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Melanoma with \textit{BRAF} Mutation in Circulating Cell-free DNA despite no Mutation in the Primary Lesion: A Case Report
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Substantial advances have been made recently in the treatment of metastatic melanoma, with the advent of therapies such as \textit{BRAF} inhibitors (iBRAF), which have been shown to be effective in the treatment of \textit{BRAF} mutation-positive melanoma (1). The frequency of \textit{BRAF} mutations is 50–60\% in Caucasians and 20–30\% in Asian populations (1–3). Mutation is usually determined by Sanger sequencing and high-sensitivity PCR-based methods, such as the Cobas 4800 \textit{BRAF} Mutation Test (Roche Molecular Diagnostics, Basel, Switzerland), using primary or metastatic lesions. However, the accuracy of these tests is grossly influenced by the quality and quantity of the DNA extracted from the tissues. Thus, \textit{BRAF} mutation can be missed in cases of contamination, widespread necrosis, or when only small quantities of DNA are available. We describe here a case of advanced-stage melanoma with a \textit{BRAF}V600E mutation. Although the \textit{BRAF}V600E mutation was not detected in the DNA of the primary lesion, it was detected in circulating cell-free DNA (cfDNA) in peripheral blood. cfDNA includes DNA from apoptotic and necrotic cancer cells, it harbours the genetic alterations present in the tumour (4, 5), and is useful for evaluating tumour features in patients with advanced cancer.

CASE REPORT

A 47-year-old Japanese woman was diagnosed with stage IV melanoma. She had a history of limb pain for 3 months, and computed tomography (CT) scans showed multiple bone, lung and lymph nodes metastases. A pigmented macule, measuring 39×14 mm, with extensive regression, was situated on the right abdomen. Histopathologically, she was diagnosed with melanoma. Although tumour cells were positive for S-100, MART-1 and HMB45, the lesion contained <10\% tumour cells with numerous pigment-laden macrophages. After obtaining written informed consent, we analysed the tumour for \textit{BRAF} mutation using the Sanger method (3), but did not detect \textit{BRAF} mutation in the primary lesion (Fig. 1a). We also performed Competitive Allele-Specific TaqMan PCR (castPCR: Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA, USA) for \textit{BRAF}V600E, which has higher specificity than Sanger sequencing. Assay sensitivity was established by the manufacturer at 0.1\%. However, cast-
PCR amplified neither BRAF<sup>V600E</sup> nor BRAF<sup>wild</sup> from DNA of the primary lesion, suggesting that the abundant melanin in the tumour cells, which is known to inhibit PCR, interfered with the reaction (Fig. 1b). Since it was impractical to take biopsies of the metastatic lesions, we analysed cfDNA for the BRAF mutation. DNA was extracted from plasma and serum using the QIAamp Circulating Nucleic Acid Kit (Qiagen, Venlo, The Netherlands), and castPCR was performed using DNA from the plasma and serum. The results showed that BRAF<sup>V600E</sup> comprised 63.2% and 49.8% of the total BRAF isolated from plasma and serum, respectively (Fig. 1c, d). In addition, no BRAF mutation was detected in samples from 5 healthy volunteers. Unfortunately, the general condition of the patient declined rapidly, and she died before iBRAF could be administered.

**DISCUSSION**

Apopotic and necrotic cancer cells are a key source of cfDNA, harbouring the same genetic alterations present in the primary tumour (4). Detection of BRAF mutations in blood could represent a useful biomarker for non-invasive diagnosis. However, a crucial issue is that blood usually contains only a small amount of tumour-derived DNA. Most PCR methods developed to detect BRAF<sup>V600E</sup> are limited by the presence of a high proportion of wild-type BRAF that interferes with cfDNA mutation assays. Several methods, including BEAMing (Beads, Emulsions, Amplification, and Magnetics) (6), droplet digital PCR (7), and next-generation sequencing (8), have been developed to facilitate mutation analysis from cfDNA in a highly specific and sensitive manner. However, these methods require specialized equipment. castPCR requires no specific instruments except a real-time PCR system, and is able to detect and quantitate rare mutations in samples containing large amounts of wild-type DNA. The high sensitivity of this method suggests that analysis of cfDNA isolated from blood for the BRAF<sup>V600E</sup> mutation could help to identify those patients with melanoma who would benefit from iBRAF therapy.

The case described here shows that cfDNA is useful for identifying mutations in melanoma with regression, in which melanoma cells have disappeared or become reduced in number and have been replaced by pigment-laden macrophages with inflammatory infiltration. Regression in primary melanoma occurs in 10–35% of cases (9). Sanger analysis requires a tumour cell content of at least 40–50% to produce accurate results (10); analysis using samples with lower numbers of tumour cells can result in a failure to detect BRAF mutation and lead to inadequate treatment. In summary, in cases of melanoma with extensive regression, it may be beneficial to assess BRAF mutations in the blood as well as in the primary lesion.
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