ActaDV

Acta Dermato-Venereologica

ActaDV

Minimal Clinically Important Difference in Chronic Pruritus Appears to be Dependent on Baseline Itch Severity

Claudia RIEPE¹, Nani OSADA¹, Adam REICH², Matthias AUGUSTIN³, Claudia ZEIDLER¹ and Sonja STÄNDER^{1*} ¹Department of Dermatology and Center for Chronic Pruritus, University Hospital Münster, Von-Esmarch-Strasse 58, DE-48149 Münster, Germany, ²Department of Dermatology, University of Rzeszow, Rzeszow, Poland, and ³CVderm, German Center for Health Services Research in Dermatology, Institute for Health Services Research in Dermatology and Nursing, University Medical Center Hamburg-Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany. *E-mail: sonja.staender@uni-muenster.de

Accepted Oct 3, 2019; E-published Oct 3, 2019

The minimal clinically important difference (MCID) (1) is the smallest beneficial difference perceived by patients on a scale used for scoring (2, 3). Making a systematic investigation of MCID is demanding because it may depend on the method of calculation, response to an instrument, anchor measure reliability, baseline symptom severity, age, socioeconomic status, education, and treatment (3–5).

In a previous study (6), we investigated the overall MCID in chronic pruritus using a visual analogue scale (VAS) and numeric rating scale (NRS). It was found that the MCID corresponded to a 2–3-point decrease in both scales, with no statistically significant difference between them. The second part of the study evaluated the variation in MCID according to baseline pruritus. This study also assessed the influence of sex, pruritus aetiology, skin condition, duration, localization and quality of pruritus on MCID.

METHODS

The detailed study design is described elsewhere (6). All patients (n=192) were asked to rate their mean pruritus intensity within 24 h (VAS24h and NRS24h), at baseline (V1), after 5–14 days (V2), and after 28–42 days (V3). At each visit, patients also reported the average (VAS_{average}) and maximum (VAS_{max}) pruritus intensity within the previous 4 weeks, as well as completed the Dermatology Life Quality Index (DLQI) and Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS-A, HADS-D). At V2 and V3, patients completed a MCID questionnaire with 2 questions: (1) Did your pruritus change in comparison with the first visit (yes/no)? (2) If yes, how did your pruritus change ('`greatly worsened", '`worsened", '`improved", '`highly improved", '`all gone")? The category '`improved" was





the anchor variable used for MCID calculations for follow-up visits vs. baseline (V1–V2 and V1–V3). Among improved patients, MCID was calculated for 4 VAS_{24h} and NRS_{24h} pruritus severity categories (1: 0–2.9=mild, 2: 3–6.9=moderate, 3: 7–8.9=severe, 4: 9–10=very severe pruritus) and for 2 additional VAS_{24h} categories, i.e. <7 (weak to moderate pruritus) and \geq 7 (severe to very severe pruritus).

The statistical analysis was performed using SPSS V22.0 for Windows. All data were evaluated descriptively and delineated with standard statistical measures. Before statistical testing, a Kolmogorov-Smirnov test was used to analyse variable distribution. For abnormally distributed samples, a non-parametric test was used (Mann-Whitney for independent and Wilcoxon test for dependent variables between 2 subgroups; Kruskal-Wallis for independent variables between more than 2 subgroups). Multiple pairwise comparisons were performed using the Dunn procedure. For normally distributed samples, a parametric test was used (t-test to compare differences between 2 subgroups; analysis of variance (ANOVA) for more than 2 independent subgroups). Multiple pairwise comparisons were performed using the Tukey method. The categorical parameter relationships between 2 independent groups were analysed with an χ^2 and Fisher's exact test, and between 2 related groups via McNemar's test. The statistical significance was defined as p < 0.05.

The study was approved by the local ethics committee (no. 2007-413-f-S) and registered in the German Clinical Trials Register (DRKS) (no. DRKS00005732).

