963

# Assessment of the Effect of 3% Diclofenac Sodium on Photodamaged Skin by Means of Reflectance Confocal Microscopy

Gonzalo SEGURADO-MIRAVALLES<sup>1</sup>, Natalia JIMÉNEZ-GÓMEZ<sup>1</sup>, Oscar MUÑOZ MORENO-ARRONES<sup>1</sup>, Ivette ALARCÓN-SALAZAR<sup>1</sup>, Adrián ALEGRE-SÁNCHEZ<sup>1</sup>, David SACEDA-CORRALO<sup>1</sup>, Pedro JAÉN-OLASOLO<sup>1</sup> and Salvador GONZÁLEZ-RODRÍGUEZ<sup>1-3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Dermatology Department, Ramon y Cajal Hospital, <sup>2</sup>Department of Medicine and Medical Specialities, Universidad de Alcalá de Henares, Madrid, Spain, and <sup>3</sup>Dermatology Service, Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Centre, New York, USA

Treatment of actinic keratosis with 3% diclofenac sodium w/w in hyaluronic acid is associated with a concomitant improvement in signs of photodamaged skin. However this effect has not yet been examined in depth. Twenty patients with actinic keratosis and signs of photodamaged skin were studied. They received treatment with diclofenac sodium w/w in hvaluronic acid for 2 months. Clinical and reflectance confocal microscopy assessment on signs of photodamaged skin were performed. Regarding reflectance confocal microscopy, the most common descriptors were: irregular honevcomb pattern in 18/20 patients (90%), mottled pigmentation in 17/20 (85%), coarse collagen structures in all patients, and huddled collagen and curled bright structures in 16/20 (77.8%). After treatment, significant improvement in clinical parameters: irregular pigmentation and coarseness, and confocal parameters: irregular honeycomb pattern and mottled pigmentation, were noted. Reflectance confocal microscopy is a useful tool in monitoring changes in photodamaged skin after treatment. The use of diclofenac sodium w/w in hvaluronic acid is associated with an improvement in some clinical and reflectance confocal microscopy parameters of photodamaged skin.

*Key words:* actinic keratosis; diclofenac sodium; honeycomb pattern; mottled pigmentation; photodamaged skin; reflectance confocal microscopy.

Accepted May 29, 2018; Epub ahead of print Jun 1, 2018

Acta Derm Venereol 2018; 98: 963-969.

*Corr:* Gonzalo Segurado-Miravalles, Dermatology Department, Ramon y Cajal Hospital, Carretera Colmenar Viejo km 9.100, ES-28034 Madrid, Spain. E-mail: gonzalo.segurado.miravalles@gmail.com

Chronic ultraviolet (UV) exposure leads to changes in keratinocytes, melanocytes and connective tissue of the skin on sun-exposed areas. These modifications include keratinocyte atypia, increased melanocyte density, increased epidermal melanin and deposition of elastotic material in the superficial dermis. This photodamage results in wrinkling, pigment irregularities, coarseness and skin neoplasms (1, 2).

Actinic keratosis (AK) is commonly treated with 3% diclofenac sodium w/w in hyaluronic acid (DSHA) (3). It has been proven to be an effective and safe therapy over the years (4). In addition, an improvement has been reported in the cosmetic appearance of the surrounding

## SIGNIFICANCE

Chronic ultraviolet exposure causes changes over keratinocytes, melanocytes and connective tissue of the skin on sun-exposed areas, including keratinocyte atypia, increased melanocyte density, increased epidermal melanin and, deposition of elastotic material in superficial dermis. This photodamage results in wrinkling, pigment irregularities, coarseness and skin neoplasms. Treatment with 3% diclofenac sodium w/w in hyaluronic acid is associated with an improvement in irregular pigmentation, coarseness, keratinocyte atypia and mottled pigmentation. Therefore, treatment with 3% diclofenac sodium associates an improvement in signs of photodamaged skin.

skin after treating AK (5). This is probably in relation to a decrease in photoaged skin signs.

In vivo reflectance confocal microscopy (RCM) is a non-invasive technique that enables real-time imaging of superficial layers of the skin at a quasi-histologic resolution. By means of RCM, the different skin structures can be visualised up to a depth of 300 µm, that usually encompasses the epidermis and superficial part of the dermis (6). Thus, we were able to assess these layers at a cellular level without performing an invasive biopsy. Confocal features of AK have been widely studied (6–10) and photodamaged skin and skin ageing have also been characterised (11-14). In addition, it has also turned out to be useful for monitoring the effectiveness of several treatments in AK (15–20) due to its non-invasive nature. Nevertheless, to the best of our knowledge, it has never been used in characterising the effect of a treatment on the signs of photodamaged skin.

