# Phenotypic Characteristics and Melanoma Thickness in Women

Reza GHIASVAND<sup> $0_1-3$ </sup>, Adele C. GREEN<sup> $0_{4,5}$ </sup>, Torkjel M. SANDANGER<sup> $0_6$ </sup>, Elisabete WEIDERPASS<sup> $0_7$ </sup>, Trude E. ROBSAHM<sup> $0_2$ </sup> and Marit B. VEIERØD<sup> $0_1$ </sup>

<sup>1</sup>Oslo Centre for Biostatistics and Epidemiology, Department of Biostatistics, Institute of Basic Medical Sciences, University of Oslo, <sup>2</sup>Department of Research, Cancer Registry of Norway, <sup>3</sup>Oslo Centre for Biostatistics and Epidemiology, Oslo University Hospital, Oslo, Norway, <sup>4</sup>Department of Population Health, QIMR Berghofer Medical Research Institute, Brisbane, Australia, <sup>5</sup>Cancer Research UK Manchester Institute, University of Manchester, Manchester, UK, <sup>6</sup>Department of Community Medicine, Faculty of Health Sciences, University of Tromsø, The Arctic University of Norway, Tromsø, Norway, and <sup>7</sup>International Agency for Research on Cancer, Lyon, France

Patients' phenotypic characteristics might be associated with melanoma aggressiveness, but the evidence is scarce. This study examined the associations between pigmentary characteristics, naevi and melanoma thickness. Data from the Norwegian Women and Cancer (NOWAC) study were analysed. By 2014, 1,243 women were diagnosed with a primary melanoma, and 1,140 had information on thickness. Using ordinal logistic regression models, the probability of being diagnosed with a specific thickness category was calculated by pigmentary score and naevi. Fair pigmentary score was associated with thinner trunk melanomas (probabilities of being diagnosed with a tumour ≤1.0 mm thickness were 74%, 66%, and 51% for fair, medium and dark pigmentary scores, respectively), but not the other sites. High number of naevi was associated with thicker nodular melanoma (NM) but not with superficial spreading melanoma. These findings suggest the need for greater overall vigilance and skin checks among women with fair pigmentary score. The association between naevi and NM suggest possible biological mechanisms.

*Key words:* cohort study; pigmentary characteristics; melanoma; prognosis; tumour thickness; naevi.

Accepted Apr 19, 2021; Epub ahead of print Apr 21, 2021

Acta Derm Venereol 2021; 101: adv00446.

*Corr:* Reza Ghiasvand, Department of Research, Cancer Registry of Norway, PB 5313 Majorstuen, NO-0304 Oslo, Norway. E-mail: reza.ghiasvand@kreftregisteret.no

The associations between paler pigmentary characteristics, high numbers of melanocytic naevi and increased risk of melanoma are well-established (1, 2). However, little is known about the possible association between these characteristics and melanoma aggressiveness. Vertical tumour thickness is the most important prognostic factor in localized primary melanoma (3, 4) and, in general, patients diagnosed with thinner tumours have longer survival than those with thicker tumours (3, 5). Tumour thickness is also the most reproducible histopathological factor in clinical reports (6).

Patients' characteristics and their skin-awareness and healthcare use have also been linked to thickness of tumour at diagnosis (7–9). It has been hypothesized that high-risk phenotypes, such as fair complexion, might be

## SIGNIFICANCE

The associations between pigmentary characteristics, naevi and melanoma thickness were examined. Data from the Norwegian Women and Cancer (NOWAC) cohort study, with 1,243 women diagnosed with a primary melanoma by 2014, were analysed. Fair pigmentary score was associated with thinner trunk melanomas (probabilities of being diagnosed with a tumour  $\leq$  1.0 mm thickness were 74%, 66%, and 51% for fair, medium and dark pigmentary scores, respectively). High number of naevi was associated with thicker nodular melanoma. These findings suggest greater overall vigilance among women with fair pigmentary score, and underscore the advice that women with many naevi should undergo routine skin examinations.

associated with genetic determinants of more aggressive melanoma and a higher risk of thicker melanoma (10, 11). Conversely, having a high-risk phenotype might also be associated with greater skin awareness and early detection, and thus thinner melanomas at diagnosis (7).

A few studies have investigated the associations between phenotypic characteristics and melanoma thickness, with inconclusive results, but they were not population-based and they did not examine associations of skin type by melanoma site or subtype (10, 11). The aims of the current study were to investigate the association between pigmentary characteristics and naevi and overall melanoma thickness at diagnosis, as well as by body site and for the 2 most common subtypes of melanoma, superficial spreading melanoma (SSM) and nodular melanoma (NM). Data from the Norwegian Women and Cancer (NOWAC) study, a prospective populationbased cohort that is representative of Norwegian women, were analysed.

# **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

## NOWAC cohort

The NOWAC study was established in 1991, and by 2007, 171,725 women (response  $\sim$ 54%) aged 30–75 years had completed and returned the questionnaire and given informed consent to participate. Details on the NOWAC cohort have been published (12). The unique identity number of Norwegian citizens was used to link NOWAC to the Cancer Registry of Norway (CRN) for follow-up of cancer incidence and vital status (alive, emigrated or dead)

This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC license. www.medicaljournals.se/acta Society for Publication of Acta Dermato-Venereologica

#### Assessment of outcome

Primary tumour site and subtype are registered according to the International Classification of Diseases for Oncology, Seventh Edition (ICDO-3). Site was categorized as head/neck (190.0), trunk (190.1/190.7), upper limbs (190.2), lower limbs (190.3/190.4) and other (other (190.5/190.6/190.8)/skin not otherwise specified (190.9)), and subtypes as SSM (87433), NM (87213), lentigo maligna melanoma (LMM; 87423) and other (acral lentiginous melanoma (87443)/melanoma unspecified (87203)/other (87453/87803/87613)). The Norwegian Malignant Melanoma Registry (NMMR) was established under the CRN in 2008, and information on tumour thickness for incident cases since 2008 was obtained from the NMMR. For melanoma cases before 2008, information on thickness was extracted manually from histopathological reports by experienced melanoma registrars in the CRN. Melanoma thickness was categorized into 4 T categories according to the 8<sup>th</sup> edition of the American Joint Committee on Cancer (3) (T1: ≤1.0; T2: >1.0–2.0; T3: >2.0–4.0; T4: >4.0 mm). Through December 2014, 1,243 women were diagnosed with a primary invasive melanoma. Tumour thickness was unspecified for 103 women; hence the current study sample consisted of 1,140 women with primary invasive melanoma.

## Assessment of phenotypic characteristics

The participants reported hair colour (dark brown/black, brown, blond/yellow, red), eve colour (brown, blue, grey/green/mixed) and their untanned skin colour (recorded by a 1×9-cm colour scale graded from 1 (very fair) to 10 (dark brown)). Skin colour was categorized as dark (grades 7-10), medium (grades 4-6), or light (grades 1–3). The participants also reported the number of asymmetrical naevi >5 mm on arms (from armpit to fingers) or on the legs (from toes to groin)  $(0, 1, 2-3, 4-6, 7-12, 13-24, \ge 25;$ categorized as  $0, 1, \ge 2$ ), and freckling when subathing (yes, no). A colour brochure with pictures of 3 examples of asymmetrical naevi was enclosed with the questionnaire to increase accuracy of reports. Subsamples of the cohort were asked about the number of  $\geq$  51) and skin reaction to acute (brown, red, red with pain, red with pain and blisters) and chronic (deep brown, brown, light brown, never brown) sun exposure. Reproducibility has been studied for freckling when sunbathing (kappa=0.77), number of small symmetrical naevi on the arms (weighted kappa=65) and the skin colour scale (intraclass correlation = 0.59) (13).

