Genomic Fingerprinting in the Epidemiology of Gonorrhoea

EDVARD S. FALK,¹ DAN DANIELSSON,² BJARNE BJORVATN,³ KJETIL MELBY,³ BIRGER SØRENSEN³ and BJØRN-ERIK KRISTIANSEN³

¹Department of Dermatology and ³Medical Microbiology, University Hospital, Tromsø, Norway, and ²Department of Clinical Microbiology and Immunology, Örebro Medical Center Hospital, Örebro, Sweden

Falk ES, Danielsson D, Bjorvatn B, Melby K, Sørensen B, Kristiansen BE. Genomic fingerprinting in the epidemiology of gonorrhoea. Acta Derm Venereol (Stockh) 1985; 65: 235–239.

We investigated the usefulness of the restriction enzyme (RE) fingerprinting for epidemiological tracing in gonococcal disease. The RE patterns of three paired gonococcal isolates showed corresponding identical fingerprints. Within each of the three pairs of epidemiologically linked isolates the respective restriction patterns were completely identical. Also, the restriction patterns of 6 strains from a larger contact group were identical. Identical restriction patterns were also obtained in each of the two cases where isolates were recovered from both urethra and cervix. The serological findings were in perfect agreement with the genomic fingerprinting as to the identity between all strains of the same epidemiologic chain. Relapse of the original infection could be excluded in one case by the finding of a different RE pattern and also a different serovar pattern of the strain recovered 4 months later. *Key words: Genomic fingerprinting; Epidemiology; Gonorrhoea.* (Received September 17, 1984).

Edvard S. Falk, Department of Dermatology, University Hospital, 9012 Tromsø, Norway.

Auxotyping and serological classification by co-agglutination (CoA) are at present the phenotypic markers most commonly used to study the epidemiology of gonorrhoea (1, 2, 3, 4, 5). Usually, the best information is achieved by the combined use of the two methods. Auxotyping is, however, complicated, laborious and time consuming, and serotyping requires well characterized and specific polyclonal or monoclonal anti gonococcal antibodies (6, 7).

We have recently described the use of genotypic markers to study the epidemiology of gonorrhoea (8). With the use of restriction enzymes (RE) the chromosomal DNA of various *Neisseria gonorrhoeae* strains gave different RE patterns, so called a genomic fingerprint, which was stable and reproducible for a particular strain, and a potential tool for epidemiological studies.

In the present study we show that the RE technique for genotypic characterization of gonococcal strains can be used to demonstrate the identity of strains from contact pairs. The results were compared with those of serogrouping by CoA with monoclonal antibodies.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

The study includes 18 gonococcal strains, selected on the basis of retrospective contact tracing from cases of uncomplicated urogenital gonorrhoea. Six strains were isolated from 3 contact pairs, 6 strains from a larger contact group, 4 from 2 patients providing isolates from both cervix and urethra, and finally 2 strains recovered at a 4 months interval from a patient with recurrent gonorrhoea. Culture and identification followed conventional procedures. The strains were stored at -70° C in brain heart infusion broth containing 10% glycerol.





Restriction endonuclease fingerprinting

The procedure has been described previously (8). In short, lyophilized gonococci were thawed and grown overnight on gonococcal agar medium prepared from BBL GC agar medium base with the addition of Bacto hemoglobin and IsoVitalex without antibiotics. One colony was further subcultivated under the same conditions. Bacteria were then harvested and lysed by the addition of EDTA, lysozyme, RNase, pronase, and Triton X-100. DNA was extracted by repeated chloroform/phenol extractions and dialysed against a DNA buffer to the average concentration of $600-1500 \mu g/ml$. The DNA was then digested by the restriction endonuclease Hind III as described by the manufacturer (Amersham, UK). The resulting DNA fragments were separated electrophoretically in a 4% polyacrylamide gel which was run for 20 hours at 40 mA constant current at 10°C. DNA from the E. coli phage P4, MW 11.3 Kb (9) digested by Hind III was used as a fragment size marker. The gels were stained with ethidium bromide, washed and finally photographed in UV-light. The different band patterns (genomic fingerprints) were compared visually and grouped according to identity.

Serological classification

The gonococcal strains were serogrouped by CoA with the use of monoclonal antibodies against protein IA (WI) and protein IB (WII/WIII) antigens (1, 7, 10). The monoclonal antibodies were a gift from Dr M. Tam, Genetic Systems Corp., Seattle, USA, and CoA reagents from Dr E. Sandström, Södersjukhuset at Karolinska Institute, Stockholm, Sweden. Each strain was tested by CoA against a set of 6 anti-protein IA specific antibodies designated 4G5=e, 2F12=d, 6D9=g, 5C2=k, 5G9=i & 5D1=h (11), and 7 protein IB specific antibodies, designated 3C8=a, 2D6=c, 2H7=e, 2G2=g, 2D4=h, 3B10=j & 2H1=k. The serogroup and serovar of a particular strain was written according to reactivity, for example IA/edih, IB/acjk etc. as proposed by Sandström et al. (11).