The purpose of this study is to determine whether RCM is able to characterise the clinical changes induced by DSHA in photodamaged skin in patients with AK.

# **MATERIAL AND METHODS**

#### Study population

This single-centre prospective study enrolled patients with at least one clinical and dermoscopically diagnosed AK on the forehead or bald scalp and clinical signs of photodamage surrounding AK (irregular pigmentation, fine surface lines or coarseness). The study was conducted from June 2016 to October 2016. The Clinical Research Ethics Committee at Ramón y Cajal University Hospital approved this study prior to patient recruitment (Protocol Number 027/16). Written informed consent was obtained from each patient before evaluation. Exclusion criteria were contraindications for treatment, previous history of nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs or salicylates hypersensitivity. Epidemiological data concerning sex, age, skin phototype and history of previous skin cancer were recorded for all recruited subjects.

#### Treatment protocol

DSHA was applied twice a day for 2 months - minimum treatment duration to obtain response - to AK and adjacent photodamaged areas. Patients were reevaluated one month after completing treatment to avoid disturbance from possible inflammation following treatment.

#### Clinical evaluation

All patients were clinically and dermoscopically examined for the presence of AK and signs of photodamage. Clinical photographs (Canon G15, Canon, Tokyo, Japan) of the skin being treated were taken before and after treatment. Photodamage change was clinically assessed by the following signs: irregular pigmentation, fine surface lines and coarseness (19). They were graded from 0 (absence) to 3 (severe) before and after treatment. The assessment was independently performed retrospectively by two evaluators (NJG and GSM). Images before and after treatment were comparatively assessed. In case of disagreement, images were jointly assessed to come to an agreement. An overall assessment was performed, without defining an exact clinical area to assess.

#### Reflectance confocal microscopy evaluation

RCM was evaluated with a commercially available RCM device (VivaScope 1500, MAVIG GmbH, Munich, Germany). RCM images were acquired in photodamaged skin on the forehead or bald scalp. Two evaluations on the same site were done, one prior to treatment and another one month after treatment was completed. The presence of AK in the evaluated area was ruled out clinically and dermoscopically. Four VivaBlock (image mosaic) of 6 mm X 6 mm were performed (Stratum corneum, stratum spinosum, dermo-epidermal junction and dermis); one VivaStack (images taken following a column downwards) with a step of 3.05 µm up to 121.92 µm in depth was taken from the centre of the field, to determine epidermal thickness.

#### Confocal descriptors

Assessment was carried out by mean of the following parameters, most of them previously used by Wurm et al. (11) and Longo et al. (12) for skin ageing. Epidermal thickness, furrows aspect, irregular honeycomb pattern and mottled pigmentation were evaluated at the epidermis. Polycyclic papillary contours were assessed at the dermo-epidermal junction level. At the papillary dermis, the morphology of collagen bundles was evaluated. These parameters, their definition and values are summarised in Table I. The assessment was independently carried out retrospectively by two different evaluators (NJG and GSM). Images before and after treatment were comparatively assessed. In case of disagreement, images were jointly assessed to reach an agreement. Mean epidermal thickness value between both observers was calculated for the first and second consultations.

#### Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using a statistical software package (SPSS version 24.0.0.0, SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Cohen kappa statistics were assessed using Cohen kappa statistics and 95% confidence interval to evaluate interobserver concordance regarding clinical and confocal parameters. For this purpose, categorical variables with distributed values in more than 2 categories were summarized in only 2 categories. As for clinical descriptors. the values "absence and mild" and "moderate and severe" were respectively considered as a single category. Regarding confocal descriptors, they were adjusted as "Extension affecting less than 10%" and "Extension affecting more than 10%".

Mean and standard deviation (SD) were measured for epidermal thickness. Statistically significant differences between measurements before and after treatment were calculated using marginal homogeneity test for categorical variables with more than 2 categories and Wilcoxon signed-rank test for continuous variables. p < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. In the case of the item "disarranged pattern", there were insufficient valid cases to perform a statistical test. Regarding the item "coarse collagen structures", as the values were distributed between two categories, a McNemar test was also performed.

