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Search strategies

Search strategy for 3.1 in MEDLINE

Medline Search Strategy
September 11, 2014
N=3803
Database: Ovid MEDLINE(R) <1946 to September Week 1 2014>
Search Strategy:

1 Disabled Persons/ (32516)
2 Amputees/ (2310)
3 Disabled Children/ (4215)
4 Mentally Disabled Persons/ (2344)
5 Mentally Ill Persons/ (4392)
6 Persons With Hearing Impairments/ (1399)
7 Visually Impaired Persons/ (1355)
8 disabled.ti,ab. (18130)
9 disabilit$.ti,ab. (103716)
10 "Activities of Daily Living"/ (51673)
11 Inpatients/ (13397)
12 inpatient?.ti,ab. (61268)
13 Outpatients/ (9246)
14 outpatient?.ti,ab. (106451)
15 or/1-14 (339993)
16 exp Rehabilitation/ (153501)
17 rehab$.ti,ab. (104804)
18 "Physical and Rehabilitation Medicine"/ (2479)
19 rehabilitation.fs. (169242)
20 or/16-19 (326278)
21 Community Health Centers/ (6008)
22 Ambulatory Care/ (36456)
23 Home Care Services/ (28149)
24 Hospices/ (4421)
25 Hospitals/ (53966)
26 Hospitals, Community/ (10323)
27 Hospitals, General/ (10752)
28 ((interdisciplinary or inter-disciplinary) adj2 care).ti,ab. (913)
29 ((interdisciplinary or inter-disciplinary) adj2 healthcare).ti,ab. (44)
30 ((interdisciplinary or inter-disciplinary) adj2 rehab$).ti,ab. (258)
31 ((interdisciplinary or inter-disciplinary) adj2 team?).ti,ab. (2663)
32 ((interprofessional or inter-professional) adj2 care).ti,ab. (254)
33 ((interprofessional or inter-professional) adj2 healthcare).ti,ab. (31)
((interprofessional or inter-professional) adj2 rehab$).ti,ab. (6)
((interprofessional or inter-professional) adj2 team?).ti,ab. (391)
Long-Term Care/ (22018)
"model? of care".ti,ab. (3256)
"model? of health care".ti,ab. (753)
"model? of healthcare".ti,ab. (261)
"model? of rehab$".ti,ab. (169)
"model? of service delivery".ti,ab. (322)
((multidisciplinary or multi-disciplinary) adj2 care).ti,ab. (2546)
((multidisciplinary or multi-disciplinary) adj2 healthcare).ti,ab. (91)
((multidisciplinary or multi-disciplinary) adj2 rehab$).ti,ab. (685)
((multidisciplinary or multi-disciplinary) adj2 team?).ti,ab. (9534)
Pain Clinics/ (1184)
Primary Health Care/ (55550)
Hospitals, Psychiatric/ (22560)
Rehabilitation Centers/ (6943)
Secondary Care/ (123)
Tertiary Healthcare/ (252)
((transdisciplinary or trans-disciplinary) adj2 care).ti,ab. (21)
((transdisciplinary or trans-disciplinary) adj2 healthcare).ti,ab. (2)
((transdisciplinary or trans-disciplinary) adj2 rehab$).ti,ab. (3)
((transdisciplinary or trans-disciplinary) adj2 team?).ti,ab. (87)
or/21-55 (266118)
Comparative Study/ (1703785)
meta analysis.mp,pt. (75667)
meta-analysis as topic/ (14109)
review.pt. (1917008)
search$.tw. (224335)
(comparison? or compared).ti,ab. (2686348)
trial?.mp. (1181446)
or/57-63 (6144722)
15 and 20 and 56 and 64 (4414)
(animals not (humans and animals)).sh. (3915350)
65 not 66 (4414)
limit 67 to (addresses or autobiography or bibliography or biography or clinical conference or comment or congresses or consensus development conference or consensus development conference, nih or dictionary or directory or duplicate publication or editorial or interactive tutorial or interview or lectures or legal cases or letter or news or newspaper article or patient education handout or webcasts) (41)
67 not 68 (4373)
limit 69 to yr="1994 -Current" (3803)
Search strategy for 3.1 in EMBASE

EMBASE search strategy
September 11, 2014
N=3526
Database: Embase <1980 to 2014 Week 36>
Search Strategy:

