

Supplementary material to article by A. Rosenvall et al. et al. "Potential cost savings for selected non-pharmacological treatment strategies for patients with Alzheimer's disease in Finland"

Table SIII. Generalizability of the findings in studies considered relevant for bringing information of the effectiveness of feasible interventions

Author	1	2	3	4	5
Eloniemi-Sulkava U, 2006, Finland (10)	Yes	Yes	No ^a	Yes	Yes
Andren S, 2008, Sweden (12)	Yes	Yes	No ^b	Yes	Yes
Pitkälä K, 2013, Finland (11)	Yes	Yes	No ^a	Yes	Yes

Yes: criterion was met; No: criterion was not met; UC: unclear.

^aPatients' and caregivers' perceived scales were not assessed

^bResults of patients' and caregivers' perceived scales were assessed but not reported

1. Are the patients described in detail so that you can decide whether they are comparable to those that you see in your practice?
2. Are the interventions/control interventions and treatment settings described well enough so that you can provide the same for your patients?
3. Were all clinically relevant outcomes measured and reported?
4. Is the size of the effect clinically important?
5. Are the likely treatment benefits worth the potential adverse effects?