## RESULTS

### Epidemiology

Twenty patients were enrolled in the study, 18 men and 2 women, with a mean age of 78.4 years (range 69-87 vears). Phototype II was the most common among participants (65%), followed by phototype III (20%) and I (15%). As for history of previous skin cancer, 11 patients (55%) had at least one prior episode of skin cancer. All of them (55%) had basal cell carcinoma (BCC), 3 patients

Table I. Confocal parameters measured, definition and possible values

Skin location	Feature	Definition	Values		
Epidermis	Epidermal thickness	Calculated with Vivastack mode. Distance from first image revealing honeycomb pattern to first visualised collagen fibre at reticular dermis	Microns		
	Furrow aspects	Furrow: Dark linear furrows between group of keratinocytes at the level of the stratum corneum	Rhomboidal: Intersecting furrows Linear: Mostly parallel furrows, rarely intersecting Non-visible		
	Irregular honeycomb pattern	Variable size and shape of keratinocytes at the level of the stratum spinosum	0: Absent; 1: <10%; 2: 10-50%; 3: >50%		
	Disarranged pattern	Complete loss of the honeycomb pattern	0: Absent; 1: <10%; 2: 10-50%; 3: >50%		
	Mottled pigmentation	Highly refractive keratinocytes within honeycomb pattern	0: Absent; 1: <10%; 2: 10-50%; 3: >50%		
Dermo-epidermal Polycyclic papillary contours junction		Bulbous projections and cords forming ring-like structures	0: Absent; 1: <10%; 2: 10-50%; 3: >50%		
Papillary dermis	Thin reticulated collagen	Bright thin collagen fibres forming a weblike pattern	0: Absent; 1: <10%; 2: 10-50%; 3: >50%		
	Coarse collagen structures	Coarse thick collagen fibres with tendency to be packed	0: Absent; 1: <10%; 2: 10-50%; 3: >50%		
	Huddled collagen	Blotches of amorphous collagen	0: Absent; 1: <10%; 2: 10-50%; 3: >50%		
	Curled bright structures	Highly refractive short wavy fibres	0: Absent; 1: <10%; 2: 10-50%; 3: >50%		

(15%) malignant melanoma (MM) and 2 patients (10%) squamous cell carcinoma (SCC).

Eighteen patients completed the treatment protocol. Two patients (10%) stopped using DSHA due to a local reaction after application, consisting of moderate itching and redness when applied. In one of them symptoms arose from the first day and it was only used for 5 days. In the other patient irritation appeared after several days of treatment, and it was discontinued after two weeks. Symptoms resolved in less than a week after treatment stopped.

## Clinical assessment

Regarding the clinical assessment of photoaging signs: irregular pigmentation, fine surface lines and coarseness, Table II shows the basal and post-treatment distribution of each feature including in patients who completed the treatment, and the *p*-value for each item.

A substantial improvement in irregular pigmentation and coarseness after treatment can be observed. For the fine surface lines item, no difference after treatment was found. The differences in irregular pigmentation and coarseness were statistically significant (p=0.002 and 0.014 respectively). Concerning the item fine surface lines no statistically significant difference was detected (Fig. 1).

# Clinical assessment reproducibility

Reproducibility was tested by comparing concordance between both observers. Concordance was "good"

Table II. Confocal values and p-value before and after treatment for every confocal descriptor, and interobserver agreement (K-value) for each confocal parameter and its p-value