--------------------------------------------------------------------------------
1 disabled person/ (24791)
2 disability/ (69617)
3 physical disability/ (13841)
4 mental deficiency/ (53931)
5 mental health/ (74750)
6 handicapped child/ (5300)
7 hearing disorder/ (10172)
8 visual disorder/ (22825)
9 disabled.ti,ab. (21891)
10 disabilit$.ti,ab. (144480)
11 daily life activity/ (56214)
12 inpatient?.mp. (92751)
13 outpatient?.mp. (195322)
14 exp hospital patient/ (84431)
15 or/1-14 (680630)
16 exp rehabilitation/ (232130)
17 rehab$.ti,ab. (145964)
18 rh.fs. (127322)
19 rehabilitation care/ (9645)
20 or/16-19 (393709)
21 community care/ (47714)
22 health center/ (22160)
23 day hospital/ (2313)
24 home care/ (47499)
25 hospice/ (7880)
26 hospice care/ (6171)
27 hospital/ or general hospital/ or geriatric hospital/ or mental hospital/ or non profit hospital/ or pediatric hospital/ or private hospital/ or public hospital/ or safety net hospital/ or teaching hospital/ (365217)
28 ((interdisciplinary or inter-disciplinary) adj2 care).ti,ab. (1449)
29 ((interdisciplinary or inter-disciplinary) adj2 healthcare).ti,ab. (78)
30 ((interdisciplinary or inter-disciplinary) adj2 rehab$).ti,ab. (420)
31 ((interdisciplinary or inter-disciplinary) adj2 team?).ti,ab. (4238)
32 ((interprofessional or inter-professional) adj2 care).ti,ab. (410)
((interprofessional or inter-professional) adj2 healthcare).ti,ab. (43)
((interprofessional or inter-professional) adj2 rehab$).ti,ab. (17)
((interprofessional or inter-professional) adj2 team?).ti,ab. (701)
long term care/ (92679)
"model? of care".ti,ab. (4973)
"model? of health care".ti,ab. (902)
"model? of rehab$".ti,ab. (270)
"model? of service delivery".ti,ab. (471)
((multidisciplinary or multi-disciplinary) adj2 care).ti,ab. (4102)
((multidisciplinary or multi-disciplinary) adj2 healthcare).ti,ab. (178)
((multidisciplinary or multi-disciplinary) adj2 rehab$).ti,ab. (1025)
((multidisciplinary or multi-disciplinary) adj2 team?).ti,ab. (17364)
primary health care/ (46409)
mental health care/ (19227)
mental hospital/ (22935)
rehabilitation center/ (9215)
secondary health care/ (1272)
tertiary health care/ (26502)
((transdisciplinary or trans-disciplinary) adj2 care).ti,ab. (32)
((transdisciplinary or trans-disciplinary) adj2 healthcare).ti,ab. (2)
((transdisciplinary or trans-disciplinary) adj2 rehab$).ti,ab. (6)
((transdisciplinary or trans-disciplinary) adj2 team?).ti,ab. (131)
or/21-54 (677345)
comparative study/ (640770)
meta analysis/ (82395)
meta-analys$.mp. (125485)
search$.tw. (295976)
review.pt. (1958783)
(Comparison? or compared).ti,ab. (3486388)
Trial?.ti,ab. (812866)
or/56-62 (6295248)
15 and 20 and 55 and 63 (5143)
limit 64 to yr="1994 -Current" (4941)
limit 65 to (book or book series or conference abstract or conference paper or conference proceeding or "conference review" or editorial or letter or note or short survey or trade journal) (1415)
65 not 66 (3526)
exp animals/ or exp invertebrate/ or animal experiment/ or animal model/ or animal tissue/ or animal cell/ or nonhuman/ (20145470)
human/ or normal human/ or human cell/ (15024047)
68 and 69 (14991732)
68 not 70 (5153738)
67 not 71 (3526)
Search strategy for 3.1 in PsycINFO

PsycINFO search strategy

September 11, 2014

N=927

Tweaked with this line:

56     ("secondary health care" or "secondary healthcare").ti,ab. (74)
57     ("tertiary health care" or "tertiary healthcare").ti,ab. (42)

Database: PsycINFO <1806 to September Week 1 2014>

Search Strategy:

1 exp disabilities/ (50530)
2 amputation/ (683)
3 exp physical disorders/ (364469)
4 exp mental disorders/ (416981)
5 hearing disorders/ (5655)
6 exp deaf/ (8813)
7 exp vision disorders/ (13028)
8 disabled.ti,ab. (20012)
9 disabilities.ti,ab. (42197)
10 "activities of daily living"/ (4012)
11 inpatient?.ti,ab. (35023)
12 outpatient?.ti,ab. (38225)
13 or/1-12 (792488)
14 exp rehabilitation/ (56201)
15 rehab$.ti,ab. (38642)
16 "physical and rehabilitation medicine".mp. (5)
17 or/14-16 (74677)
18 psychotherapy/ (40607)
19 psychoanalysis/ (41625)
20 behavior therapy/ (12096)
21 cognitive therapy/ (11402)
22 psychiatry/ (18903)
23 treatment/ (56670)
24 or/18-23 (170280)
25 17 or 24 (239388)
26 community facilities/ (989)
27 hospitals/ (8936)
28 psychiatric hospitals/ (6542)
29 treatment facilities/ or community mental health centers/ (3379)
30 residential care institutions/ (8089)
31 mental health programs/ (3936)
32 health care delivery/ (15616)
33 health care services/ (23550)
34 home care/ (4285)
35 partial hospitalization/ (1676)
36 ((interdisciplinary or inter-disciplinary) adj2 care).ti,ab. (289)
37 ((interdisciplinary or inter-disciplinary) adj2 healthcare).ti,ab. (20)
38 ((interdisciplinary or inter-disciplinary) adj2 rehab$).ti,ab. (107)
39 (inter-professional and (care or healthcare or rehab$ or team?)).mp. (230)
40 ((interprofessional or inter-professional) adj2 care).ti,ab. (148)
41 ((interprofessional or inter-professional) adj2 healthcare).ti,ab. (16)
42 ((interprofessional or inter-professional) adj2 rehab$).ti,ab. (3)
43 ((interprofessional or inter-professional) adj2 team?).ti,ab. (174)
44 Long Term Care/ (3021)
45 "model? of care".ti,ab. (1312)
46 "model? of health care".ti,ab. (309)
47 "model? of healthcare".ti,ab. (77)
48 "model? of rehab$".ti,ab. (202)
49 "model? of service delivery".ti,ab. (449)
50 ((multidisciplinary or multi-disciplinary) adj2 care).ti,ab. (388)
51 ((multidisciplinary or multi-disciplinary) adj2 healthcare).ti,ab. (34)
52 ((multidisciplinary or multi-disciplinary) adj2 rehab$).ti,ab. (201)
53 ((multidisciplinary or multi-disciplinary) adj2 team?).ti,ab. (2650)
54 Primary Health Care/ (11681)
55 exp rehabilitation centers/ (911)
56 ("secondary health care" or "secondary healthcare").ti,ab. (74)
57 ("tertiary health care" or "tertiary healthcare").ti,ab. (42)
58 ((transdisciplinary or trans-disciplinary) adj2 care).ti,ab. (7)
59 ((transdisciplinary or trans-disciplinary) adj2 healthcare).ti,ab. (1)
60 ((transdisciplinary or trans-disciplinary) adj2 rehab$).ti,ab. (2)
61 ((transdisciplinary or trans-disciplinary) adj2 team?).ti,ab. (79)
62 or/26-59 (87896)
63 comparative.mp. (40781)
64 comparison?.ti,ab. (152145)
65 meta analysis/ (3321)
66 meta-analysis.tw. (13367)
67 search$.tw. (57826)
68 review$.tw. (382544)
69 (comparison? or compared).ti,ab. (421697)
849151
1613
1333
217
189
927

70 or/63-69
71 13 and 25 and 62 and 70
72 limit 71 to yr="1994 -Current"
73 limit 72 to ("0120 non-peer-reviewed journal" or "0200 book" or "0240 authored book" or "0280 edited book" or "0300 encyclopedia" or "0400 dissertation abstract")
74 72 not 73
75 limit 74 to (abstract collection or bibliography or chapter or "column/opinion" or "comment/reply" or dissertation or editorial or encyclopedia entry or "erratum/correction" or letter or reprint or review-book or review-media or review-software & other)
76 74 not 75
GRADE assessment – reviewer guide