#### Statistical analysis

A pigmentary score was constructed by summing the values of skin colour (dark = 0, medium=1, light=2), eye colour (brown=0, blue=1, grey/green/mixed=2), hair colour (dark brown/black=0, brown=1, blond/yellow=2, red=3), and freckling when sunbathing (no=0, yes=1) and categorized as dark (score <3), medium (scores 3–5) and fair (scores 6–8) pigmentary score. The association between T category and phenotypic characteristics was examined by ordinal logistic regression and estimated odds ratios for higher (thicker) vs lower (thinner) T categories with 95% confidence intervals (CIs) (14). Then, from the specified ordinal logistic regression models, the probability of being diagnosed with each specific tumour thickness category was calculated for 1 unit change in the characteristics with significant association with tumour thickness, while holding all other covariates constant. To assess effect modification, the pigmentary score was dichotomized

as darker (score < 5) and fairer (score  $\geq$  5), and the number of small symmetrical naevi as  $\leq$  50 and  $\geq$  51, and constructed a 4-category variable. The proportional odds assumption was checked using Brant test. Models were adjusted for age at diagnosis (continuous), birth-cohort (1927 to 1943, 1944 to 1948, 1949 to 1953, 1954 to 1965), place of residence (northern, central, southwestern and southeastern Norway), education ( $\leq$  10, 11–13,  $\geq$  14 years), site of melanoma and subtype. In addition, analyses were conducted by body site and the 2 most common subtypes of melanoma (SSM and NM), associated with different biological behaviour (15, 16). Multiple imputations with chained equation were used, imputing 20 data sets to evaluate whether missing information influenced the estimates. Stata, version 15 (Stata Corp. College Station, TX, USA), was used for all analyses.

## RESULTS

Among 1,140 patients, 737 (65%) were T1, 220 (19%) T2, 136 (12%) T3, and 47 (4%) T4 (Table I). The majority of cases were SSM (n=717), followed by NM (n=172), LMM (n=27), and other (n=224). Patients with thicker melanoma tended to be older at diagnosis, less educated, and NMs tended to be thicker at diagnosis (Table I).

After adjustment for age at diagnosis, birth-cohort, place of residence, education, body site and subtype, a significant inverse association was found between tumour thickness and pigmentary score and a positive association with small symmetrical naevi on arms/legs, but not large asymmetrical naevi on arms/legs (Table SI<sup>1</sup>). The probability of being diagnosed with T1 melanoma was 56%, 67% and 68% for patients with dark, medium and fair pigmentary score, respectively (Table II). Furthermore, while women with no small symmetrical naevi on their arms/legs had 73% probability of being diagnosed with a T1 melanoma, the corresponding probability was 59% for women with  $\geq 51$  small symmetrical naevi. When combining small symmetrical naevi and pigmentary score, patients with darker pigmentary score had a lower probability of T1 melanoma when they had  $\geq$  51 small symmetrical naevi compared with having < 50 naevi, though the test for interaction was not significant (49% for  $\geq 51$  naevi vs 67% for <50 naevi;  $p_{\text{interaction}} = 0.20$ ). Results from multiple imputation analyses were comparable with complete-case analysis (Table SI<sup>1</sup>), suggested no bias due to missing; thus the presented probabilities are from complete case analyses.

In analysis by body site, fair pigmentary score was significantly associated with higher probability of being diagnosed with T1 melanoma on the trunk (74% for fair and 51% for dark pigmentary score), but no other sites (**Table III** and Table SII<sup>1</sup>). Significantly lower probability of T1 melanoma in patients with  $\geq$ 51 small symmetrical naevi vs <50 naevi was found only for the upper limbs (41% and 64% probability of being diagnosed with a T1 melanoma, respectively). Here, no significant interaction

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>https://www.medicaljournals.se/acta/content/abstract/10.2340/00015555-3806

Table I. Host and tumour characteristics in women with incident primary melanoma in the Norwegian Women and Cancer (NOWAC) cohort according to T category