RESULTS

Fig. 1 shows the RE patterns of the 3 paired isolates. The corresponding fingerprints for each pair $(a_1-a_2, b_1-b_2, and c_1-c_2, respectively)$ were identical but as can be seen the three pairs had different RE patterns. Two of them $(a_1-a_2 and c_1-c_2)$, however, had identical serovar patterns (IA/edgkih), whereas the third (b_1-b_2) had a different pattern (IB/acejk). The stability of the RE patterns during transmission of gonorrhoea is further evidenced by the identity of the patterns of the larger contact group (Fig. 2). Here, the intervals between primary and secondary infections averaged 2–3 weeks. All strains (d_1-d_6) in this contact group also had the same serovar pattern (IB/acejk) (Table I). Moreover, the same RE pattern and serovar pattern (IB/acejk) were found in pair b_1-b_2 (Fig. 1) and in the larger contact group d_1-d_6 (Fig. 2). However, no data were available which could confirm an





epidemiological linkage between the strains b_1-b_2 and d_1-d_6 . Identical fingerprints and serovar patterns were also obtained in the two patients (f_1-f_2 and g_1-g_2) providing isolates from 2 different locations (cervix and urethra) (Fig. 3 and Table I). Identical RE and serovar patterns were found in strains a_1-a_2 (Fig. 1) and g_1-g_2 (Fig. 3) without any known epidemiological linkage. The RE patterns of strains f_1-f_2 and d_1-d_6 differed only with regard to 2 or 3 bands, but had quite different serovar patterns (IA/edgk and IB/acejk, respectively). In the patient of recurrent gonorrhoea 4 months after the first infection (e_1 and e_2), relapse could be excluded by the findings of clearly different fingerprints (Fig. 3) and of different serovar patterns (IB/ak and IB/ajk, respectively).

Strain	Serogroup/serovar		RE Hind III pattern	Provisional designation
a1	IA/edgkih	Identical		I
a ₂	IA/edgkih	Identieur		·
g ₁ Cx ^a	IA/edgkih		Similar to I	T
$g_2 U$	IA/edgkih			<u>^</u>
C1	IA/edgkih		Dissimilar to I	H
C ₂	IA/edgkih		2.000.000	**
f ₁ Cx	IA/edgk		Dissimilar to I and II	Ш
f ₂ U	IA/edgk			
b1	IB/acejk		Dissimilar to L II and III	IV
b ₂	IB/acejk			
d	IB/acejk			
d ₂	IB/acejk			
d ₃	IB/acejk		Similar to IV	IV
d ₄	IB/acejk			
ds	IB/acejk			
d ₆	IB/acejk			
e ₁	IB/ak		Dissimilar to all	V
e ₂	IB/ajk		Dissimilar to all	VI

Table I. DNA restriction enzyme patterns by Hind III digestion (provisional designations) and protein I serogroup/serovar patterns of gonococcal strains with known and unknown epidemiological linkage

^a Cx = cervix; U = urethra



Fig. 3. Different restriction patterns of two isolates $(e_1 \text{ and } e_2)$ recovered with 4 months interval from the same patient. f_1 - f_2 and g_1 - g_2 illustrate identical restriction patterns of strains isolated from two different locations (urethra and cervix) of two patients. (P4 size marker to the right) (Hind III digestion).

DISCUSSION

Genomic fingerprinting by restriction enzymes has recently been applied by various research groups for epidemiological studies of viral and bacterial infections (12, 13, 14). The results of the present and two previous investigations (8, 15) show that this technique, alone or in combination with methods for the demonstration of phenotypic markers, can be a valuable tool to study the epidemiology of gonorrhoea. The reproducibility and reliability of the method was demonstrated in a previous work (8) and was further confirmed in the present study.

Gonococci are known to harbor one or more plasmids, i.e. extrachromosomal DNA, which in fact has been used by several research groups for epidemiological studies of penicillinase producing strains (16, 17, 18, 19, 20) but the appearance of steadily new combinations of plasmids makes these markers less suitable for such studies. It should also be pointed out that plasmids, which are demonstrated by agarose gel electrophoresis, do not interfere with the chromosomal DNA RE patterns (8, 15) that are demonstrated by polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis.

The serological findings were in perfect agreement with the genomic fingerprinting as to the identity between strains of the same epidemiological chain, although the strains were often recovered with several weeks' intervals (Table I and Fig. 1 & 2). It was of interest to note, however, that the RE method differentiated between each of the involved clones $(a_1-a_2; b_1-b_2; c_1-c_2)$ whereas serogrouping by CoA with monoclonal antibodies differentiated between only 2 of them $(a_1-a_2/c_1-c_2 \text{ and } b_1-b_2; \text{ Table I})$. Interestingly, isolates from one contact pair (b_1-b_2) both had the same RE pattern and serovar pattern (IB/acejk) as the strains d_1-d_6 from the larger contact group. Moreover, strains a_1-a_2 and g_1-g_2 had identical RE patterns and were of the same serovar (IA/edgkih) although no epidemiological linkage was known.