GRADE for Rehabilitation Review
If it is a systematic review conducted in the past 10 years, only includes RCTs, and they assess the risk of bias of included studies then we start with HIGH grade.
If it is a systematic review conducted in the past 10 years, includes observational studies start with Low grade.
If it is a systematic review conducted in the past 10 years, only includes RCTs, and do not assess risk of bias excluded.
If it is a systematic review conducted more than 10 years ago excluded, unless this is the only systematic review to answer one of the 5 PICO questions in 3.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study design</th>
<th>Study limitation in the included studies</th>
<th>Inconsistency</th>
<th>Indirectness</th>
<th>Imprecision</th>
<th>Publication bias</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Randomized trials</td>
<td>The review assessed ROB of included studies and the authors of the review concluded that the body of evidence has low ROB</td>
<td>$I^2$ test is lower than 50%</td>
<td>At least 50% of people come from LMIC, AND the population, intervention and outcomes can be generalized to the target group OR The effects observed would be reproduced in LMIC</td>
<td>Sample size &gt; 4,000 total OR Meta-Analysis is done AND the 95%CI did not include the null hypothesis AND the sample size is at least 400 people for continuous outcome or 300 events for dichotomous outcomes</td>
<td>There is a formal method of assessing publication bias (e.g. funnel plot) AND publication bias was undetected</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Or Observational studies</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

LMIC: Low and middle income country; ROB: Risk of Bias

Additional comments:
Risk of bias: Downgrade by only one level for risk of bias, and exceptionally by two (if high risk across criteria of risk of bias).
Inconsistency: Downgrade by one level if $I^2$ test is between 40% and 70%, and downgrade 2 levels if $I^2$ test is 70% or greater.
Imprecision: Downgrade by one level if confidence interval (by examining its upper and lower limits) includes values that suggest no important effect (e.g., <0.5). Do not downgrade by two levels.
Indirectness: Typically downgrade by one level for indirectness. -2 would apply exceptionally, e.g., if the population is indirect AND intervention is indirect, AND outcome assessed is indirect.
No test done for publication bias: as a default approach, do not downgrade.
List of excluded studies (reviews)

PICO Question: What service provision models work for different health conditions/resource settings/phases to ensure the provision of rehabilitation services?

Characteristics of Excluded Reviews

a1) Community-based rehabilitation (WHO definition) compared to hospital/ clinic or facility based rehabilitation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Study design</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Population</th>
<th>Intervention</th>
<th>Reason</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Finkenflugel et al</td>
<td>Systematic review (narrative synthesis)</td>
<td>2005</td>
<td>Disability</td>
<td>Community-based rehabilitation.</td>
<td>Literature searches from 1978 to 2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cleaver et al</td>
<td>Scoping review</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>Persons with disabilities and not limited to high-income country</td>
<td>Community-based rehabilitation</td>
<td>Scoping review</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lukesmith et al</td>
<td>Review</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>Monitoring and evaluation methods and tools</td>
<td>Community-based rehabilitation (CBR). CBR is a community development strategy currently implemented in over 90 countries throughout the world to address the needs of people with disabilities and communities</td>
<td>Not systematic review</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eldar et al</td>
<td>Review</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>Stroke</td>
<td>Rehabilitation in the community</td>
<td>Literature searches &gt;10 years old</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kendall et al</td>
<td>Discussion paper</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>Disability</td>
<td>Community-based rehabilitation</td>
<td>Discussion paper</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kuipers et al</td>
<td>Review</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>Disability</td>
<td>Community-based rehabilitation</td>
<td>Descriptive review</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
a2) Community rehabilitation services compared to hospital/ clinic or facility based rehabilitation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Study design</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Population</th>
<th>Intervention</th>
<th>Reason</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Outpatients Services Trialists</td>
<td>Cochrane meta-analysis</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>Stroke</td>
<td>Outpatient care: therapy-based rehabilitation services targeted at stroke patients living at home.</td>
<td>Literature searches were done in 2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allison et al</td>
<td>Systematic review</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>Stroke</td>
<td>Interventions involving both a healthcare and social-care element</td>
<td>Does not compare 2 models of rehab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brady et al</td>
<td>Systematic review</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>Stroke</td>
<td>Stroke unit care compared with care on another hospital ward; Early supported discharge (ESD) services compared with usual care; Community home-based rehabilitation compared with usual care</td>
<td>Only includes economic evaluation outcomes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wilkins et al</td>
<td>Systematic review</td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>Geriatrics</td>
<td>Community-based OT education and functional training programs</td>
<td>Literature searches &gt;10 years old</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bosmans et al</td>
<td>Systematic review</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>Mental</td>
<td>Primary adult care: Psychological treatments, psychotherapy and counselling compared to usual care or antidepressants</td>
<td>Only includes economic evaluation outcomes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mottram et al</td>
<td>Cochrane review</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>Functionally dependent older people (≥65yrs) due to chronic physical health problems</td>
<td>At-home care compared with institutional care</td>
<td>Literature searches &gt;10 years old</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fergusson et al</td>
<td>Meta-analysis</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>HIV children</td>
<td>Inpatient mal nutrition rehabilitation compared with community-based therapeutic care</td>
<td>Not rehabilitation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amijo et al</td>
<td>Systematic Review</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>Schizophrenia</td>
<td>Community intervention strategies</td>
<td>Not a systematic review</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thornicroft et al</td>
<td>Literature review</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>Mental diseases</td>
<td>Community compared with hospital settings</td>
<td>Not a systematic review</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
b) Integrated and decentralized services compared to centralized services (WHO is interested when the rehabilitation service is integrated with the health system)