	Value	Before treatment	After treatment	<i>p</i> -value	K-value	K <i>p</i> -value
Clinical parameters						
Irregular pigmentation	Absence	0 (0)	0 (0)	0.002	0.70	< 0.001
	Mild	4 (22.2)	13 (72.2)			
	Moderate	13 (72.2)	5 (27.8)			
	Severe	1 (5.6)	0(0)			
Fine surface lines	Absence	3 (16.7)	3 (16.7)	0.317	0.66	< 0.001
	Mild	9 (50)	10 (55.6)			
	Moderate	6 (33.3)	5 (27.8)			
	Severe	0 (0)	0 (0)			
Coarseness	Absence	0 (0)	1 (5.6)	0.014	0.58	< 0.001
	Mild	11 (61.1)	14 (77.8)			
	Moderate	6 (33.3)	3 (16.7)			
	Severe	1 (5.6)	0 (0)			
Confocal parameters						
Epidermal thickness, µm	Mean±SD	33.13±4.84	32.53±3.73	0.801		
Furrow aspect	Rhomboidal	2 (11.1)	2 (11.1)	0.317	0.588	< 0.001
	Linear	9 (50)	8 (44.4)			
	Both types	7 (38.9)	8 (44.4)			
Irregular honeycomb pattern	Absent	1 (5.6)	7 (38.9)	0.001	0.822	< 0.001
	<10%	10 (55.6)	11 (61.1)			
	10-50%	7 (38.9)	0 (0)			
	>50%	0 (0)	0 (0)			
Disarranged pattern	Absent	14 (77.8)	18(0)	Insufficient valid cases	0.769	0.001
5 1	<10%	4 (22.2)	0 (0)			
	10-50%	0 (0)	0(0)			
	>50%	0 (0)	0 (0)			
Mottled pigmentation	Absent	2 (11.1)	7 (38.9)	0.008	0.684	< 0.001
	<10%	8 (44.4)	8 (44.4)			
	10-50%	8 (44.4)	3 (16.7)			
	>50%	0 (0)	0 (0)			
Polycyclic papillary contours	Absent	8 (44.4)	8 (44.4)	1	0.874	< 0.001
.,,,,.,.,	<10%	8 (44.4)	8 (44.4)			
	10-50%	2 (11.1)	2 (11.1)			
	>50%	0 (0)	0 (0)			
Thin reticulated collagen	Absent	8 (44.4)	5 (27.8)	0.739	0.496	< 0.001
	<10%	8 (44.4)	6 (33.3)			
	10-50%	2 (11.1)	6 (33.3)			
	>50%	0 (0)	1 (5.6)			
Coarse collagen structures	Absent	0 (0)	0 (0)	0.180	0.383	0.012
course conagen stractures	<10%	0 (0)	0 (0)	0.100	0.000	0.012
	10-50%	8 (44.4)	5 (27.8)			
	>50%	10 (55.6)	13 (72.2)			
Huddled collagen	Absent	4 (22.2)	2 (11.1)	0.705	0.486	< 0.001
induled condgen	<10%	1 (5.6)	5 (27.8)	0.703	0.100	0.001
	10-50%	11 (61.1)	8 (44.4)			
	>50%	2 (11.1)	3 (16.7)			
Curled bright structures	Absent	4 (22.2)	3 (16.7)	0.414	0.894	< 0.001
carrea bright structures	<10%	6 (33.3)	10 (55.6)	0.117	5.054	<0.001
	10-50%	8 (44.4)	5 (27.8)			
	>50%	0 (0)	0 (0)			



Fig. 1. Patients before (a and c) and after treatment (b and d). We may appreciate a clinical improvement due to reduction in irregular pigmentation and coarseness. Circles correspond to the areas assessed by means of reflectance confocal microscopy.

(K=0.61-0.80) for irregular pigmentation (K=0.70)and fine surface lines (K=0.66). It was "moderate" (K=0.41-0.60) for the parameter coarseness (K=0.58). *p*-value was statistically significant for all clinical items.

# Confocal descriptors

Regarding confocal descriptors Table II depicts the distribution of each confocal parameter before and after treatment in patients who completed the protocol. The differences before and after treatment were statistically significant for the following parameters: irregular honeycomb pattern (p=0.001) (Fig. 2) and mottled pig-

mentation (p=0.008) (Fig. 3). No statistically significant differences in the other parameters were found.

### Confocal descriptors reproducibility

Regarding concordance between observers in confocal features, it was "very good" (K=0.81-1.00) for irregular honeycomb pattern (K=0.82), polycyclic papillary contours (K=0.87) and curled bright structures (K=0.89). Reproducibility was "good" (K=0.61-0.80) for the items mottled pigmentation (K = 0.68) and disarranged pattern (K=0.77). Agreement was "moderate" (K=0.41-0.60)for furrow aspect (K=0.59), thin reticulated collagen



Fig. 2. Irregular honeycomb pattern before (a: 1.5 mm x 1 mm and c: 1.2 mm x 0.75 mm) and after treatment (b: 1.6 mm x 1 mm and d: -1.7 mm x 0.75 mm). A remarkable improvement after treatment may be seen. White lines correspond to 500 um.



Fig. 3. Mottled pigmentation (red asterisks) before (a: 2 mm x 1.5 mm and c: -0.7 mm x 0.5 mm-) and after treatment (b: 2 mm x 1.5 mm and d: 0.7 mm x 0.5 mm). A reduction in pigmented keratinocytes (refractile cells) may be observed. White lines correspond to 500  $\mu$ m.