RESULTS

At V2 35.94% (n=69) and 35.42% (n=68) at V3 (mean 33 days) reported an improvement of the pruritus vs. during previous visits. In this "improved" anchor ca-

tegory, the mean \pm SD MCID on VAS_{24h} was 1.40 \pm 2.37 (p<0.001) for V2 vs. V1 (V1–V2) and 1.98 \pm 2.50 (p<0.001) for V3 vs. V1 (V1– V3). The corresponding NRS_{24h} values were 1.41 \pm 2.13 (p<0.001) and 1.83 \pm 2.31 (p<0.001). **Fig. 1** shows the mean MCID for V1–V2 and V1–V3 per severity grade, as rated with the VAS_{24h} and NRS_{24h} (Fig. 1, Table SI¹).

The differences in VAS_{24h} and NRS_{24h} among the severity categories were statistically significant (p < 0.001) at both time periods.

Fig. 2 shows the mean MCID for V1–V2 and V1–V3, as rated with VAS_{24h}, NRS_{24h}, DLQI, HADS-A, and HADS-D in the categories VAS_{24h} <7 and \geq 7. The differences between the categories VAS_{24h} <7 and VAS_{24h} <7 were statistically significant considering both the

ActaDV



Fig. 2. Mean minimal clinically important difference (MCID) for visit 2 vs. visit 1 (V1–V2) and for visit 3 vs. visit 1 (V1–V3) for the average pruritus severity categories in the 24 h preceding the corresponding visit VAS_{24h} <7 and \geq 7, as rated with a visual analogue scale (VAS_{24h}), numeric rating scale (NRS_{24h}), Dermatology Life Quality Index (DLQI) and Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS-A and HADS-D) (+: statistically significant).

VAS_{24h} (p < 0.001) and NRS_{24h} (p < 0.001) in both time periods. The corresponding differences were statistically insignifican for other measured instruments, except the HADS-D at V1–V2 (p=0.029).

The mean MCIDs for the "improved" anchor by sex, International Forum for the Study of Itch (IFSI) clinical group, aetiological category, localization, quality and duration of pruritus in the time periods V1–V2 and V1–V3, as rated by $VAS_{average}$, VAS_{max} , VAS_{24h} and NRS_{24h} , are presented in Tables SII and SIII¹. In both time periods V1-V2 and V1-V3, the sex differences of the mean MCIDs on $\text{VAS}_{\rm 24h}$ and $\text{NRS}_{\rm 24h}$ were insignificant. This was also the case for MCID differences among the 3 IFSI clinical groups, pruritus aetiology, pruritus duration, localization, presence of scratch lesions and pruritus quality. The mean MCID differences were significant between neurological and dermatological categories (VAS_{24h} p=0.006, NRS_{24h} p=0.008), neurological and multifactorial (VAS_{24h} p=0.021, NRS_{24h} p=0.005) and neurological and pruritus of unclear origin on the NRS_{24b} (p=0.048) at V1–V3 (VAS_{24b} overall p=0.013, NRS_{24b} overall p=0.009), with neurological pruritus showing a larger decrease in intensity.

DISCUSSION

The results from the 4 pruritus severity categories revealed that MCID increased alongside the baseline pruritus for both the average pruritus VAS_{24h} and NRS_{24h}. The very severe baseline pruritus (>9) on the VAS_{24h} had to be reduced by at least 4.56 points, and the severe pruritus (7 to <9) by at least 3.65, to be of minimal clinical relevance. In comparison, the moderate baseline pruritus (3 to <7) on VAS_{24h} needed a 1.34 point decrease (Fig. 1, V1–V3). MCID values from the NRS_{24h} were almost

identical to those from the VAS_{24h}. Similarly, at V1–V3, MCID in VAS_{24h} <7 was much smaller (VAS_{24h}=0.92, NRS_{24h}=0.88) than in the severe to very severe pruritus category VAS_{24h} \geq 7 (VAS_{24h}=4.02, NRS_{24h}=3.37). These results are analogous with observations from pain studies, where the mean ± SD MCID for a baseline VAS pain <3.4 was 1.3 ±1.4 and for a baseline VAS pain \geq 6 it was 2.8 ±2.1 (7). Thus, for both pain and pruritus patients, the MCID seems to be dependent on the initial score values. Also, MCID for the DLQI was higher in patients with a VAS_{24h} \geq 7 (3.2–5.1) than those with VAS_{24h} <7 (2.8–4.6), in line with earlier observations of Warlich et al. (8).