Age at enrolment30-39 years151 (13)100 (14)29 (13)19 (14)40-49 years469 (41)294 (40)100 (45)54 (40)50-59 years360 (32)246 (33)58 (26)38 (28)50 years160 (14)97 (13)33 (15)25 (18)Age at diagnosis </th <th></th> <th>п (%)</th>		п (%)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		
40-49 years       469 (41)       294 (40)       100 (45)       54 (40)         50-59 years       360 (32)       246 (33)       58 (26)       38 (28)         Age at diagnosis       160 (14)       97 (13)       33 (15)       25 (18)         Age at diagnosis       250 years       131 (11)       81 (11)       33 (15)       12 (9)         50-59 years       420 (37)       278 (38)       83 (38)       44 (32)         60-69 years       420 (37)       278 (38)       83 (38)       62 (46)         ≥70 years       114 (10)       68 (9)       21 (9)       18 (13)         Education       207 (18)       120 (16)       41 (19)       32 (24)         10-12 years       403 (35)       272 (37)       74 (35)       47 (35)         ≥13 years       470 (41)       312 (42)       93 (42)       46 (34)         Missing       299 (41)       77 (35)       49 (36)         Skin colour       200       21 (10)       37 (40)       50 (37)         Light       45 (39)       299 (41)       77 (35)       49 (36)         Medium       435 (38)       287 (39)       87 (40)       50 (37)         Light       46 (6)       37 (5)       16 (7)	3 (6)	33 (14)
$50-59$ years $360$ (32) $246$ (33) $58$ (26) $38$ (28)≥ 60 years160 (14)97 (13)33 (15)25 (18)> 60 years131 (11)81 (11)33 (15)12 (9) $50-59$ years420 (37)278 (38)83 (38)44 (32) $60-69$ years427 (42)310 (42)83 (38)62 (46) $\geq 70$ years114 (10)68 (9)21 (9)18 (13)Education33 (15)47 (35) $\leq 9$ years207 (18)120 (16)41 (19)32 (24) $10-12$ years403 (35)272 (37)74 (35)47 (35) $\geq 13$ years470 (41)312 (42)93 (42)46 (34)Missing60 (5)33 (5)12 (5)11 (8)Skin colour32 (24)Dark445 (39)299 (41)77 (35)49 (36)Medium435 (38)287 (39)87 (40)50 (37)Light64 (6)37 (5)16 (7)5 (4)Missing196 (17)114 (15)40 (18)32 (24)Skin reaction to acute sun exposure <sup>b</sup> 33 (3)23 (3)Red with pain and bilsters34 (3)23 (3)7 (3)3 (2)Missing385 (34)271 (33)37 (3)3 (2)Skin reaction to chronic sun exposure <sup>b</sup> </td <td>21 (45)</td> <td>103 (44)</td>	21 (45)	103 (44)
≥ 60 years       160 (14)       97 (13)       33 (15)       25 (18)         Age at diagnosis             < 50 years	18 (38)	72 (31)
Age at diagnosis<50 years	5 (11)	26 (11)
< 50 years131 (11)81 (11)33 (15)12 (9)50-59 years420 (37)278 (38)83 (38)44 (32)60-69 years475 (42)310 (42)83 (38)62 (46)≥70 years114 (10)68 (9)21 (9)18 (13)Education22 (24)33 (42)46 (34)10-12 years403 (35)272 (37)74 (35)47 (35)≥13 years470 (41)312 (42)93 (42)46 (34)Missing60 (5)33 (5)12 (5)11 (8)Skin colour299 (41)77 (35)49 (36)Medium435 (38)287 (39)87 (40)50 (37)Light64 (6)37 (5)16 (7)5 (4)Missing196 (17)114 (15)40 (18)32 (24)Skin reaction to acute sun exposure <sup>b</sup> 33 (33)7 (3)3 (2)Red with pain176 (15)114 (16)38 (17)20 (15)16 (45)Red with pain and bisters34 (3)23 (3)7 (3)3 (2)Missing385 (34)271 (37)64 (29)35 (26)Skin reaction to chronic sun exposure <sup>b</sup> 14 (10)Deep brown58 (5)35 (5)12 (5)7 (5)Brown389 (34)242 (33)83 (38)52 (38)Light brown/never brown286 (25)176 (24)58 (26)37 (27)Missing389 (34)242 (33)83 (38)52 (38)Light	= (11)	
50-99 years       420 (3')       278 (38)       83 (38)       44 (32)         60-69 years       475 (42)       310 (42)       83 (38)       62 (46)         ≥ 70 years       114 (10)       68 (9)       21 (9)       18 (13)         Education         9 years       207 (18)       120 (16)       41 (19)       32 (24)         10-12 years       403 (35)       272 (37)       74 (35)       47 (35)         ≥ 13 years       470 (41)       312 (42)       93 (42)       46 (34)         Missing       60 (5)       33 (5)       12 (5)       11 (8)         Skin colour          49 (36)         Medium       435 (38)       287 (39)       87 (40)       50 (37)         Light       64 (6)       37 (5)       16 (7)       5 (4)         Missing       196 (17)       114 (15)       40 (18)       32 (24)         Skin reaction to acute sun exposure <sup>b</sup> 32 (24)         Red       426 (37)       263 (36)       81 (37)       61 (45)         Red with pain and bisters       34 (3)       23 (3)       7 (3)       3 (2)         Missing       385 (34)       271 (37)	5 (11)	17 (7)
b0-by years475 (42)310 (42)83 (38) $b2$ (46) $\geq 70$ years114 (10)68 (9)21 (9)18 (13)Education $\leq$ $\leq$ $\leq$ $\leq$ $\leq$ $\leq$ $\leq$ 9 years207 (18)120 (16)41 (19)32 (24)10-12 years403 (35)272 (37)74 (35)47 (35) $\geq$ 13 years470 (41)312 (42)93 (42)46 (34)Missing60 (5)33 (5)12 (5)11 (8)Skin colour $=$ $=$ $=$ Dark445 (39)299 (41)77 (35)49 (36)Medium435 (38)287 (39)87 (40)50 (37)Light64 (6)37 (5)16 (7)5 (4)Missing196 (17)114 (15)40 (18)32 (24)Skin reaction to acute sun exposure <sup>b</sup> $=$ $=$ Brown119 (10)66 (9)30 (14)17 (13)Red426 (37)263 (36)81 (37)61 (45)Red with pain176 (15)114 (16)38 (17)20 (15)Red with pain and bisters34 (3)23 (3)7 (3)3 (2)Skin reaction to chronic sun exposure <sup>b</sup> $=$ $=$ $=$ Deep brown58 (5)35 (5)12 (5)7 (5)Brown389 (34)242 (33)83 (38)52 (38)Light brown/never brown286 (25)176 (24)58 (26)37 (27)Missing407 (3624 (32)58 (36)67 (31)40 (29)Skin reaction to ch	15 (32)	52 (22)
Life (10)Life (10)Life (10)Life (17)Life (17)Life (17) $\leq 9$ years207 (18)120 (16)41 (19)32 (24) $10-12$ years403 (35)272 (37)74 (35)47 (35) $\geq 13$ years400 (13)312 (42)93 (42)46 (34)Missing60 (5)33 (5)12 (5)11 (8)Skin colour0 (5)33 (5)12 (5)11 (8)Dark445 (39)299 (41)77 (35)49 (36)Medium435 (38)287 (39)87 (40)50 (37)Light64 (6)37 (5)16 (7)5 (4)Missing19 (10)66 (9)30 (14)17 (13)Red426 (37)263 (36)81 (37)61 (45)Red with pain and bisters34 (3)23 (3)7 (3)3 (2)Missing35 (34)271 (37)64 (29)35 (20)Skin reaction to chronic sun exposure <sup>b</sup> $U$ $U$ $U$ $U$ Deep brown58 (5)35 (5)12 (5)7 (5)Brown389 (34)242 (33)83 (38)52 (38)Light brown/never brown286 (25)176 (24)58 (26)37 (27)Missing286 (25)176 (24)58 (26)37 (27)Brown286 (25)176 (24)58 (26)37 (27)Missing200 (26)284 (38)67 (31)40 (29)Light brown/never brown286 (25)176 (24)58 (26)37 (27)Missing200 (26)284 (38)67 (31)<	20 (43)	118 (51)
S9 years         207 (18)         120 (16)         41 (19)         32 (24)           10-12 years         403 (35)         272 (37)         74 (35)         47 (35)           ≥ 13 years         470 (41)         312 (42)         93 (42)         46 (34)           Missing         60 (5)         33 (5)         12 (5)         11 (8)           Skin colour            49 (36)           Medium         435 (38)         287 (39)         87 (40)         50 (37)           Light         64 (6)         37 (5)         16 (7)         5 (4)           Missing         19 (10)         66 (9)         30 (14)         17 (13)           Skin reaction to acute sun exposure <sup>b</sup> 32 (24)           Skin reaction to acute sun exposure <sup>b</sup> Brown         119 (10)         66 (9)         30 (14)         17 (13)           Red with pain         176 (15)         114 (16)         38 (17)         20 (15)           Red with pain and blisters         34 (3)         23 (3)         7 (3)         3 (2)           Missing         385 (34)         271 (37)         64 (29)         35 (26)           Skin reaction to chron	7 (15)	47 (20)
10-12 years100 (35)120 (37)74 (35)120 (37)≥ 13 years470 (41)312 (42)93 (42)46 (34)Missing60 (5)33 (5)12 (5)11 (8)Skin colour </td <td>14 (30)</td> <td>48 (21)</td>	14 (30)	48 (21)
2 13 years       470 (41)       312 (42)       93 (42)       46 (34)         Missing       60 (5)       33 (5)       12 (5)       11 (8)         Skin colour	10 (21)	67 (29)
Missing         60 (5)         33 (5)         12 (5)         11 (8)           Skin colour	19 (40)	109 (47)
Skin colour       A45 (39)       299 (41)       77 (35)       49 (36)         Medium       435 (38)       287 (39)       87 (40)       50 (37)         Light       64 (6)       37 (5)       16 (7)       5 (4)         Missing       196 (17)       114 (15)       40 (18)       32 (24)         Skin reaction to acute sun exposure <sup>b</sup> Brown       119 (10)       66 (9)       30 (14)       17 (13)         Red       426 (37)       263 (36)       81 (37)       61 (45)         Red with pain       176 (15)       114 (16)       38 (17)       20 (15)         Red with pain and blisters       34 (3)       23 (3)       7 (3)       3 (2)         Missing       385 (34)       271 (37)       64 (29)       35 (26)         Skin reaction to chronic sun exposure <sup>b</sup> U       U       U       U       U         Deep brown       58 (5)       35 (5)       12 (5)       7 (5)       Sown       26 (25)       176 (24)       58 (26)       37 (27)         Missing       407 (36)       284 (38)       67 (31)       40 (29)       25 (38)       Light brown/never brown       286 (25)       176 (24)       58 (26)       37 (27)         Missing       407 (36)	4 (9)	10 (4)
Dark         445 (39)         299 (41)         77 (35)         49 (36)           Medium         435 (38)         287 (39)         87 (40)         50 (37)           Light         64 (6)         37 (5)         16 (7)         5 (4)           Missing         196 (17)         114 (15)         40 (18)         32 (24)           Skin reaction to acute sun exposure <sup>b</sup> Brown         119 (10)         66 (9)         30 (14)         17 (13)           Red         426 (37)         263 (36)         81 (37)         61 (45)           Red with pain         176 (15)         114 (16)         38 (17)         20 (15)           Red with pain and blisters         34 (3)         23 (3)         7 (3)         3 (2)           Missing         35 (54)         271 (37)         64 (29)         35 (26)           Skin reaction to chronic sun exposure <sup>b</sup> Deep brown         58 (5)         35 (5)         12 (5)         7 (5)           Brown         286 (25)         176 (24)         58 (26)         37 (27)           Missing         206 (25)         176 (24)         58 (26)         37 (27) <tr< td=""><td></td><td></td></tr<>		
Medium         435 (38)         287 (39)         87 (40)         50 (37)           Light         64 (6)         37 (5)         16 (7)         5 (4)           Missing         196 (17)         114 (15)         40 (18)         32 (24)           Skin reaction to acute sun exposure <sup>b</sup> 32 (24)           Brown         119 (10)         66 (9)         30 (14)         17 (13)           Red         426 (37)         263 (36)         81 (37)         61 (45)           Red with pain         176 (15)         114 (16)         38 (17)         20 (15)           Red with pain and blisters         34 (3)         23 (3)         7 (3)         3 (2)           Missing         385 (34)         271 (37)         64 (29)         35 (26)           Skin reaction to chronic sun exposure <sup>b</sup> Deep brown         58 (5)         35 (5)         12 (5)         7 (5)            Brown         286 (25)         176 (24)         58 (26)         37 (27)           Missing         286 (25)         176 (24)         58 (26)         37 (27)           Missing         286 (25)         176 (24)         58 (26)         37 (27) </td <td>20 (42)</td> <td>91 (39)</td>	20 (42)	91 (39)
