of dermatology, and the insufficient number of physicians are a strong leverage for implementation of TD in the regular care process. Authors pointed out the value and benefits of TD for triaging skin diseases (severity, emergency), referral organization, and to decrease the lead times to consultation, while providing an increase in dermatological skill for non-specialists (7–12).

For most physicians practicing TD, it was an add-on to their regular activity, with only half of them organizing post-TD visits and a minority having financial rewards. In contrast to other countries, French TD is not reserved to underserved population or rural areas, but its financial benefit was stated in a prison setting (10). Prior to the COVID-19 pandemic, in France, financial reimbursement mostly concerned LI TD: patients who have had an inperson consultation within 12 months; and for S&F TD: patients with chronic diseases, living in institutions for elderly people, in detention, or in underserved areas. Fees were at the level of an in-person visit for LI TD, and at less than half of the regular fee for S&F (4). During the pandemic, access to TD was enlarged without additional financial rewards.

In the literature, barriers to implementation of TD described previously were: reduced reimbursement, dermatologists' lack of confidence in the procedure, medical risks, and lack of knowledge of equipment setup (13, 14). This work highlighted that financial incentives were not the sole leverage to adoption of TD. Based on the current study, TD needed professionalization in the network setting and the in-person follow-up pathways.

The main limitation of the current study was that the data were based on self-reporting non-exhaustive, voluntary answers. As the response rate was only 12%, it was hypothesized that only dermatologists practicing TD felt concern by the questionnaire or survey at the time this survey was conducted. In the light of the consequences of the COVID-19 pandemic on reorganizing dermatological consultations, almost all dermatologists must have tried to implement this care delivery rapidly.

In conclusion, in 2019, TD in France was in its early stages, and could be regarded as a new practice. Motivation to start practicing TD seemed to be linked to work-time reorganization rather than to adding a new activity. The COVID-19 pandemic forced most dermatologists to prioritize remote management over in-person consultations, regardless of their expectations. However, in the post-crisis period, moulding TD to fit dermatologists'

preferences and motivations prior to the pandemic is essential for its development.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Professor Marie Beylot-Barry, Dr Brigitte Roy and the Société Française de Dermatologie for releasing the questionnaire via the SFD newsletter.

TELDES working group: Dr Sébastien Debarbieux, Prof Marie-Sylvie Doutre, Dr Nicole Jouan, Dr Eve Pépin, Dr Véronique Pistorius, Dr Emilie Brenaut, Dr Gaëlle Hirsch, Dr Thomas Hubiche, Dr Jean-Jacques Morand, Dr Laurence Ollivaud, Dr Valérie Gallais.

The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

REFERENCES

- 1. Kwatra SG, Sweren RJ, Grossberg AL. Dermatology practices as vectors for COVID-19 transmission: a call for immediate cessation of nonemergent dermatology visits. J Am Acad Dermatol 2020; 82: e179–e180.
- Lee I, Kovarik C, Tejasvi T, Pizarro M, Lipoff JB. Telehealth: helping your patients and practice survive and thrive during the COVID-19 crisis with rapid quality implementation. J Am Acad Dermatol 2020; 82: 1213–1214.
- 3. Gupta R, Ibraheim MK, Doan HQ. Teledermatology in the wake of COVID-19: Advantages and challenges to continued care in a time of disarray. J Am Acad Dermatol 2020; 83: 168–169.
- 4. DGOS. La télémédecine. Ministère des Solidarités et de la Santé. 2020. [accessed 24 May 2021] Available from: https://solidarites-sante.gouv.fr/soins-et-maladies/prisesen-charge-specialisees/telesante-pour-l-acces-de-tous-ades-soins-a-distance/article/les-documents-et-liens-utiles.
- Mehrtens SH, Halpern SM. Changing use and attitudes towards teledermatology in the U.K. over 10 years: results of the 2016 National Survey. Br J Dermatol 2018; 178: 286–288.
- Mehrtens SH, Shall L, Halpern SM. A 14-year review of a UK teledermatology service: experience of over 40 000 teleconsultations. Clin Exp Dermatol 2019; 44: 874–881.
- Barbieri JS, Nelson CA, James WD, Margolis DJ, Littman-Quinn R, Kovarik CL, et al. The reliability of teledermatology to triage inpatient dermatology consultations. JAMA Dermatol 2014; 150: 419–424.
- Nelson CA, Takeshita J, Wanat KA, Bream KD, Holmes JH, Koenig HC, et al. Impact of store-and-forward (SAF) teledermatology on outpatient dermatologic care: a prospective study in an underserved urban primary care setting. J Amer Acad Dermatol 2016; 74: 484–490.e1.
- Finnane A, Siller G, Mujcic R, Soyer HP. The growth of a skin emergency teledermatology service from 2008 to 2014. Australas J Dermatol 2016; 57: 14–18.
- Zarca K, Charrier N, Mahé E, Guibal F, Carton B, Moreau F, et al. Tele-expertise for diagnosis of skin lesions is costeffective in a prison setting: a retrospective cohort study of 450 patients. PLoS One 2018; 13: e0204545.
- Naka F, Lu J, Porto A, Villagra J, Wu ZH, Anderson D. Impact of dermatology eConsults on access to care and skin cancer screening in underserved populations: A model for teledermatology services in community health centers. J Am Acad Dermatol 2018; 78: 293–302.
- Mohan GC, Molina GE, Stavert R. Store and forward teledermatology improves dermatology knowledge among referring primary care providers: a survey-based cohort study. J Am Acad Dermatol 2018; 79: 960–961.
- Tesnière A, Leloup P, Quéreux G, Maillard H, Pedailles S, Leccia M-T, et al. Avis dermatologiques à distance: une enquête interrégionale. Ann Dermatol Venereol 2015; 142: 85–93.
- Armstrong AW, Kwong MW, Chase EP, Ledo L, Nesbitt TS, Shewry SL. Why some dermatologists do not practice storeand-forward teledermatology. Arch Dermatol 2012; 148: 649–650.