(K=0.50) and huddled collagen (K=0.49). Finally, we observed "poor" (0.21-0.40) concordance in coarse collagen structures (K=0.38).

# DISCUSSION

The use of RCM as a non-invasive tool for monitoring treatment efficacy is not new. Due to its non-invasive nature, RCM enables the clinician to follow up changes in the same skin over time. In this field RCM is useful for monitoring AK (15–20) and BCC (21, 22) treatment. However, to the best of our knowledge, the dynamic changes in confocal parameters of skin photodamage after therapeutic intervention have never been reported.

Overall, our basal confocal findings are in line with previous studies that report photodamage and skin ageing (11-13). Considering the mean age of our patients and the photo-exposed site chosen, our patients have probably been affected by extrinsic and intrinsic ageing (23). Nevertheless, specific confocal descriptors for each type of skin ageing have not been clearly established. Wurm et al. (11) studied differences in confocal features according to sun exposure and age. They found fewer intersecting furrows and greater width of the furrows. honeycomb pattern irregularity, mottled pigmentation, irregularity of papillary rings, loss of thin collagen fibres and development of huddled collagen as confocal parameters of intrinsic ageing aggravated by sun exposure. We also found linear furrows as the most common type and irregular honeycomb pattern and mottled pigmentation in 95% and 85% of all patients included, respectively. Thin reticulated collagen extension was less than 10% in 90% of patients and coarse and huddled collagen were the most frequent types. Longo et al. (12) reported confocal changes on the cheek skin in different age groups. All our patients would be encompassed in age group V (>65 years) of their study, which included 10 patients. Overall, our confocal features are in line with their findings, although in our 20 patients we detected a higher percentage of mottled pigmentation affecting more than 10% of the area (40% vs. 20%) and polycyclic papillary contours (55% vs. 30%) and a lower amount of curled bright fibres (80% vs. 100%). These differences could be accounted for by the small sample size in both groups, different body site of the studies or epidemiological differences between groups, since our group was mainly comprised of men (90%) and their group was constituted by women.

We appreciated a reduction in concordance between observers in relation to thin reticulated collagen, coarse collagen structures and huddled collagen. As Longo et al. (12) outlined, dermal collagen gradually degenerates over a continuum spectrum from thin reticulated collagen to coarse collagen structures and huddled collagen. Therefore, there may be some cases in photodamaged skin in which it is difficult to specify the extension of each type of collagen as they may overlap.

Global cosmetic improvement after DSHA has previously been reported (5). In line with these findings, we also noted a marked clinical improvement in irregular pigmentation, probably in accordance with the significant improvement in mottled pigmentation observed by RCM. The mechanism of action of DSHA is not fully understood; it blocks cyclo-oxygenase 2 (COX-2) reducing angiogenesis and inducing apoptosis (24). It is also known that keratinocytes release prostaglandins (PG) through PAR-2 (protease-activated receptor 2), promoting melanocyte dendricity and transfer of melanosomes to keratinocytes (25). COX has also been implicated in the PAR-2 pathway (26). We hypothesise that COX inhibition of DSHA (24) over keratinocytes would reduce PG release mediated through PAR-2, thus decreasing PG-induced melanocyte dendricity and, consequently, reducing transfer of melanin to keratinocytes, which would decrease mottled pigmentation in RCM exploration corresponding to a decreased pigmentation of epidermal keratinocytes. However, further studies are needed to confirm this hypothesis.

ActaDV

The improvement in the coarseness of the skin may be accounted for by the active substance (DSHA) or by the hydration associated with the medicine vehicle (hyaluronic acid). Studies comparing drug and vehicle would be needed to elucidate this.