Light         64 (6)         37 (5)         16 (7)         5 (4)           Missing         196 (17)         114 (15)         40 (18)         32 (24)           Skin reaction to acute sun exposure <sup>b</sup> Brown         119 (10)         66 (9)         30 (14)         17 (13)           Red         426 (37)         263 (36)         81 (37)         61 (45)           Red with pain         176 (15)         114 (16)         38 (17)         20 (15)           Red with pain and blisters         34 (3)         23 (3)         7 (3)         3 (2)           Missing         385 (34)         271 (37)         64 (29)         35 (25)           Skin reaction to chronic sun exposure <sup>b</sup> Deep brown         58 (5)         35 (5)         12 (5)         7 (5)           Brown         389 (34)         242 (33)         83 (38)         52 (38)           Light brown/never brown         286 (25)         176 (24)         58 (26)         37 (27)           Missing         407 (36)         284 (38)         67 (31)         40 (29)           Eye colour             36 (9)	11 (23)	84 (36)
Missing         196 (17)         114 (15)         40 (18)         32 (24)           Skin reaction to acute sun exposure <sup>b</sup> 30 (14)         17 (13)           Red         119 (10)         66 (9)         30 (14)         17 (13)	6 (13)	18 (8)
Skin reaction to acute sun exposure <sup>b</sup> I19 (10)         66 (9)         30 (14)         17 (13)           Red         426 (37)         263 (36)         81 (37)         61 (45)           Red with pain         176 (15)         114 (16)         38 (17)         20 (15)           Red with pain and blisters         34 (3)         23 (3)         7 (3)         3 (2)           Missing         385 (34)         271 (37)         64 (29)         35 (26)           Skin reaction to chronic sun exposure <sup>b</sup> U         U         15         7 (5)           Brown         58 (5)         35 (5)         12 (5)         7 (5)           Brown         286 (25)         176 (24)         58 (26)         37 (27)           Missing         286 (25)         176 (24)         58 (26)         37 (27)           Missing         407 (36)         284 (38)         67 (31)         40 (29)           Eye colour         U         U         14 (10)         14 (10)	10 (21)	41 (18)
Brown         119 (10)         66 (9)         30 (14)         17 (13)           Red         426 (37)         263 (36)         81 (37)         61 (45)           Red with pain         176 (15)         114 (16)         38 (17)         20 (15)           Red with pain and blisters         34 (3)         23 (3)         7 (3)         3 (2)           Missing         385 (34)         271 (37)         64 (29)         35 (26)           Skin reaction to chronic sun exposure <sup>b</sup> Deep brown         58 (5)         35 (5)         12 (5)         7 (5)           Brown         389 (34)         242 (33)         83 (38)         52 (38)           Light brown/never brown         286 (25)         176 (24)         58 (26)         37 (27)           Missing         286 (25)         176 (24)         58 (26)         37 (27)           Missing         67 (31)         40 (29)         40 (29)         40 (29)           Eye colour            38 (38)         52 (38)           Brown         112 (10)         68 (9)         24 (11)         14 (10)		
Red         426 (37)         263 (36)         81 (37)         61 (45)           Red with pain         176 (15)         114 (16)         38 (17)         20 (15)           Red with pain and blisters         34 (3)         23 (3)         7 (3)         3 (2)           Missing         385 (34)         271 (37)         64 (29)         35 (26)           Skin reaction to chronic sun exposure <sup>b</sup> Deep brown         58 (5)         35 (5)         12 (5)         7 (5)           Brown         389 (34)         242 (33)         83 (38)         52 (38)           Light brown/never brown         286 (25)         176 (24)         58 (26)         37 (27)           Missing         40 (25)         176 (24)         58 (26)         37 (27)           Eye colour          81 (37)         68 (9)         24 (11)         14 (10)	6 (13)	23 (10)
Red with pain         176 (15)         114 (16)         38 (17)         20 (15)           Red with pain and blisters         34 (3)         23 (3)         7 (3)         3 (2)           Missing         385 (34)         271 (37)         64 (29)         35 (26)           Skin reaction to chronic sun exposure <sup>b</sup> Deep brown         58 (5)         35 (5)         12 (5)         7 (5)           Brown         389 (34)         242 (33)         83 (38)         52 (38)           Light brown/never brown         286 (25)         176 (24)         58 (26)         37 (27)           Missing         407 (36)         284 (38)         67 (31)         40 (29)           Eye colour             407 (36)         284 (98)         24 (11)         14 (10)	21 (45)	91 (39)
Red with pain and blisters     34 (3)     23 (3)     7 (3)     3 (2)       Missing     385 (34)     271 (37)     64 (29)     35 (26)       Skin reaction to chronic sun exposure <sup>b</sup> 58 (5)     35 (5)     12 (5)     7 (5)       Deep brown     58 (5)     35 (5)     12 (5)     7 (5)       Brown     389 (34)     242 (33)     83 (38)     52 (38)       Light brown/never brown     286 (25)     176 (24)     58 (26)     37 (27)       Missing     407 (36)     284 (38)     67 (31)     40 (29)       Eye colour     112 (10)     68 (9)     24 (11)     14 (10)	4 (9)	32 (14)
Missing         385 (34)         271 (37)         64 (29)         35 (26)           Skin reaction to chronic sun exposure <sup>b</sup> 58 (5)         35 (5)         12 (5)         7 (5)           Deep brown         58 (5)         35 (5)         12 (5)         7 (5)           Brown         389 (34)         242 (33)         83 (38)         52 (38)           Light brown/never brown         286 (25)         176 (24)         58 (26)         37 (27)           Missing         407 (36)         284 (38)         67 (31)         40 (29)           Eye colour         5000000000000000000000000000000000000	1 (2)	5 (2)
Skin reaction to chronic sun exposure         58 (5)         35 (5)         12 (5)         7 (5)           Deep brown         389 (34)         242 (33)         83 (38)         52 (38)           Light brown/never brown         286 (25)         176 (24)         58 (26)         37 (27)           Missing         407 (36)         284 (38)         67 (31)         40 (29)           Eye colour         112 (10)         68 (9)         24 (11)         14 (10)	15 (32)	83 (35)
Deep brown         58 (5)         35 (5)         12 (5)         7 (5)           Brown         389 (34)         242 (33)         83 (38)         52 (38)           Light brown/never brown         286 (25)         176 (24)         58 (26)         37 (27)           Missing         407 (36)         284 (38)         67 (31)         40 (29)           Eye colour         112 (10)         68 (9)         24 (11)         14 (10)	4 (0)	
Brown     369 (34)     242 (33)     63 (36)     32 (36)       Light brown/never brown     286 (25)     176 (24)     58 (26)     37 (27)       Missing     407 (36)     284 (38)     67 (31)     40 (29)       Eye colour     112 (10)     68 (9)     24 (11)     14 (10)	4 (9)	15 (7)
Light blown hevel blown         266 (25)         176 (24)         56 (25)         57 (27)           Missing         407 (36)         284 (38)         67 (31)         40 (29)           Eye colour         112 (10)         68 (9)         24 (11)         14 (10)	12 (20)	87 (37)
Hissing         40 (30)         204 (30)         67 (31)         40 (22)           Eye colour         Brown         112 (10)         68 (9)         24 (11)         14 (10)	15 (32)	47 (20) 85 (36)
Brown 112 (10) 68 (9) 24 (11) 14 (10)	10 (54)	05 (50)
	6 (13)	24 (10)
Green/grev/mixed 421 (37) 268 (36) 90 (41) 45 (33)	18 (38)	88 (38)
Blue 578 (51) 384 (52) 102 (46) 70 (52)	22 (47)	114 (49)
Missing 29 (2) 17 (2) 4 (2) 7 (5)	1 (2)	8 (3)
Hair colour		
Black/dark brown 120 (10) 66 (9) 32 (15) 16 (12)	6 (13)	29 (12)
Brown 338 (30) 220 (30) 71 (32) 35 (26)	12 (26)	84 (36)
Blond/yellow 568 (50) 377 (51) 93 (42) 72 (53)	26 (55)	107 (46)
Red 89 (8) 59 (8) 20 (9) 8 (6)	2 (4)	7 (3)
Missing 25 (2) 15 (2) 4 (2) 5 (3)	1 (2)	7 (3)
Freckling when sunbathing		
No 531 (47) 344 (47) 99 (45) 64 (47)	24 (51)	101 (43)
Yes 440 (39) 295 (40) 84 (38) 46 (34)	15 (32)	91 (39)
Missing 169 (15) 98 (13) 37 (17) 26 (19)	8 (17)	42 (18)
Pigmentary score	2 (0)	10 (0)
Dark $66(6)   37(5)   18(8)   8(6)$	3 (6)	19 (8)
Mealum         535 (47)         354 (48)         100 (45)         60 (44)           Fair         270 (41)         107 (25)         40 (25)         20 (10)	21 (45)	113 (48)
Fair $2/0$ (24) $16/(25)$ $48$ (22) $20$ (19)           Missing $260$ (74) $150/(25)$ $42$ (21)	9 (19)	44 (19)
Imposing         209 (24)         159 (22)         54 (25)         42 (51)           Jarga accommetrical page in arms/lens         209 (24)         159 (22)         54 (25)         42 (51)	14 (50)	56 (25)
Can ge asymmetrical naevi on annis/legs	32 (68)	172 (74)
1 126 (11) 87 (12) 23 (11) 15 (11)	1 (2)	18 (8)
22 156 (14) 93 (13) 34 (15) 22 (16)	7 (15)	29 (12)
Missing 106 (9) 62 (8) 26 (12) 11 (8)	7 (15)	15 (7)
Small symmetrical naevi on arms/legs <sup>b</sup>	. ()	(. )
0 55 (5) 39 (5) 10 (5) 4 (3)	2 (4)	13 (6)
1-10 186 (16) 107 (15) 44 (20) 26 (19)	9 (19)	31 (13)
11-50 236 (21) 158 (21) 40 (18) 32 (24)	6 (13)	50 (21)
≥51 143 (13) 86 (12) 33 (15) 16 (12)	8 (17)	28 (12)
Missing 520 (46) 347 (47) 93 (42) 58 (43)	22 (47)	112 (48)
Body site		
Trunk 395 (35) 264 (36) 63 (29) 49 (36)	19 (40)	24 (25)
Lower limb         446 (39)         286 (39)         91 (41)         54 (40)	15 (32)	28 (29)
Upper limb 189 (17) 121 (16) 39 (18) 22 (16)	7 (15)	7 (7)
Head/neck 84 (7) 48 (7) 21 (10) 10 (7)	5 (11)	9 (9)
Other <sup>L</sup> 26 (2)         18 (2)         6 (2)         1 (1)	1 (2)	30 (31)
Subtype		
Superficial spreading melanoma 717 (63) 568 (77) 105 (48) 36 (26)	8 (17)	27 (28)
Nooular melanoma         1/2 (15)         29 (4)         56 (25)         66 (49)           Lastice melanoma         27 (2)         27 (2)         2 (1)         1 (1)	21 (45)	3 (3)
Lenugy mangina menditorna $2/(2)$ $23(3)$ $3(1)$ $1(1)$	U (U)	4 (4)
Outer $225(20)$ $11/(16)$ $55(25)$ $33(24)$ Minima $200$ $000$ $000$ $000$ $000$ $000$	10(30)	00(01)