Based on earlier pruritus studies (9, 10), we were expecting sex-specific differences in MCID, as rated on VAS_{24h} and NRS_{24h}. However, the subgroup analyses did not confirm this. We

also did not observe significant MCID differences for clinical groups, the presence of scratch lesions, aetiology, localization, quality and pruritus duration. It appeared that the mean MCID on both the VAS_{24h} and NRS_{24h} is hardly susceptible to interference. Only the neurological causes, of which there were few in this study, revealed significantly higher MCID values vs. dermatological, multifactorial and unclear causes. The reason for this is uncertain, and might be related to the presence of more difficult to treat pruritus accompanied by neuropathic sensations (burning, stinging, pain).

The study has limitations. The reported MCID scores are the mean scores of a cohort and the corresponding SD are large. At the same time, the number of patients, especially for the time period V1–V3, was rather small. The anchor "improved" was possibly affected by the recall bias. In contrast, VAS and NRS measurements should be independent from recall, as they represented the actual day (24 h). The pruritus aetiology was categorized according to IFSI classification and disease categories (e.g. dermatological) and was thus not disease-specific (e.g. atopic dermatitis). Although MCID values did not differ in the clinical groups, except for neurological diseases, in the future it is worth performing studies considering certain diseases, age groups, ethnic groups and sex.

In conclusion, the mean MCID for clinical improvement in pruritus, as rated on a VAS and NRS, appears to be dependent on baseline values. Further evaluation in larger patient populations is required to prove its stability.

The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

REFERENCES

- Jaeschke R, Singer J, Guyatt GH. Measurement of health status. Ascertaining the minimal clinically important difference. Control Clin Trials 1989; 10: 407–415.
- McLeod LD, Coon CD, Martin SA, Fehnel SE, Hays RD. Interpreting patient-reported outcome results: US FDA guidance and emerging methods. Expert Rev Pharmacoecon Outcomes

¹https://doi.org/10.2340/00015555-3332

Res 2011; 11: 163-169.

- 3. Koynova D, Luhmann R, Fischer R. A framework for managing the minimal clinically important difference in clinical trials. Ther Innov Regul Sci 2013; 47: 447-454.
- 4. Cook CE. Clinimetrics corner: The minimal clinically important change score (MCID): a necessary pretense. J Man Manip Ther 2008; 16: E82-83.
- 5. Wright A, Hannon J, Hegedus EJ, Kavchak AE. Clinimetrics corner: a closer look at the minimal clinically important difference (MCID). J Man Manip Ther 2012; 20: 160-166.
- 6. Reich A, Riepe C, Anastasiadou Z, Medrek K, Augustin M, Szepietowski JC, et al. Itch assessment with visual analogue scale and numerical rating scale: determination of minimal clinically important difference in chronic itch. Acta Derm Venereol 2016; 96: 978-980.
- 7. Bird SB, Dickson EW. Clinically significant changes in pain along the visual analog scale. Ann Emerg Med 2001; 38: 639-643.
- 8. Warlich B, Fritz F, Osada N, Bruland P, Stumpf A, Schneider G, et al. Health-related quality of life in chronic pruritus: an analysis related to disease etiology, clinical skin conditions and itch intensity. Dermatology 2015; 231: 253-259.
- Ständer S, Stumpf A, Osada N, Wilp S, Chatzigeorgakidis E, Pfleiderer B. Gender differences in chronic pruritus: women present different morbidity, more scratch lesions and higher burden. Br J Dermatol 2013; 168: 1273-1280.
- 10. Stumpf A, Ständer S, Warlich B, Fritz F, Bruland P, Pfleiderer B, et al. Relations between the characteristics and psychological comorbidities of chronic pruritus differ between men and women: women are more anxious than men. Br J Dermatol 2015; 172: 1323-1328.