Concerning the significant reduction in honeycomb irregularity, Malvehy et al (16) previously reported a significant decrease in atypia of the honeycomb pattern in clinical and subclinical AK following DSHA treatment. They also reported an improvement in dermal collagen in terms of replacement of huddles of collagen and curled fibres by aligned thinner fibres secondary to DSHA that we have not observed. This could be accounted for by the shorter duration of treatment in our study, since changes in dermal collagen may take longer. Confocal differences between subclinical AK (cancerisation field) and photodamaged skin is moot. For some authors (15, 16), subclinical AK is defined as the finding of confocal features of AK, mainly atypical honeycomb pattern, without visible clinical lesion. In both studies (15, 16) subclinical AK was found surrounding AK. However, atypical honeycomb pattern and even epidermal disarray have been reported in a considerable percentage of patients in photodamaged and aged skin by other authors (11–14). According to the subclinical AK definition (15, 16), one could argue that in our study we have dealt with subclinical AK instead of photodamaged skin, as irregular honeycomb pattern was observed in most of our patients. However, we have also appreciated other accompanying confocal features, such as linear furrow pattern, mottled pigmentation and polycyclic papillary contours, which are more defining features of photodamaged and aged skin rather than AK. According to the literature (11-16), we propose to take other confocal parameters into account such as furrow aspect, mottled pigmentation and irregularity of papillary rings, in addition to irregular honeycomb pattern when considering classification of normally appearing skin with irregular honeycomb pattern as photodamaged or subclinical AK.

Limitations of our study are the small sample size, the absence of a control group, the inability of RCM to assess changes in the reticular dermis, absence of histopathological correlation. Readers were not blinded for images corresponding to before and after treatment.

In conclusion, RCM is a valuable tool for monitoring treatment effects on signs of photodamaged skin. In addition, the use of DSHA for photodamaged skin leads to an improvement in clinical irregular pigmentation and coarseness and reduces irregular honeycomb pattern and mottled pigmentation in the epidermis.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

*Funding sources:* This study was partially funded by Almirall S.A. *Conflict of interest:* GSM and OMM-A have been remunerated by Almirall for attending national conferences. NJG has been paid as

a consultant by Almirall on issues unrelated to the study product. IAS worked as a scientific advisor for Almirall.

#### REFERENCES

- Baillie L, Askew D, Douglas N, Soyer HP. Strategies for assessing the degree of photodamage to skin: a systematic review of the literature. Br J Dermatol 2011; 165: 735–742.
- Yaar M, Gilchrest BA. Ageing and photoageing of keratinocytes and melanocytes. Clin Exp Dermatol 2001; 26: 583–591.
- Rivers JK, McLean DI. An open study to assess the efficacy and safety of topical 3% diclofenac in a 2.5% hyaluronic acid gel for the treatment of actinic keratoses. Arch Dermatol 1997; 133: 1239–1242.
- Martin GM, Stockfleth E. Diclofenac sodium 3% gel for the management of actinic keratosis: 10+ years of cumulative evidence of efficacy and safety. J Drugs Dermatol 2012; 11: 600–608.
- Zane C, Facchinetti E, Rossi MT, Specchia C, Calzavara-Pinton PG. A randomized clinical trial of photodynamic therapy with methyl aminolaevulinate vs. diclofenac 3% plus hyaluronic acid gel for the treatment of multiple actinic keratoses of the face and scalp. Br J Dermatol 2014; 170: 1143–1150.
- Aghassi D, Anderson RR, González S. Confocal laser microscopic imaging of actinic keratoses in vivo: a preliminary report. J Am Acad Dermatol 2000; 43: 42–48.
- Ulrich M, Maltusch A, Röwert-Huber J, González S, Sterry W, Stockfleth E, Astner S. Actinic keratoses: non-invasive diagnosis for field cancerisation. Br J Dermatol 2007; 156: 13–17.
- Ulrich M, Maltusch A, Rius-Diaz F, Röwert-Huber J, González S, Sterry W, et al. Clinical applicability of in vivo reflectance confocal microscopy for the diagnosis of actinic keratoses. Dermatol Surg 2008; 34: 610–619.
- Rishpon A, Kim N, Scope A, Porges L, Oliviero MC, Braun RP, et al. Reflectance confocal microscopy criteria for squamous cell carcinomas and actinic keratoses. Arch Dermatol 2009; 145: 766–772.
- Pellacani G, Ulrich M, Casari A, Prow TW, Cannillo F, Benati E, et al. Grading keratinocyte atypia in actinic keratosis: a correlation of reflectance confocal microscopy and histopathology. J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol 2015; 29: 2216–2221.
- Wurm EM, Longo C, Curchin C, Soyer HP, Prow TW, Pellacani G. In vivo assessment of chronological ageing and photoageing in forearm skin using reflectance confocal microscopy. Br J Dermatol 2012; 167: 270–279.
- Longo C, Casari A, Beretti F, Cesinaro AM, Pellacani G. Skin aging: in vivo microscopic assessment of epidermal and dermal changes by means of confocal microscopy. J Am Acad Dermatol 2013; 68: e73–82.
- Tan JM, Lambie D, Sinnya S, Sahebian A, Soyer HP, Prow TW, Ardigò M. Histopathology and reflectance confocal microscopy features of photodamaged skin and actinic keratosis. J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol 2016; 30: 1901–1911.
- Haytoglu NS, Gurel MS, Erdemir A, Falay T, Dolgun A, Haytoglu TG. Assessment of skin photoaging with reflectance confocal microscopy. Skin Res Technol 2014; 20: 363–372.
- Ulrich M, Krueger-Corcoran D, Roewert-Huber J, Sterry W, Stockfleth E, Astner S. Reflectance confocal microscopy for noninvasive monitoring of therapy and detection of subclinical actinic keratoses. Dermatology 2010; 220: 15–24.
- Malvehy J, Roldán-Marín R, Iglesias-García P, Díaz A, Puig S. Monitoring treatment of field cancerisation with 3% diclofenac sodium 2.5% hyaluronic acid by reflectance confocal microscopy: a histologic correlation. Acta Derm Venereol 2015; 95: 45–50.
- Malvehy J, Alarcon I, Montoya J, Rodríguez-Azeredo R, Puig S. Treatment monitoring of 0.5% 5-fluorouracil and 10% salicylic acid in clinical and subclinical actinic keratoses with the combination of optical coherence tomography and reflectance confocal microscopy. J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol 2016; 30: 258–265.
- Longo C, Borsari S, Benati E, Moscarella E, Alfano R, Argenziano G. Dermoscopy and reflectance confocal micros-