<sup>a</sup>Excluding unspecified. <sup>b</sup>Recorded for subsamples of the cohort. <sup>c</sup>Multiple sites/unspecified. <sup>d</sup>Other subtypes and melanoma not otherwise specified.

	Probability (95% CI)	Probability (95% CI)				
Phenotypic characteristics	T1: ≤1.0 mm	T2: >1.0-2.0 mm	T3: >2.0-4.0 mm	T4: >4.0 mm		
Pigmentary score						
Dark	0.56 (0.47-0.65)	0.23 (0.19-0.27)	0.15 (0.11-0.19)	0.06 (0.03-0.09)		
Medium	0.67 (0.63-0.70)	0.19 (0.16-0.22)	0.11 (0.09-0.13)	0.04 (0.02-0.05)		
Fair	0.68 (0.63-0.73)	0.18 (0.16-0.21)	0.10 (0.08-0.12)	0.03 (0.02-0.05)		
Small symmetrical naevi on arms/legs <sup>b</sup>						
0	0.73 (0.63-0.82)	0.16 (0.12-0.21)	0.08 (0.04-0.12)	0.02 (0.01-0.04)		
1-10	0.64 (0.59-0.70)	0.20 (0.16-0.24)	0.12 (0.09-0.15)	0.04 (0.02-0.05)		
11-50	0.64 (0.59-0.69)	0.20 (0.17-0.24)	0.12 (0.09-0.15)	0.04 (0.02-0.05)		
≥51	0.59 (0.53-0.66)	0.22 (0.18-0.26)	0.14 (0.10-0.17)	0.05 (0.03-0.07)		
Small symmetrical naevi/pigmentary sco	re					
< 50/Darker	0.67 (0.59-0.75)	0.19 (0.15-0.24)	0.11 (0.07-0.14)	0.03 (0.01-0.05)		
≥51/Darker	0.49 (0.34-0.65)	0.26 (0.20-0.32)	0.18 (0.11-0.25)	0.07 (0.02-0.12)		
< 50/Fairer	0.65 (0.61-0.70)	0.20 (0.17-0.27)	0.11 (0.08-0.14)	0.03 (0.02-0.05)		
≥ 51/Fairer	0.60 (0.53-0.68)	0.22 (0.18-0.27)	0.13 (0.09-0.17)	0.04 (0.02-0.06)		

