Successful Therapeutic Use of Targeted Narrow-band Ultraviolet B Therapy for Refractory Hailey-Hailey Disease
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Hailey-Hailey disease (HHD) is an autosomal dominant inherited disorder characterized by suprabasal acantholysis of the epidermis. In patients with HHD, itch and pain are common, with recurrent erosive erythema predominantly on the flexural areas, and quality of life may be reduced (1, 2). Treatment recommendations include the avoidance of skin friction and retention of a dry environment in the flexural area. Topical corticosteroids are usually effective only with concomitant use of antibacterial and/or antifungal agents. In some cases, however, those topical treatments may have no beneficial effect. Several new therapeutic approaches have been reported to be effective, although they lack an adequate evidence base obtained from controlled trials (3–7). While ultraviolet (UV) light may induce acantholysis in the epidermis in patients with HHD, previous investigators have been successful with the use of phototherapy (8). However, a major drawback of this treatment is the anatomical difficulty of full irradiation in the flexural area. Thus, a novel approach using Excimer irradiation, has been tested.

CASE REPORT
In July 2010, a 40-year-old man first experienced itching and burning sensation of the genitoperineal area. In October 2010, topical corticosteroid twice daily was started, resulting in some improvement for a short period. In December 2010, oral prednisone, 10 mg daily, was added with no efficacy. In February 2011, oral etretinate 20 mg daily was added, resulting in no beneficial response. The skin lesions were confined to the flexural areas of the inguinal, perineal and perianal areas, and caused intractable exercise-induced pain, which interfered with his daily physical activity. The score on a 100-mm visual analogue pain intensity scale (VAS) was 82.

In March 2011, the patient underwent targeted narrow-band UVB therapy using the VTRAC Excimer Lamp system (PhotoMedex, Montgomeryville, PA, USA), for the purpose of resolving the weak point of the conventional UV irradiation system. This system, using a handheld instrument, enables the physician to treat the recalcitrant lesions on flexural or folded surfaces. The minimum erythematous dose (MED) was 300 mJ/cm². The therapy was performed 2–3 times a week at an initial irradiation dose of 100 mJ/cm². The dose was increased by 20 mJ/cm² at each visit, and reached 200 mJ/cm² 8 weeks after the start of treatment. In August 2011 (the summer season), the cumulative fluorescent dose was 7,850 mJ/cm², and erythemas were reduced considerably, with relief of exercise-induced pain of the flexural areas (Fig. 2A). A skin biopsy was then performed to verify the efficacy of the therapy histopathologically. Epidermal acanthosis and dermal inflammatory infiltrates were significantly reduced after treatment (Fig. 2B). After 8 months of treatment, the frequency of irradiation was successfully reduced to every second week, with no exacerbation of HHD, and the score on the 100-mm VAS was markedly decreased to 5, a reduction of 94%.
DISCUSSION

HHD is a recurrent erosive erythema occurring predominantly in flexural areas, with an autosomal dominant inheritance caused by mutation of ATP2C1 (OMIM 604384) localized on chromosome 3q22.1, which encodes the human secretory-pathway Ca^{2+}/Mn^{2+}-ATPase isoform 1 (hSPCA1) (9, 10). The skin manifestations usually occur in the third or fourth decade of life (1). Histopathological features are characterized by suprabasal acantholysis with various degrees of acanthosis and scattered dyskeratotic keratinocytes in the epidermis. In our case, topical treatment including corticosteroid and antifungal agent was not effective, with the patient experiencing progressive pain in the groin area. Oral prednisone and etretinate had no beneficial effect. Thereafter, excimer light therapy provided a favourable clinical course, with significant decrease in epidermal acanthosis and dermal infiltrating lymphocytes histopathologically. Even in summer, the disease was well-controlled by the therapy, with no recurrence. Usually, UV exacerbates HHD, and the expression of ATP2C1 mRNA in cultured normal human keratinocytes was reported to be suppressed immediately after exposure to UVB (11). However, the impairment of the adhesion of cultured keratinocytes from HHD patients induced by UVB irradiation has not proved to be more pronounced than in normal keratinocytes (12). Clinically, phototherapies have been reported as effective in some patients with refractory HHD (8). In psoriasis, the major phototherapeutic effect of topical UV irradiation is thought to be the induction of apoptosis in the lesional infiltrating T cells (13). Also, in our case, dermal inflammatory infiltrates were significantly decreased by the excimer light therapy, with clinical improvement of the disease. This case suggests that targeted narrow-band UVB therapy may be an effective therapy for refractory skin disease of patients with HHD, especially those with lesions in flexural areas.
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