copy for monitoring the treatment of actinic keratosis with IngenolMebutate gel: report of two cases. Dermatol Ther 2016; 6: 81-87.

- 19. Seyed Jafari SM, Timchik T, Hunger RE. In vivo confocal microscopy efficacy assessment of daylight photodynamic therapy in actinic keratosis patients. Br J Dermatol 2016; 175: 375-381.
- 20. Ulrich M, Reinhold U, Falqués M, Rodriguez Azeredo R, Stockfleth E. Use of reflectance confocal microscopy to evaluate 5-fluorouracil 0.5%/salicylic acid 10% in the fielddirected treatment of subclinical lesions of actinic keratosis: subanalysis of a Phase III, randomized, double-blind, vehicle-controlled trial. J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol 2018; 32: 390-396.
- 21. Longo C, Casari A, Pepe P, Moscarella E, Zalaudek I, Argenziano G, Pellacani G. Confocal microscopy insights into the treatment and cellular immune response of basal cell carcinoma to photodynamic therapy. Dermatology 2012; 225: 264-270.
- 22. Ahlgrimm-Siess V, Horn M, Koller S, Ludwig R, Gerger A, Hofmann-Wellenhof R. Monitoring efficacy of cryotherapy for superficial basal cell carcinomas with in vivo reflectance

confocal microscopy: a preliminary study. J Dermatol Sci 2009; 53: 60-64.

- 23. Yaar M, Gilchrest BA. Aging of skin. In: Goldsmith LA, Katz SI, Gilchrest BA, Paller AS, Leffell DJ, Wolff K, eds. Fitzpatrick's Dermatology in General Medicine, 8th edn, Vol. 1. New York: McGraw-Hill, 2012: 1213-1226.
- 24. Rodust PM, Fecker LF, Stockfleth E, Eberle J. Activation of mitochondrial apoptosis pathways in cutaneous squamous cell carcinoma cells by diclofenac/hyaluronic acid is related to upregulation of Bad as well as downregulation of Mcl-1 and Bcl-w. Exp Dermatol 2012; 21: 520-525.
- 25. Scott G, Leopardi S, Printup S, Malhi N, Seiberg M, Lapoint R. Proteinase-activated receptor-2 stimulates prostaglandin production in keratinocytes: analysis of prostaglandin receptors on human melanocytes and effects of PGE2 and PGF2alpha on melanocyte dendricity. J Invest Dermatol 2004; 122: 1214-1224.
- 26. Fujii N, McNeely BD, Zhang SY, Abdellaoui YC, Danguah MO, Kenny GP. Activation of protease-activated receptor 2 mediates cutaneous vasodilatation but not sweating: roles of nitric oxide synthase and cyclo-oxygenase. Exp Physiol 2017; 102: 265-272.