Table II. Estimated probability<sup>a</sup> of being diagnosed with melanoma by T category for the association between phenotypic characteristics and T category in women with incident primary melanoma in the Norwegian Women and Cancer (NOWAC) cohort

<sup>a</sup>Estimated based on ordinal logistic regression adjusted for age at diagnosis, birth-cohort, education, place of residence, body site and subtype. <sup>b</sup>Recorded for subsamples of the cohort. 95% CI: 95% confidence interval.

was found between pigmentary score and small symmetrical naevi  $(0.15 \ge p_{\text{interaction}} \le 0.97; \text{ Table SII}^1)$ . Fair pigmentary score was associated with thinner

SSM (probability of being diagnosed with T1: 85% for fair vs 67% for dark pigmentary score), but not NM (probability of being diagnosed with T1: 17% for fair vs 16% for dark pigmentary score; Table IV). Compared with patients with < 50 small symmetrical naevi on arms/ legs, patients with  $\geq 51$  naevi had higher probability of thicker NM (T1: 6% for  $\geq$  51 naevi vs 16% for < 50 naevi; Table IV). No significant interaction was found

between pigmentary score and small symmetrical naevi in the analysis by subtype ( $p_{\text{interaction}} \ge 0.60$ ; Table SIII<sup>1</sup>).

# DISCUSSION

This study provides new evidence on the association between phenotypic characteristics and melanoma thickness. On overall analysis fair pigmentary score was associated with thinner melanomas. In site-specific analysis, fair pigmentary score was associated with thinner melanomas on the trunk, but no other sites. Moreover, fair pigmentary

Table III. Estimated probability (Pr)<sup>a</sup> and 95% confidence interval (95% CI) of melanoma diagnosis in different sites by phenotypic characteristics and T category in women with incident primary melanoma in the Norwegian Women and Cancer (NOWAC) cohort

T category	Trunk Pr (95% CI)	Lower limb Pr (95% CI)	Upper limb Pr (95% CI)	Head/neck Pr (95% CI)
Pigmentary score				
Dark				
T1 (≤1.0 mm)	0.51 (0.36-0.67)	0.62 (0.47-0.76)	0.64 (0.42-0.86)	0.75 (0.34-1.00)
T2 (>1.0-2.0 mm)	0.23 (0.15-0.30)	0.22 (0.15-0.29)	0.22 (0.10-0.33)	0.19 (0.00-0.46)
T3 (>2.0-4.0 mm)	0.18 (0.10-0.26)	0.12 (0.06-0.18)	0.11 (0.02-0.20)	0.04 (0.00-0.13)
T4 (>4.0 mm)	0.08 (0.03-0.13)	0.04 (0.01-0.08)	0.04 (0.00-0.10)	0.02 (0.00-0.08)
Medium				
T1 (≤1.0 mm)	0.66 (0.60-0.72)	0.69 (0.63-0.74)	0.73 (0.65-0.80)	0.60 (0.46-0.75)
T2 (>1.0-2.0 mm)	0.17 (0.13-0.22)	0.19 (0.15-0.23)	0.18 (0.11-0.24)	0.28 (0.16-0.39)
T3 (>2.0-4.0 mm)	0.13 (0.09-0.16)	0.09 (0.06-0.13)	0.07 (0.03-0.12)	0.08 (0.01-0.15)
T4 (>4.0 mm)	0.04 (0.02-0.07)	0.03 (0.01-0.04)	0.02 (0.00-0.05)	0.04 (0.01-0.09)
Fair				
T1 (≤1.0 mm)	0.74 (0.68-0.81)	0.65 (0.58-0.72)	0.57 (0.44-0.70)	0.57 (0.39-0.76)
T2 (>1.0-2.0 mm)	0.13 (0.09-0.18)	0.21 (0.16-0.25)	0.24 (0.15-0.33)	0.29 (0.14-0.44)
T3 (>2.0-4.0 mm)	0.09 (0.06-0.12)	0.11 (0.07-0.15)	0.13 (0.06-0.21)	0.08 (0.01-0.17)
T4 (>4.0 mm)	0.03 (0.01-0.04)	0.03 (0.01-0.06)	0.06 (0.00-0.11)	0.05 (0.00-0.10)
Small symmetrical na	evi on arms/legs			
< 50				
T1 (≤1.0 mm)	0.65 (0.58-0.71)	0.69 (0.63-0.74)	0.64 (0.56-0.73)	0.47 (0.34-0.61)
T2 (>1.0-2.0 mm)	0.18 (0.12-0.24)	0.19 (0.14-0.23)	0.20 (0.12-0.27)	0.33 (0.21-0.45)
T3 (>2.0-4.0 mm)	0.13 (0.09-0.18)	0.09 (0.06-0.13)	0.13 (0.07-0.19)	0.12 (0.04-0.20)
T4 (>4.0 mm)	0.04 (0.01-0.06)	0.03 (0.01-0.06)	0.03 (0.00-0.06)	0.07 (0.02-0.13)
≥51				
T1 (≤1.0 mm)	0.70 (0.59-0.81)	0.61 (0.51-0.71)	0.41 (0.25-0.56)	0.39 (0.13-0.65)
T2 (>1.0-2.0 mm)	0.16 (0.10-0.22)	0.21 (0.15-0.28)	0.27 (0.17-0.37)	0.36 (0.23-0.50)
T3 (>2.0-4.0 mm)	0.11 (0.05-0.17)	0.12 (0.07-0.18)	0.22 (0.12-0.32)	0.15 (0.04-0.26)
T4 (>4.0 mm)	0.3 (0.00-0.06)	0.05 (0.01-0.09)	0.10 (0.00-0.20)	0.10 (0.00-0.22)

<sup>a</sup>Estimated based on ordinal logistic regression adjusted for age at diagnosis, birth-cohort, education, place of residence, body site and subtype.

score was associated with thinner SSM, but not NM, while having  $\geq$  51 small symmetrical naevi on arms/legs was significantly associated with thicker melanomas overall, thicker melanomas on the upper

limbs, and thicker NM, but not SSM.