No review or primary study was excluded

c) Multidisciplinary rehabilitation (including 2 or more professions) compared to non-multidisciplinary rehabilitation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Study design</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Population</th>
<th>Intervention</th>
<th>Reason</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tan et al</td>
<td>Meta-analysis</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>Parkinson’s</td>
<td>In-patient and outpatient multidisciplinary educational and/or rehabilitation program. Compared to conventional treatment Multidisciplinary outpatient rehabilitation programs versus no rehabilitation</td>
<td>These 2 reviews included same group of studies. They do not compare two models of rehabilitation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Johnston et al</td>
<td></td>
<td>2010</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schonstein et al</td>
<td>Cochrane review</td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>Back/Neck pain</td>
<td>Physical conditioning programs supervised by a physiotherapist or a multidisciplinary team compared to general practitioner usual care or advice</td>
<td>Literature searches &gt;10 years old</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wadhwa et al</td>
<td>Systematic review</td>
<td>1999</td>
<td>Vulnerable population: Terminally ill and mentally ill</td>
<td>Multidisciplinary teams compared with out-reach, home-care and case management</td>
<td>Literature searches &gt;10 years old</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Derom et al</td>
<td>Narrative review</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>Chronic pulmonary diseases</td>
<td>Multidisciplinary and structured pulmonary rehabilitation</td>
<td>Does not compare models of care.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
d) Specialized hospitals and units for rehabilitation for complex conditions compared to Rehabilitation for complex conditions in general wards or non-specialized units

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Study design</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Population</th>
<th>Intervention</th>
<th>Reason</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Brady et al</td>
<td>Economic evaluation</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>Stroke</td>
<td>Stroke unit care compared with care on another hospital ward&lt;br&gt;Early supported discharge (ESD) services compared with usual care&lt;br&gt;Community home-based rehabilitation compared with usual care</td>
<td>Only includes economic evaluation outcomes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edwards et al</td>
<td>Systematic review</td>
<td>1997</td>
<td>Stroke</td>
<td>Dedicated stroke unit (organized in-patient care, defined as either a ward or team exclusively managing stroke – dedicated stroke unit; or a ward or team specializing in the management of disabling illnesses, mixed assessment/rehabilitation unit)&lt;br&gt;Compared with conventional care (provided in general medical ward)</td>
<td>Literature searches &gt;10 years old</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Van der Meer et al</td>
<td>Meta-analysis</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>Chronic heart failure (left ventricular ejection fraction ≤40%)</td>
<td>Out-patient exercise training programmes compared with usual care</td>
<td>Does not include any outcome of interest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roberts et al</td>
<td>Review</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>Dementia in elderly (≥64yrs)</td>
<td>Hospice care, case management or psychogeriatric outreach mental health programs:&lt;br&gt;In home service&lt;br&gt;Compared to specialized programs, respite care programs, day programs, counseling, congregate living</td>
<td>Literature searches &gt;10 years old</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Petersen and Lund  Systematic review (no ROB)  2011  Mental diseases  South Africa: Decentralized community based care compared with tertiary in patient care  Symptoms management at primary health care  Community based psychosocial rehabilitation  Does not compare 2 models. But use for background

e) Rehabilitation services integrated into the health service compared to rehabilitation services integrated into the social or welfare service

No review or primary study was excluded

Other Comparisons which were not included in the Package 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Outreach: Telemedicine</td>
<td>Currell 2000, Bortolotti 2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Out-patient versus other</td>
<td>Legg 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inpatient versus other</td>
<td>Pereira 2012, Brusco 2014, Ram 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rehabilitation versus no rehabilitation</td>
<td>Legg 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Referral system:</td>
<td>Cameron 2000, Samayoa 2014, Chudyk 2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early vs delayed rehab</td>
<td>Wolfe 2012</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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