The potential use of the RE method for epidemiological tracing in gonococcal infections is further illustrated by the considerable number of individual and easily differentiated fingerprints among gonococci within a fairly restricted geographical area. In this respect the RE method was even more sensitive than CoA with monoclonal antibodies. Both methods can also be used to characterize strains from patients where relapse or reinfection are questioned.

REFERENCES

- Bygdeman S, Danielsson D, Sandström E. Gonococcal W serogroups in Scandinavia. A study with polyclonal and monoclonal antibodies. Acta Pathol Microbiol Immunol Scand [B] 1983; 91:293-305.
- 2. Carito K, Catlin BW. Neisseria gonorrhocae auxotyping. Differentiation of clinical isolates based on growth responses on chemically defined media. Appl Microbiol 1973; 26: 223–230.
- Catlin BW, Pace PJ. Auxotypes and penicillin susceptibilities of Neisseria gonorrhoeae isolated from patients with gonorrhoea involving two or more sites. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 1977; 12: 147-156.
- 4. Coply CG, Chiswell CP, Egglestone SI. Neisseria gonorrhoeae: stability of typing markers after natural transmission. Br J Vener Dis 1983; 59: 237-241.
- Danielsson D, Bygdeman S, Kallings I. Epidemiology of gonorrhoea: Serogroup, antibiotic susceptibility and auxotype patterns of consecutive gonococcal isolates from ten different areas of Sweden. Scand J Infect Dis 1983; 15: 33–42.
- Sandström E, Danielsson D. Serology of Neisseria gonorrhoeae: Classification with co-agglutination. Acta Pathol Microbiol Scand [B] 1980; 88: 27–38.
- Tam MR, Buchanan TM, Sandström EG, Holmes KK, Knapp JS, Siaduk AW, Nowinski RC. Serological classification of Neisseria gonorrhoeae with monoclonal antibodies. Infect Immunol 1982; 36:1042–1053.
- 8. Falk ES, Bjorvatn B, Danielsson D, Kristiansen BE, Melby K, Sørensen B. Restriction endonuclease fingerprinting of chromosomal DNA of Neisseria gonorrhoeae. Acta Pathol Microbiol Immunol Scand [B] (In press).
- 9. Inman R, Schnös M, Simon L, Six EW, Walker D. Some morphological properties of P4 bacteriophage and P4 DNA. Virology 1971; 47: 67.
- Sandström EG, Chen KCS, Buchanan TM. Serology of Neisseria gonorrhoeae. Co-agglutination serogroups WI and WII/III correspond to different outer membrane Protein I molecules. Infect Immunol 1982; 38: 462-470.
- Sandström E, Bygdeman S, Bäckman M, Knapp JS, Tam M. The logical basis for serological classification of Neisseria gonorrhoeae into serovars. International Society for STD Research, 5th International meeting, Aug. 1-3, 1983, Seattle. Abstr. 18.
- Bjorvatn B, Lund V, Kristiansen BE, Korsnes L, Spanne O, Lindqvist B. Applications of restriction endonuclease fingerprinting of chromosomal DNA of Neisseria meningitidis. J Clin Microbiol 1984; 19: 763-765.
- Buchman TG, Roizman B, Adams G, Stover BH. Restriction endonuclease fingerprinting of herpes simplex virus DNA: A novel epidemiological tool applied to a nosocomial outbreak. J Infect Dis 1978; 138: 488-498.
- Taylor DN, Wachsmuth IK, Shangkvan YH, Schmidt EV, Barret TH, Schrader JS, Scherach CS, Mcbee HB, Feldman RA, Brenner DJ. Salmonellosis associated with marijuana. A multistate outbreak traced by plasmid fingerprinting. New Engl J Med 1982; 306: 1249–1253.
- Falk ES, Danielsson D, Bjorvatn B, Melby K, Sørensen B, Kristiansen BE, Lund S, Sandström E. Phenotypic and genotypic characterization of penicillinase producing strains of Neisseria gonorrhoeae. Acta Pathol Microbiol Immunol Scand (B) (In press).
- Elwell LP, Riberts M, Mayer LW, Falkow S. Plasmid-mediated beta-lactamase production in Neisseria gonorrhoeae. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 1977; 11: 528--533.
- Perine PL, Thornsberry C, Schalla W, Biddle J, Siegel MS, Wong KH. Evidence for two distinct types of penicillinase-producing Neisseria gonorrhoeae. Lancet 1977; ii: 993–995.
- Roberts M, Falkow S. Conjugal transfer of R plasmids in Neisseria gonorrhoeae. Nature 1977; 266:630-631.
- 19. Roberts M, Piot P, Falkow S. The ecology of gonococcal plasmids. J Gen Microbiol 1979; 114:491-494.
- Schaberg DR, Tompkins LS, Falkow S. Use of agarose gel electrophoresis of plasmid deoxyribonucleic acid to fingerprint gram-negative bacilli. J Clin Microbiol 1981; 13: 1105–1108.