Results of the only 2 published studies on sensitivity of the skin to ultraviolet (UV) radiation and melanoma thickness were inconsistent (11, 17). In a singlecentre cross-sectional study, having a fairer skin type was associated with thicker melanoma at diagnosis (17), while in a cohort study, no associations were found between hair colour, sunburn susceptibility, and tanning ability and melanoma thickness (11). These studies were not population-based and they did not conduct analysis of skin type by melanoma site or subtype. The melanocortin-1 receptor gene (MC1R) is the key regulator of phenotypic traits, such as red hair, fair skin, freckles and skin sensitivity to UV radiation (18). Inherited variation in MC1R is associated with increased risk of melanoma (19); however, the studies that examined the

Advances in dermatology and venereology

Table IV. Estimated probability (Pr)<sup>a</sup> and 95% confidence interval (95% CI) for diagnosis of superficial spreading melanoma (SSM) and nodular melanoma (NM) by phenotypic characteristics and T category in women with incident primary melanoma in the Norwegian Women and Cancer (NOWAC) study

T category	SSM Pr (95% CI)	NM Pr (95% CI)
Pigmentary score		
Dark		
T1 (≤1.0 mm)	0.67 (0.54-0.81)	0.16 (0.00-0.33)
T2 (>1.0-2.0 mm)	0.23 (0.14-0.32)	0.33 (0.20-0.46)
T3 (>2.0-4.0 mm)	0.08 (0.03-0.12)	0.39 (0.22-0.55)
T4 (>4.0 mm)	0.02 (0.00-0.04)	0.12 (0.00-0.25)
Medium		
T1 (≤1.0 mm)	0.81 (0.77-0.85)	0.18 (0.10-0.26)
T2 (>1.0-2.0 mm)	0.14 (0.11-0.17)	0.34 (0.25-0.43)
T3 (>2.0-4.0 mm)	0.04 (0.02-0.06)	0.37 (0.28-0.46)
T4 (>4.0 mm)	0.01 (0.01-0.02)	0.11 (0.04-0.18)
Fair		
T1 (≤1.0 mm)	0.85 (0.80-0.91)	0.17 (0.07-0.26)
T2 (>1.0-2.0 mm)	0.11 (0.07-0.15)	0.33 (0.24-0.43)
T3 (>2.0-4.0 mm)	0.03 (0.01-0.04)	0.38 (0.27-0.50)
T4 (>4.0 mm)	0.01 (0.00-0.01)	0.12 (0.05-0.19)
Small symmetrical naevi on ar	ms/legs	
< 50	. 5	
T1 (≤1.0 mm)	0.81 (0.77-0.85)	0.16 (0.08-0.24)
T2 (>1.0-2.0 mm)	0.14 (0.10-0.17)	0.39 (0.29-0.49)
T3 (> 2.0-4.0 mm)	0.05 (0.02-0.07)	0.35 (0.26-0.44)
T4 (>4.0 mm)	0.01 (0.00-0.02)	0.10 (0.05-0.15)
≥51		
T1 (≤1.0 mm)	0.79 (0.71-0.88)	0.06 (0.00-0.13)
T2 (>1.0-2.0 mm)	0.15 (0.09-0.21)	0.25 (0.11-0.40)
T3 (>2.0-4.0 mm)	0.05 (0.02-0.08)	0.45 (0.33-0.56)
T4 (>4.0 mm)	0.01 (0.00-0.02)	0.24 (0.05-0.43)

<sup>a</sup>Estimated based on ordinal logistic regression adjusted for age at diagnosis, birth-cohort, education, place of residence, body site and subtype.

association between MC1R and melanoma thickness reported no association (20–22), or a weak inverse association between MC1R gene variants and melanoma thickness (23). In the current study, patients with fair pigmentary score were more likely to be diagnosed with a thinner SSM, but not NM, and thinner melanoma on the trunk, but not other body sites.

The current study found that having  $\geq 51$  small symmetrical naevi on arms/legs was associated with thicker NM, but not SSM. The association between number of naevi and melanoma thickness has been studied previously, but with inconclusive results (24). In a cohort study, higher risk of thicker melanoma was associated with higher numbers of naevi among men, but not women (11). Conversely, other studies (10, 25) reported thinner melanoma in patients with many naevi. Similarly, a survey (26) found that, in patients <60 years, a high total naevus count (>50 naevi) was associated with thinner melanoma, but having >5 atypical naevi was associated with thicker melanoma. It is proposed that thinner melanoma in patients with high naevus counts could be due to skin awareness and screening, since people with many naevi are recommended to have regular skin checks (7, 27). However, the current study finding does not support the hypothesis of thinner melanoma associated with high naevus count, and suggests possible underlying biological mechanisms that remain to be discovered.

Since melanoma incidence has increased rapidly in the past decades in most fair-skinned populations, early diagnosis has been a public health priority. Melanoma screening programmes encourage high-risk groups to have regular skin checks (20) and these efforts appear to be associated with a decrease in the thickness of SSM, but not NM (17), and with no reduction in melanoma mortality (29–31). NM is responsible for a large proportion of thick melanomas (32, 33), and the majority of deaths due to melanoma when excluding melanoma not otherwise specified (16, 34). A recent study in Australia reported more common skin checks among fair-skinned and sunsensitive people (35). The finding in the current study of the thinner SSM, but not NM, at diagnosis among women with fair pigmentary score supports other reports (36, 37) that the challenge of early diagnosis of NM persists.

Large sample size in a prospective population-based study and the linkage to the CRN are important strengths of the current study. Reporting of incident cancers to the CRN is compulsory in Norway, and 99.9% of melanomas are morphologically verified (38). Results from multiple imputation analyses suggested no bias, due to missing data influenced the associations. This study is subject to some limitations. Phenotypic characteristics were selfreported, and some misclassification probably occurred. Also, histopathological misclassification of thick (>2 mm) SMM as NM is possible; however, 84% of all melanomas, and 50% of NMs in the current study were thin melanomas ( $\leq 2$  mm). Only half of the cohort was asked about the number of small symmetrical naevi on arms/ legs, giving lower statistical power for this subsample and also in some of the sub-group analyses. Information on participants' skin checks and skin cancer knowledge was also lacking. Thus, the findings should be interpreted considering these limitations.

In conclusion, this study found that fair pigmentary score was associated with thinner melanoma overall and SSM, but not NM. Importantly,  $\geq 51$  small symmetrical naevi on arms/legs was associated with thicker melanoma overall and NM. These findings underscore the public health advice that women with many naevi should undergo routine skin examinations. That thinner SSM, but not NM, are diagnosed on the trunk among women with fair pigmentary score is probably due to greater vigilance and skin checks among these women, although possible biological mechanisms remain to be explored.

# ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Where authors are identified as personnel of the International Agency for Research on Cancer/World Health Organization, the authors alone are responsible for the views expressed in this article and they do not necessarily represent the decisions, policy or views of the International Agency for Research on Cancer/WHO.

This work was supported by the Norwegian Cancer Society [grant number 6823329].

The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

# REFERENCES

- 1. Berwick M, Buller DB, Cust A, Gallagher R, Lee TK, Meyskens F, et al. Melanoma epidemiology and prevention. Cancer Treat Res 2016; 167: 17–49.
- Veierod MB, Adami HO, Lund E, Armstrong BK, Weiderpass E. Sun and solarium exposure and melanoma risk: effects of age, pigmentary characteristics, and nevi. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 2010; 19: 111–120.
- Gershenwald JE, Scolyer RA, Hess KR, Sondak VK, Long GV, Ross MI, et al. Melanoma staging: evidence-based changes in the American Joint Committee on Cancer eighth edition cancer staging manual. CA Cancer J Clin 2017; 67: 472–492.
- Balch CM, Soong SJ, Murad TM, Ingalls AL, Maddox WA. A multifactorial analysis of melanoma. II. Prognostic factors in patients with stage I (localized) melanoma. Surgery 1979; 86: 343–351.
- Thompson JF, Soong SJ, Balch CM, Gershenwald JE, Ding S, Coit DG, et al. Prognostic significance of mitotic rate in localized primary cutaneous melanoma: an analysis of patients in the multi-institutional American Joint Committee on Cancer melanoma staging database. J Clin Oncol 2011; 29: 2199–2205.
- Scolyer RA, Shaw HM, Thompson JF, Li LX, Colman MH, Lo SK, et al. Interobserver reproducibility of histopathologic prognostic variables in primary cutaneous melanomas. Am J Surg Pathol 2003; 27: 1571–1576.
- Schwartz JL, Wang TS, Hamilton TA, Lowe L, Sondak VK, Johnson TM. Thin primary cutaneous melanomas: associated detection patterns, lesion characteristics, and patient characteristics. Cancer 2002; 95: 1562–1568.
- Swetter SM, Pollitt RA, Johnson TM, Brooks DR, Geller AC. Behavioral determinants of successful early melanoma detection: role of self and physician skin examination. Cancer 2012; 118: 3725–3734.
- Liu W, Dowling JP, Murray WK, McArthur GA, Thompson JF, Wolfe R, et al. Rate of growth in melanomas: characteristics and associations of rapidly growing melanomas. Arch Dermatol 2006; 142: 1551–1558.
- Cadby G, Ward SV, Cole JM, Moses EK, Millward M, Palmer LJ. The association of host and genetic melanoma risk factors with Breslow thickness in the Western Australian Melanoma Health Study. Br J Dermatol 2014; 170: 851–857.
- Li WQ, Cho E, Wu S, Li S, Matthews NH, Qureshi AA. Host characteristics and risk of incident melanoma by Breslow thickness. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 2019; 28: 217–224.
- Lund E, Dumeaux V, Braaten T, Hjartåker A, Engeset D, Skeie G, et al. Cohort profile: the Norwegian Women and Cancer Study—NOWAC—Kvinner og kreft. Int J Epidemiol 2008; 37: 36–41.
- Veierod MB, Parr CL, Lund E, Hjartaker A. Reproducibility of self-reported melanoma risk factors in a large cohort study of Norwegian women. Melanoma Res 2008; 18: 1–9.
- 14. Hosmer Jr DW, Lemeshow S, Sturdivant RX. Applied logistic regression. Hoboken, New Jersey. John Wiley & Sons; 2013.
- Green AC, Viros A, Hughes MCB, Gaudy-Marqueste C, Akhras V, Cook MG, et al. Nodular melanoma: a histopathologic entity? Acta Derm Venereol 2018; 98: 460–462.
- Mar V, Roberts H, Wolfe R, English DR, Kelly JW. Nodular melanoma: a distinct clinical entity and the largest contributor to melanoma deaths in Victoria, Austral J Am Acad Dermatol 2013; 68: 568–575.
- Baumert J, Plewig G, Volkenandt M, Schmid-Wendtner MH. Factors associated with a high tumour thickness in patients with melanoma. Br J Dermatol 2007; 156: 938–944.
- Duffy DL, Box NF, Chen W, Palmer JS, Montgomery GW, James MR, et al. Interactive effects of MC1R and OCA2 on melanoma risk phenotypes. Hum Mol Genet 2004; 13: 447–461.
- Kanetsky PA, Panossian S, Elder DE, Guerry D, Ming ME, Schuchter L, et al. Does MC1R genotype convey information about melanoma risk beyond risk phenotypes? Cancer 2010; 116: 2416–2428.

21. Caini S, Gandini S, Botta F, Tagliabue E, Raimondi S, Nagore E, et al. MC1R variants and cutaneous melanoma risk according to histological type, body site, and Breslow thickness: a pooled analysis from the M-SKIP project. Melanoma Res 2020; 30: 500–510.

20. Pena-Vilabelda M, Garcia-Casado Z, Reguena C, Traves V,

- Taylor NJ, Busam KJ, From L, Groben PA, Anton-Culver H, Cust AE, et al. Inherited variation at MC1R and histological characteristics of primary melanoma. PloS One 2015; 10: e0119920.
- Davies JR, Randerson-Moor J, Kukalizch K, Harland M, Kumar R, Madhusudan S, et al. Inherited variants in the MC1R gene and survival from cutaneous melanoma: a BioGenoMEL study. Pigment Cell Melanoma Res 2012; 25: 384–394.
- Dessinioti C, Geller AC, Stergiopoulou A, Swetter SM, Baltas E, Mayer JE, et al. Nevus count associations with thinner nodular or superficial spreading melanoma. Acta Derm Venereol 2019; 99: 614–615.
- Ribero S, Davies JR, Requena C, Carrera C, Glass D, Rull R, et al. High nevus counts confer a favorable prognosis in melanoma patients. Int J Cancer 2015; 137: 1691–1698.
- Geller AC, Mayer JE, Sober AJ, Miller DR, Argenziano G, Johnson TM, et al. Total nevi, atypical nevi, and melanoma thickness: an analysis of 566 patients at 2 US centers. JAMA Dermatol 2016; 152: 413–418.
- Autier P, Funck-Brentano E, Aegerter P, Boniol M, Saiag P. Re: High nevus counts confer a favorable prognosis in melanoma patients by S ribero and co-workers, published in the International Journal of Cancer, 2015 (online 21 march 2015). Int J Cancer 2015; 137: 3006–3007.
- Rigel DS, Russak J, Friedman R. The evolution of melanoma diagnosis: 25 years beyond the ABCDs. CA Cancer J Clin 2010; 60: 301–316.
- 29. Bordoni A, Leoni-Parvex S, Peverelli S, Mazzola P, Mazzucchelli L, Spitale A. Opportunistic screening strategy for cutaneous melanoma does not change the incidence of nodular and thick lesions nor reduce mortality: a population-based descriptive study in the European region with the highest incidence. Melanoma Res 2013; 23: 402–407.
- Crocetti E, Caldarella A, Chiarugi A, Nardini P, Zappa M. The thickness of melanomas has decreased in central Italy, but only for thin melanomas, while thick melanomas are as thick as in the past. Melanoma Res 2010; 20: 422–426.
- Richardson A, Fletcher L, Sneyd M, Cox B, Reeder AI. The incidence and thickness of cutaneous malignant melanoma in New Zealand 1994–2004. N Z Med J 2008; 121: 18–26.
- Murray CS, Stockton DL, Doherty VR. Thick melanoma: the challenge persists. Br J Dermatol 2005; 152: 104–109.
- Bergenmar M, Ringborg U, Månsson EB, Brandberg YJ. Nodular histogenetic type-the most significant factor for thick melanoma: implications for prevention. Melanoma Res 1998; 8: 403-411.
- Robsahm TE, Helsing P, Nilssen Y, Vos L, Rizvi SMH, Akslen LA, et al. High mortality due to cutaneous melanoma in Norway: a study of prognostic factors in a nationwide cancer registry. Clin Epidemiol 2018; 10: 537–548.
- Reyes-Marcelino G, Tabbakh T, Espinoza D, Sinclair C, Kang YJ, McLoughlin K, et al. Prevalence of skin examination behaviours among Australians over time. Cancer Epidemiol 2021; 70: 101874.
- Tsao H, Olazagasti JM, Cordoro KM, Brewer JD, Taylor SC, Bordeaux JS, et al. Early detection of melanoma: reviewing the ABCDEs. J Am Acad Dermatol 2015; 72: 717–723.
- Corneli P, Zalaudek I, Rizzi GM, di Meo N. Improving the early diagnosis of early nodular melanoma: can we do better? Expert Rev Anticanc 2018; 18: 1007–1012.
- Cancer Registry of Norway. Cancer in Norway 2014 cancer incidence, mortality, survival and prevalence in Norway. Oslo: Cancer Registry of Norway, 2015. (Access date: November 9, 2020) Available at: http://www.kreftregisteret.no/Global/ Cancer%20in%20Norway/2014/cin2014-Special\_issue.pdf.